

Shimura Varieties and the Mumford–Tate conjecture, part I

Adrian Vasiu

Univ. of Arizona

vers. 9/14/01: few enlargements, improvements and corrections to the vers. dated 1/14/00
to be resubmitted for public. in Ann. of Math.

ABSTRACT. We prove the Mumford–Tate conjecture for those abelian varieties over number fields, whose simple factors of their adjoint Mumford–Tate groups have over $\mathbb{R}$ certain (products of) non-compact factors. In particular, we prove this conjecture for the orthogonal case (B_n and $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ Shimura types). We construct embeddings of Shimura varieties of (whose adjoints are of prescribed abelian type) into unitary Shimura varieties. We also prove two general theorems involving Frobenius tori of abelian varieties over number fields.

Key words: abelian and Shimura varieties, reductive and p -divisible groups, Galois representations and Hodge cycles.

MSC 2000: Primary 11G10, 11G18, 11R32, 14G35 and 14G40.

Contents

§1. Introduction	1
§2. Some complements	9
§3. Good embeddings into unitary Shimura varieties	19
§4. The basic techniques	35
§5. Some conclusions	58
Erratum to [Va1]	76
References	77

§1. Introduction

1.1. An approach. Let A be an abelian variety over a number field E . Let p be a rational prime. Let H_A (resp. $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$) be the Mumford–Tate group of A (resp. be the connected component of the origin of the algebraic envelope of the p -adic representation naturally attached to A), cf. 4.0. $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is naturally identified with a subgroup of $GL(H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{E}}, \mathbb{Q}_p))$ (see 4.0). The Mumford–Tate conjecture (see 4.1) asserts: as subgroups of $GL(H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{E}}, \mathbb{Q}_p))$, we have $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p} = G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Our approach to the proof of this conjecture is via the following five main steps.

S1. A well known result (see 4.2.5) allows us to assume that p is as big as desired. In particular, we can assume the existence of a prime v of E unramified over p and such that A has good reduction with respect to it.

S2. Based on standard arguments on Frobenius tori (for their construction reviewed in 4.2.7.1, see [Chi] and [Pi]), we can assume $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a split, reductive group (see 4.2.8).

S3. Using the main result of [Wi] we define each simple factor $\mathcal{F}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ or of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ to be compact or non-compact, depending on the fact that a suitable injective cocharacter

$$\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$$

(see 4.3.3 and 4.4.4) has a trivial or a non-trivial image in $\mathcal{F}$ (for details see 5.1.3). The third step is an attempt to show that the product of the non-compact factors of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ is isomorphic to the product of the non-compact factors of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$.

S4. Assuming that the attempt of S3 has been successful, we show that in fact $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$.

S5. Assuming $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$, by standard arguments we show that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Combining S1-5, we stated in [Va2, 1.15.4] the following expectation:

1.2. Expectation (the split criterion). *There is $N(A) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that: if $p \geq N(A)$ and if $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a split group, then $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$.*

It is known (see 4.2.9) that this Expectation implies $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$, for any prime p .

1.3. Conventions, notations and organization. For types and generalities on (integral aspects of) Shimura varieties we refer to [Va1, 2.2-5 and §3]. For standard Hodge situations and standard PEL situations we refer to [Va2, 2.3.5]. The expression “standard Hodge situation” is abbreviated as SHS, cf. loc. cit. Warning: we use freely [Va1, 4.3.4] and the notations and conventions of [Va2, 2.1]. A simple, adjoint Shimura pair (G, X) is said to be without involution (resp. with involution) if its reflex field $E(G, X)$ is (resp. is not) a totally real number field, cf. [De1, 3.8 (ii)]. For Shimura group pairs we refer to [Va2, 2.2.5 1), 2.2.6 5) and end of 4.1].

Always by k we denote a perfect field of characteristic $p \geq 2$. $W(k)$ is the Witt ring of k , $B(k) := W(k)_{[p]}$, while σ is the Frobenius automorphism of any such k , $W(k)$ or $B(k)$. For the notions of (principally quasi-polarized) Shimura (filtered) σ -crystals or p -divisible groups we refer to [Va2, 2.2.8, 2.2.9 12) and 2.2.20]; for these notions in the context of a SHS, we refer to [Va2, 2.3.9-11]. For p -divisible objects (with tensors) of Fontaine’s categories we refer to [Va2, 2.2.1 c)]. The notion of a Shimura p -divisible group (over a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$ -scheme) being a versal deformation (at some maximal point which is algebraic over k), is understood in the sense of [Va2, 3.6.19 B)]. We refer to loc. cit. (resp. to [Va2, 2.2.1.3]) for uni plus quasi-versal (resp. for pull backs of) (p -divisible) objects of Fontaine’s categories.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the expression “ n is big enough” is used in the sense $n \gg 0$ but with effective lower bounds. In similar senses (involving degrees or suitable Haar measures), we speak about large enough number fields and small enough compact, open subgroups of locally compact groups.

We denote by E_v the completion of a number field E w.r.t. a finite prime of it v . If G is a group acting on a set S , we denote by S^G the subset of S formed by elements fixed by G . If M is a $*$ -module, with $*$ a group scheme or a Lie algebra over some ring, we speak as well about the representation of $*$ on M . If $*$ is a reductive group over an integral scheme, then $Z^0(*)$ denotes the maximal torus of the center $Z(*)$ of $*$.

If X_S or X is a scheme over a scheme (resp. over a $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra) S , then for any other S -scheme T (resp. for any homomorphism $i_S : S \rightarrow T$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras), we often denote, without any extra comments, by X_T the S -product (resp. the $\text{Spec}(S)$ -product) of X_S or X and T (resp. and $\text{Spec}(T)$). The same applies for morphisms. Warning: if there are a couple of ways to take i_S , we always mention it a priori but we never add it as part of the notation X_T . We use freely the standard notations (see [Bou2, p. 188]) for the fundamental weights of a split, simple Lie algebra of A_n Lie type. We use a lower left index B to denote the Betti cohomology and homology.

1.3.1. On techniques. In [Va1-2] we developed some techniques pertaining to Shimura varieties of preabelian type and to the deformation theory of abelian varieties endowed with de Rham (crystalline) tensors. Here (to be continued in [Va5] and [Va7]) we start exploiting them for achieving progress in the proof of the Mumford–Tate conjecture. In this paper, we concentrate mostly in using techniques from reductive groups (see [Va1, 4.3] and 4.3.3-4), from the local deformation theory (see [Va1, 5.2-4]), and from Shimura varieties (see [Va1, 6.5-6] and §3). In [Va5] (resp. in [Va7]) we will enlarge these techniques by the ones of [LP1] and by extra techniques pertaining to Shimura varieties (resp. by the ones involving – see [Va2, 3.6 and 3.15.1] – global deformations and the ones involved – see the announcements of [Va1, 1.7.1] and [Va7] – in our work on the Langlands–Rapoport conjecture). We do hope that all these techniques and ideas will match to a complete proof of the conjecture.

For samples of what the new techniques presented here can achieve see 1.4. These techniques are elaborated in §3 (the ones involving Shimura varieties) and in §4 (the ones involving reductive groups and the local deformation theory). For details of how the paper is structured see 1.5-8.

1.3.2. The context. We consider the situation of 1.2: $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split and $p \geq N(A)$. Let $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ be a family of homogeneous tensors of (see [Va2, 2.1]) the essential tensor algebra $\mathcal{T}(H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{E}}, \mathbb{Q}_p))$ of $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{E}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \oplus H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{E}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)^*$ such that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the subgroup of $GL(H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{E}}, \mathbb{Q}_p))$ fixing v_α , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. Such a family exists (cf. [De3, 3.1 c])). For our proposed number $N(A) \in \mathbb{N}$ see 4.3.0; the main new ideas behind its definition are based on the philosophy of 4.3.4.1. Here we just mention that $N(A)$ depends only on A and is effectively (and easily) computable. Below we take p big enough and odd and such that we are as well in the context of S1. For instance, $p \geq N(A)$ works for all that follows; warning: each isolated part of 1.4-8 can be worked out under better bounds. Below it is irrelevant (cf. 4.0) which complex embeddings we choose for different number fields and so we do not mention them.

1.4. The main results. To state some of the results of this paper, let $\text{Sh}(H_A, X_A)$ be

the Shimura variety attached to A . We write the adjoint Shimura pair

$$(1) \quad (H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}}) = \prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (H_j, X_j)$$

as a finite product of simple, adjoint Shimura pairs. We have (see 5.1.2):

Theorem 1. *The split criterion holds if $\forall j \in \mathcal{J}$, the adjoint Shimura pair (H_j, X_j) is one of the following types:*

- a) non-special A_n type;
- b) B_n type;
- c) C_n type, with n odd;
- c') C_{2n} type, with n odd and such that $4n$ is not of the form C_{4m+2}^{2m+1} , with $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- d) $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type, with n odd and such that $2n$ is not of the form C_{2m+1}^{2m} , with $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- d') non-inner $D_{2n}^{\mathbb{H}}$ type, with $n \in \{q, 3q | q \text{ a prime}\} \setminus \{9\}$;
- e) $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type.

Here we do not describe in detail what means non-special A_n type (see 5.1.2.1 2)). We just mention four large families of cases of such types.

Samples (see 5.1.2.3). (H_j, X_j) is of non-special A_n type if any one of the following four conditions is satisfied:

- i) $H_{j\mathbb{R}}$ has two simple factors $SU(a_i, b_i)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$, $i = \overline{1, 2}$, such that $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 \leq b_2 < b_1$ and either $(a_1, b_1) = 1$ or $(a_2, b_2) = 1$;
- ii) $H_{j\mathbb{R}}$ has a simple, non-compact factor $SU(a, b)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$, with $\text{g.c.d.}(a, b) = 1$ with the pair (a, b) not of the form (C_r^s, C_r^{s-1}) , for $s, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $2 \leq s \leq r - 1$;
- iii) $H_{j\mathbb{R}}$ has as a factor a product $SU(a, a)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}} \times SU(b, 2a - b)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ with b different from a and odd;
- iv) H_j is of A_{q-1} Lie type, with q equal to 4 or to a prime.

See 2.5 for the notion of (H_j, X_j) being of non-inner type. Sample (cf. end of 2.5.1): (H_j, X_j) is of non-inner $D_{2n}^{\mathbb{H}}$ if it is of $D_{2n}^{\mathbb{H}}$ with $\mathbb{R}$ -involution in the sense of 2.5.1 b). Let A_v be the reduction of A w.r.t. v . Let T_v be the Frobenius torus of A_v . Let l be a rational prime different from p . Let $G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ have a meaning analogue to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ but for l . We have:

Theorem 2 (see 3.3.2). *Let $j \in \mathcal{J}$. We view $T_{v\mathbb{Q}_l}$ as a subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ (uniquely determined up to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ -conjugacy). We have: all images of $T_{v\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}}$ in simple factors of $H_{j\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}}$ have the same dimension.*

Theorem 3 (see 4.4.8 b) and c)). *We assume A_v is an ordinary abelian variety. Then T_v is isomorphic to a torus of H_A and $T_{v\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is isomorphic to a torus of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Moreover, for any rational prime q , $Z^0(H_{A\mathbb{Q}_q})$ is a torus of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$.*

1.5. Some complements to [Va2]. Our work on 1.2 grew out (see [Va2, 3.6.20 2]) of Milne's conjecture ([Mi4, 0.1]; see also [Va1, 5.6.6]). A significant part of [Va7] is dedicated

to this conjecture; so in 2.2 we first state it as a question in a more general context and then prove it in two generic situations (see 2.2.1-2) as well as in a special case (see 2.2.3), just to pay due homage to it and to be able to apply it in §3. Extra complements to [Va1-2] are included in 2.3-5. We draw attention to 2.4-5. 2.4 complements the relative form [Va2, 3.6.18.7] of the inducing property of [Va2, 3.6.18.5], over a complete, ramified DVR V of mixed characteristic and residue field k . 2.5 introduces some extra fields (accompanying reflex fields) and so implicitly types of simple, adjoint Shimura pairs.

1.6. Embeddings into Siegel modular varieties and the shifting process. In 3.1-2 we prove a rational version of the result stated in [Va2, 1.15.8 1) to 4)]. It is just the $\mathbb{Q}$ -version of the results (over $\mathbb{R}$) of [Sa], obtained following the pattern of [Va1, 6.5-6] and Erratum to [Va1]. In other words, starting from a Shimura pair (G, X) of adjoint, abelian type, we construct (see 3.2 for the case when (G, X) is simple and see 3.2.2 2) for the passage to the general case via the Hodge quasi products of 2.6) useful injective maps

$$(G_0, X_0) \hookrightarrow (G_1, X_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} (GSp(W, \psi), S),$$

with $(G_0^{\text{ad}}, X_0^{\text{ad}}) = (G, X)$, with f_1 a PEL type embedding (see [Va2, 2.1] for the meaning of this; cf. also [Sh] and [Ko]), and with G_1^{der} a simply connected semisimple group whose adjoint has all simple factors of some A_n Lie type ($n \in \mathbb{N}$ can depend on the factor). This rational version of [Va2, 1.15.8] is good enough to get as well an effective generic version of loc. cit. (see 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 3)). 3.2.1 has many applications (see 1.6.1 and 3.2.2 4) for a glimpse): as part of its proof is slightly sketched, they are not stated here. In some situations it is more practical to work with uniformized variants of 3.2.1 3); they are mentioned in 3.3.3.1.

The proof of 3.2 relies on [De2, 2.3.10], being as well the (refined) unitary version of loc. cit., and on a natural twisting process (see 3.1 F)) of certain semisimple groups over number fields. The passage from [Sa] to [De2, 2.3.10] “forgets” the fact that the embeddings of hermitian symmetric domains of classical Lie type into Siegel domains constructed in [Sa] are obtained by first achieving embeddings into hermitian symmetric domains associated to unitary groups. The mentioned twisting process is the extra ingredient needed to “correct” this forgetfulness.

1.6.1. More on 3.3. In 3.3.1-2 we prove Theorem 2 (under stronger conditions which just require p big enough). The proof relies on 3.2.1 2), on standard arguments involving Frobenius tori and on the fact that the Langlands–Rapoport conjecture is known to be true (see [Mi4]) for Shimura varieties of A_n type. In fact, for what we need from this last conjecture, the main result of [Zi] suffices.

Theorem 2, though it is used just in 5.1.3-4 beginning with the Step 2 of 5.1.3, it is stated and proved in 3.3 for not interfering with the flow of ideas of §4-5. For it, we assume that the reader is familiar with some of the results reviewed in parts running from 4.0 to 4.2.7.1 and (very isolated) with 4.2.8, 4.2.11 and 4.2.14. So the reader less familiar with these reviewed results, should read 3.3 only after reading the mentioned parts of 4.0-2.

The shifting process is stated explicitly in 3.3.3. It can be summarized as: from the point of view of S3 or S4, we have total freedom to “cook” an embedding into a Siegel modular variety of a Shimura variety whose adjoint variety is isomorphic to $\text{Sh}(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$.

See 3.3.3 ADV for some advantages we get using a suitable such “cooking” (which replaces A by another abelian variety A^1 over a finite field extension E^1 of E , unrelated to A or A_{E^1} in any usual way, like isogenies, Weil restrictions of scalars, etc.; however, it is appropriate to say that A^1 and A_{E^1} are adjoint-isogeneous).

The ideas of 3.3 can be used in many other situations; in particular, they reobtain (see 3.3.2.1) the results of [Pi, 5.10-11] (recalled in 4.2.14), provided we know that the ordinary reduction conjecture (for instance, see [Oo, p. 11]) is true for A .

1.7. On Theorem 1. Most of the proof of Theorem 1 is standard (to be compared with [Pi, 5.14-15]). However, it involves three main new ideas. First, in the A_n type case, besides Serre’s result (see [Pi, 3.5]) and Pink’s results (see [Pi, 5.10-11]), we rely on 3.2.1 2) and [Zi]. In other words, if all Shimura pairs (H_j, X_j) ($j \in \mathcal{J}$) are of some A_n type, then using 3.3.1 2) and the main result of [Zi] we check directly that we can assume (in connection to S3 or S4) that T_v is isomorphic to a torus of an inner form of H_A (we recall p is big enough).

Second, we do not need assumptions on (the dimension or the structure of) H_A^{ab} , as [Wi] and the simple Fact 4.2.7.2 make them unnecessary. They achieve S5, cf. Step 3 of 5.1.3. See also Exercise 5.1.7 for the stronger fact (generalizing [Bog]) that always $Z^0(H_A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a torus of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Third, we use more intimately 3.3.3.1. More precisely: Theorem 2, the shifting process of 3.3.3 and 3.3.3.1 are the main new ingredients needed to accomplish S4 (via Faltings’ well known result 4.2.3 and Zarhin’s lemma stated in 4.2.5.1); see Step 2 of 5.1.3. We do not stop here to detail fully how these ingredients get combined in the general case. However, as an exemplification of their usage, we assume for the next 2 paragraphs that $\mathcal{J}$ has just one element and that $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ is as in e) of Theorem 1.

It is known (cf. [Pi, 4.3 and 5.10]) that the image $\mathcal{F}_1$ of $G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ in a simple factor $\mathcal{F}_0$ of $H_{A\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^{\text{ad}}$ is either $\mathcal{F}_0$ itself or is a suitable product PB of two simple, adjoint groups of some B_m Lie type, $m \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$; the B_0 Lie type corresponds to the trivial group. The shifting process and 3.3.3 1) allow us to assume that the representation of $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ on $H^1 := H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ involves both the half spin representations (of the split D_n Lie type) and that any non-trivial, irreducible subrepresentation of $\text{Lie}(H_{A\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^{\text{der}})$ on H^1 factors through a simple factor of it (i.e. no tensor product representations of products of simple $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -Lie algebras are involved). The representation of $\text{Lie}(PB)$ on a non-trivial, simple $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ -submodule H_i^1 of H^1 which is as well a $H_{A\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ -module, is (up to isomorphism) the same regardless of which half spin representation is involved in defining H_i^1 .

Moreover, based on 3.3.3 1) and 2) we can assume that, for any arbitrarily chosen such $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ -submodule H_i^1 , the representation on it of $Z^0(H_{A\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}})$ is the same scalar representation as for a similar $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ -submodule $H_{i'}^1$ of H^1 but involving the other half spin representation. So if $\mathcal{F}_1$ is not $\mathcal{F}_0$, we reach a contradiction with 4.2.3. If no simple factor of $H_{A\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ is compact, an entirely similar argument (based on 3.3.3 3)) shows that $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ can not project onto two or more distinct simple factors of $\text{Lie}(H_{A\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^{\text{ad}})$.

Warning: in general, if (H_j, X_j) is of non-special A_n type with involution or if $H_{j\mathbb{R}}$ has compact factors, the above first and third ideas have to be intimately combined (via

3.3.3.1) in order to get that a simple factor of $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{ad}})$ does not project onto two or more simple factors of $\mathrm{Lie}(H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (see Step 2 of 5.1.3).

1.7.1. Comments. To our knowledge, a) and e) of Theorem 1 are completely new general instances for the validity of the conjecture. For instance, e) was not known before even in the case when $\mathrm{End}(A_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{Z}$. Very particular cases of a), b), c), c'), d) and d') of Theorem 1, but involving Shimura varieties of arbitrary dimension, were known previously; see 4.2.16 for references. From the point of view of possibilities of types of $(H_j^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_j^{\mathrm{ad}})$, Theorem 1 handles about 80 percent; this percentage is computed as follows: 20 percent for each b) and e), 16 percent for a), 14 percent for c) and c'), and just 10 percent for d) and d'). See 5.1.2.4 for the simplest cases not settled by Theorem 1.

1.8. On Theorem 3. To explain the ideas behind Theorem 3, we need some notations. Let O_v be the ring of integers of E_v . So $W(\mathbb{F})$ is the completion of the maximal unramified, finite extension of O_v . It is quite convenient (from notations' point of view) to work what follows over $W(\mathbb{F})$ instead of over O_v (however, see 4.4.7.2).

After some nice arrangements (cf. most of 4.3) we can put everything in the context of a Shimura filtered σ -crystal

$$\mathcal{C} := (M, F^1, \phi, G_{W(\mathbb{F})}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

over $\mathbb{F}$. M , F^1 and ϕ are as explained below. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, t_α is the homogeneous tensor of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ corresponding to v_α under Fontaine's comparison theory. $G_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ is the Zariski closure in $GL(M)$ of the subgroup of $GL(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing t_α , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. Here is the central place where we need p to be big enough: for these arrangements we use (see 4.3.4) the theory of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -well positioned family of tensors of [Va1, 4.3]; we mainly need [Va1, 4.3.10.1 1)].

To reach to the context of $\mathcal{C}$ we need to pass to a $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -isogeny A' of $A_{E'}$ (with E' a finite field extension of E) and to a prime v' of E' dividing v , cf. 4.3.3 and 4.3.5-6. What we achieve by doing this: the Zariski closure $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in $GL(H_{\mathrm{et}}^1(A'_{\overline{E}}, \mathbb{Z}_p))$ is a (split) reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

If v' is unramified over p , then $M := H_{\mathrm{crys}}^1(A'_{\mathbb{F}}/W(\mathbb{F}))$, with $A'_{\mathbb{F}}$ as the special fibre of the (abelian scheme which is the) Néron model $A'_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ of $A'_{B(\mathbb{F})}$, ϕ is the resulting σ -linear endomorphism of M and F^1 is the Hodge filtration of M defined by $A'_{W(\mathbb{F})}$.

If v' is ramified over p , then the filtered σ -crystal (M, F^1, ϕ) is still the one of an abelian scheme A^0 over $W(\mathbb{F})$; $A_{\mathbb{F}}^0$ is the extension to $\mathbb{F}$ of the reduction of A' w.r.t. v' . To explain how we get A^0 , let L be the completion of the maximal unramified, algebraic field extension of E'_v , and let O_L be its ring of integers. Let $e_A := [O_L : W(\mathbb{F})]$. Let $\tilde{R}e_A$ be the piano ring of $\mathbb{F}$ of resonance e_A (see 2.1). Let A'_{O_L} be the abelian scheme over O_L which is the Néron model of A'_L . As $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is a split, reductive group and as p is big enough, we can assume (see 4.3.4) that the family of tensors $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ has a subfamily which is $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -very well position w.r.t. $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and is enveloped by $H_{\mathrm{et}}^1(A'_L, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. So the machinery of [Va1, 5.2-3] applies to A'_{O_L} . We get (see 4.4.5; 1.8.1 points out that we can assume that we are in a polarized context) an abelian scheme $A_{\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}e_A)}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}e_A)$ such that:

- a) its pull back through an O_L -valued point of $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}e_A)$ is A'_{O_L} ;

b) the family $(w'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ of tensors corresponding to $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ under Fontaine's comparison theory, belongs to the F^1 -filtration of $\mathcal{T}(H_{dR}^1(A_{\text{Spec}(\tilde{R}e_A)}/\tilde{R}e_A)[\frac{1}{p}])$ defined by the Hodge filtration of $A_{\text{Spec}(\tilde{R}e_A[\frac{1}{p}])}$.

We take $(A^0, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}) := z_0^*(A_{\text{Spec}(\tilde{R}e_A)}, (w'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$, where z_0 is the $W(\mathbb{F})$ -valued Teichmüller lift of $\text{Spec}(\tilde{R}e_A)$; see 2.1 for the Frobenius lift of $\text{Spec}(\tilde{R}e_A)$.

1.8.1. Principal polarizations. Regardless of how v' is over p , we can assume (this is standard, see 4.3.2-3 and 4.3.5-6) that all abelian schemes considered above (i.e. A , A' , $A_{\text{Spec}(\tilde{R}e_A)}$ and A^0) are principally polarized and have some level- N symplectic similitude structure (for $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$ and relatively prime to p ; as $p \geq 3$, we take $N = 4$). We can also assume that the $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -isogeny between $A_{E'}$ and A' is compatible with the chosen polarizations.

If v' is unramified over p , we denote $A'_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ from now on by A^0 . So A^0 is well defined regardless of how v' is over p . Let $\psi_M: M \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} M \rightarrow W(\mathbb{F})(1)$ be the perfect alternating form defined by the principal polarization of A^0 . We get a principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ -crystal

$$\mathcal{CP} := (M, F^1, \phi, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}, \psi_M).$$

1.8.2. Versal deformation. Next we just apply the machinery of [Va1, 5.4]. We get (see 4.4.7) a versal deformation of $\mathcal{CP}$ over a regular ring R of formal power series with coefficients in $W(\mathbb{F})$; it corresponds to a principally polarized abelian scheme $(A_R^0, p_{A_R^0})$ over $\text{Spec}(R)$, endowed with a family of de Rham tensors. 2.4.1 shows that A'_{O_L} and its principal polarization are the pull back of $(A_R^0, p_{A_R^0})$ through an O_L -valued point of $\text{Spec}(R)$. If $A_{\mathbb{F}}^0$ has ordinary reduction, then [Va2, 2.3.17.1-2 and 3.1.0 b)] implies that its canonical lift is obtained from A_R^0 through a $W(\mathbb{F})$ -valued point of $\text{Spec}(R)$. In this way we get (via the fact that all Hodge cycles of A'_{O_L} are “preserved” under this deformation over R ; see [Va1, 5.4.5 and 5.2.10]) the $\mathbb{Q}$ -part of Theorem 3. As [Fa3, ch. 5] and [Fa2, 2.6] deal just with global contexts, for the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -part of Theorem 3 we use besides loc. cit. the global deformations of [Va2, 3.6 and 3.15.1].

1.8.3. A complementary way to Pink's results. The above ideas of 1.8 can be used (see 4.4.10) to reobtain [Pi, 5.10-11] (via [Va2] and Serre's and Katz–Messing's results used in [Pi, §3]). We hope they will be useful in other situations as well: in the part of [Va7] related to the Mumford–Tate conjecture, we start from where the ideas of 4.4.7-9 and 3.3 stop; see 4.4.11 and 5.2 for samples.

1.8.4. On the splitness assumption. The assumption $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split is not needed for Theorem 2 and so implicitly for Theorem 1. Moreover, for most of the above part of 1.8 it is enough to assume $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is unramified, cf. 4.4.12. However, as in this paper we do not perform any extrapolations (they are hinted at in 4.4.11) and as for our present main hope (see 5.2) to a complete proof of the Mumford–Tate conjecture we do need $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to be split, it has been felt practical to make the ideas more palatable by assuming most of the time $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split.

1.9. Some applications. In §5 we also present (besides Theorem 1, examples for a) of it and S4) briefly some applications (see the definition of Serre's volumes in 5.3, the complement to [No] in 5.1.6 and the remark 5.4 2) on the ordinary reduction conjecture) and some other conclusions (like 5.1.5, 5.4 8) and 5.5.1-2). 5.1.5 is an application to direct sums of abelian motives over number fields; we refer to it as the independence property (of suitable Galois representations). 5.5.1 extends slightly the main result (pertaining to the existence of some cocharacters) of [Wi] to certain p -divisible groups endowed with $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -étale Tate tensors over a V as in 1.5. 5.5.2 is a complement to [Va1, 4.3.10.1 1)].

1.10. Acknowledgements. We would like to thank UC at Berkeley (resp. Univ. of Utah and Univ. of Arizona) for providing us with good conditions for the writing of this manuscript (resp. for improving on it). We would like to thank Prof. J. S. Milne for providing us with the manuscript [Mi4] at the right time for being able to build up properly on [Mi4, 0.1]. We would like to thank Prof. S. G. Tankeev for making us aware of 4.2.5 and Prof. G. Faltings for pointing out an inexactitude in the first version of 2.2.2.1.

Also, we are obliged to [Pi]: though this work was done independently of [Pi], as afterthoughts, we did not hesitate to simplify the things using [Pi] in 5.1; moreover, the definition 5.1.2.1 1) (based on [Pi, 5.10]) and the numerical analysis of 5.1.2.2 are slightly more general than the ones we initially thought of. In other words: the ideas of 3.1-2 and §4 were clear to us in February 1996, but we learned Serre's theory of [Chi] later on (1998-9). This research was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS 97-05376.

§2. Some complements

In this chapter we include some complements to [Va1-2]. Let p and k be as in 1.3.

2.1. Piano rings. Let $e \in \mathbb{N}$. Let T be an independent variable. We denote by $\tilde{R}e$ (resp. by Re) the subring of $B(k)[[T]]$ formed by formal power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n T^n$ for which $a_n \left[\frac{n}{e}\right]! \in W(k)$ for all n (resp. for which the sequence $b_n := a_n \left[\frac{n}{e}\right]!$ is formed by elements of $W(k)$ and converges to 0). Sometimes, when we want to emphasize k , we write $\tilde{R}e(k)$ (resp. $Re(k)$) instead of $\tilde{R}e$ (resp. of Re). Their Frobenius lifts (see [Fa3] or [Va1, 5.2]; for $p = 2$ see also [Va2, 2.3.18 B8]) are defined by: T goes to T^p . Obviously we have a natural $W(k)$ -monomorphism $Re \hookrightarrow \tilde{R}e$. The rings Re were used for the first time with significant impact in the original versions of [Fa3], while the rings $\tilde{R}e$ were used for the first time with significant impact in [Va1, 5.2] (resp. in [Va2, 2.3.18 B]) for $p \geq 3$ (resp. for $p = 2$). We refer to $\tilde{R}e$ (resp. to Re) as the piano ring of k of resonance e (resp. of convergent resonance e). This terminology is inspired from their construction and from the following important Fact (for $p \geq 3$, see [Fa3, ch. 2] and [Va1, 5.2.1]; the case $p = 2$ is very much the same):

Fact. *We assume $p \geq 3$ (resp. $p = 2$). For any DVR V which is a finite, flat, totally ramified extension of $W(k)$ of degree e , there are $W(k)$ -epimorphisms $Re \twoheadrightarrow V$ and $\tilde{R}e \twoheadrightarrow V$ (resp. there are $W(k)$ -epimorphisms $Re \twoheadrightarrow V$); they are defined by: T is mapped into an arbitrarily chosen uniformizer of V . Moreover, mapping T to 0, we get $W(k)$ -epimorphisms $Re \twoheadrightarrow W(k)$ and $\tilde{R}e \twoheadrightarrow W(k)$ respecting Frobenius lifts.*

We view the $W(k)$ -epimorphisms of the above Fact as the keys of a piano tuned on convergent or non-convergent (resp. convergent) resonances of length e . If $e' \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $e' \geq e$, then we have natural $W(k)$ -monomorphisms (inclusions)

$$Re' \hookrightarrow Re$$

and $\tilde{R}e' \hookrightarrow \tilde{R}e$. So in the Fact, we can substitute “of degree e ” by: of degree at most e .

2.2. Digression on a conjecture of Milne. For the original conjecture of Milne we have in mind we refer to [Mi4, 0.1] and [Va1, 5.6.6]. Let A be an abelian variety over a DVR V of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. Let $K := V[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let $(s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ be a suitable family of Hodge cycles of A_K . In the context of abelian varieties, the conjecture asserts that under some mild conditions the below question has a positive answer.

Q. *Is there a faithfully flat, p -adically complete V -algebra R such that there is an R -isomorphism*

$$\rho: H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{dR}^1(A/V) \otimes_V R$$

taking the p -component of the étale component of s_α into the de Rham component of s_α , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$?

A similar question can be put for other classes of varieties or cycles (and so of objects). In particular, using Fontaine’s comparison theory to define étale counterparts of de Rham tensors, we have an analogue of Q for Shimura p -divisible groups. For an intensive study of this question (in the broader context of Shimura p -divisible groups) see [Va7]; for an analogue study for K3 surfaces we refer to [Va4]. Here we “touch” this question just as far as it brings more light to parts of §3.

Warning: Tate-twists of Hodge cycles are allowed; $\mathbb{Z}_p(m)$, with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, is always identified, as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module, with $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and the same applies with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ being replaced by $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Q}$. For abelian varieties the situation is very much simplified: they are always polarized and so, as in [Va2, 2.3.1], in practice we just need to keep track of such polarizations and of Hodge cycles which involve no Tate-twists and of whose different realizations (like Betti, de Rham, etc.) are homogeneous tensors of corresponding essential tensor algebras.

To study Q we can assume V is a complete DVR having an algebraically closed residue field. If this is so and if the subgroup G of $GL := GL(H_{dR}^1(A/V))$ defined as the Zariski closure of the subgroup of GL_K fixing the de Rham component of s_α , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, is a reductive group over V , then (this is standard) the answer to the above question is yes iff such an isomorphism ρ exists for $R = V$.

2.2.0. Definition. We say A has the MC property, if the answer to the above question is true, provided we work with the family of all Hodge cycles of A (here MC stands for Milne’s conjecture).

2.2.1. The generic situation over a number field. Let A be an abelian variety over a number field E . Let $(s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ be the family of all Hodge cycles of A . We assume it is equal to the family of all Hodge cycles of $A_{\bar{E}}$ (i.e. we assume that by enlarging E we do not gain extra Hodge cycles, cf. [De3]). We choose an embedding $E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$; in what follows $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ refers w.r.t. it. Let

$$L := H_B^1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $W := L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Let s_{α}^B be the element of the tensor algebra of $W \oplus W^*$ which is the Betti realization of s_{α} , $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$.

Let O_E be the ring of integers of E and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that A extends to an abelian scheme $\mathcal{A}$ over $\text{Spec}(O_E[\frac{1}{N}])$. Let $D := H_{dR}^1(\mathcal{A}/O_E[\frac{1}{N}])$. We have:

Fact. *There is a finite field extension E_1 of E such that we have an E_1 -isomorphism*

$$i_{E_1} : W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} D \otimes_{O_E[\frac{1}{N}]} E_1$$

whose extension to an E_1 -isomorphism between tensor algebras takes s_{α}^B into the de Rham realization s_{α}^{dR} of s_{α} , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. Moreover, there is $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ a multiple of N such that $i_{E_1}(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} O_{E_1}[\frac{1}{N_1}]) = D \otimes_{O_E[\frac{1}{N}]} O_{E_1}[\frac{1}{N_1}]$ (here O_{E_1} is the ring of integers of E_1).

This Fact is a (standard) consequence of the following fact: under the de Rham isomorphism

$$W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} D \otimes_E \mathbb{C},$$

s_{α}^B is taken into s_{α}^{dR} , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ (cf. [De3, §1-2]). From this Fact and the standard connection between étale and Betti cohomologies (see [SGA4, Exp. XI]), we deduce:

2.2.1.1. Corollary. *There is a multiple $M(A) \in \mathbb{N}$ of N such that the pull back of $\mathcal{A}$ to any local ring of $\text{Spec}(O_E[\frac{1}{M(A)}})$ which is a DVR, has the MC property.*

In [Va7] we will see that $M(A)$ is effectively computable.

2.2.2. The generic situation in the context of Shimura varieties of Hodge type. Let $f: (G, X) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S)$ be an embedding of the Shimura pair (G, X) of Hodge type into a Shimura pair defining a Siegel modular variety. Let L be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of W such that we get a perfect alternating form $\psi: L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$K_4 := \{g \in GSp(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \psi)(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) | g \bmod 4 \text{ is the identity element}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ -scheme parameterizing isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian schemes of relative dimension equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W)/2$ and having level-4 symplectic similitude structure; we have a natural identification

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Sh}_{K_4}(GSp(W, \psi), S),$$

cf. [Va1, 3.2.9 and 4.1.0]. Let H be a compact, open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ contained in K_4 . We have a natural morphism $\text{Sh}_H(G, X) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{K_4}(GSp(W, \psi), S)$, cf. [Va1, 2.4]. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the normalization of $\mathcal{M}$ in the ring of fractions of $\text{Sh}_H(G, X)$. $\mathcal{M}$ is quasi-projective over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ (see [MFK, p. 139]) and so an excellent scheme. So $\mathcal{N}$ is of finite type over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ be the pull back to $\mathcal{N}$ of the universal principally polarized abelian scheme over $\mathcal{M}$. For the sake of simplicity we assume that all Hodge cycles of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{Spec}(\overline{\mathcal{K}})}$ are as well Hodge cycles of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{K})}$, for any $\mathcal{N}$ -scheme $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{K})$ which is the spectrum of the

field of fractions of a connected component of $\mathcal{N}_{E(G,X)}$. In other words, we assume H is small enough. We have:

2.2.2.1. Lemma. *There is a number $M(f, L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every DVR R of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$, with $p \geq M(f, L)$, any abelian scheme A_R obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ by pull back through a morphism $m_R : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and of whose Mumford–Tate group is G itself, has the MC property.*

Proof: As $\mathcal{N}$ is of finite type over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$, generically this is very much the same as 2.2.1. Instead of number fields and their rings of integers we have to work with fields of fractions of different connected components of $\mathcal{N}_{E(G,X)}$ and with rings of global functions of different affine, integral, open subschemes of $\mathcal{N}$. We deduce the existence of an open, dense subscheme U of $\mathcal{N}$ such that $m_{V_1}^*(\mathcal{A})$ has the MC property, for any morphism $m_{V_1} : \text{Spec}(V_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, with V_1 a DVR of mixed characteristic, factoring through U . Let $N_d \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that for any prime $q \geq N_d$, $U_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is dense in $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$.

Let $N_r \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that the Zariski closure of G in $GL(L[\frac{1}{(N_r-1)!}])$ is a reductive group scheme. From [Va1, 5.8.6] and [Va2, 2.3.6] we deduce that for any prime v of $E(G, X)$ dividing a prime $q \geq \max\{5, N_r, \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W)\}$, the triple $(f, L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(q)}, v)$ is a SHS.

Now we can take

$$M(f, L) := \max\{5, N_d, N_r, \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W)\}.$$

To see this, let m_R and A_R be as in the text of the Lemma. The $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{R[\frac{1}{p}]}}^{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ together with the family of p -components of étale components of Hodge cycles of $A_{\overline{R[\frac{1}{p}]}}^{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}}$ does not depend on the choice of such a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -morphism m_R (cf. the moduli interpretations of [Va1, 4.1]). From this, the definition of $M(f, L)$ and [Va2, 2.3.13.1] we get: to check that A_R has MC, we can assume m_R factors through U . Conclusion: A_R has the MC property. This ends the proof.

In [Va7] we will see that $M(f, L)$ is effectively computable.

2.2.3. The case of canonical lifts. We now assume $k = \bar{k}$ and $V = W(k)$. We also assume A is the canonical lift of an ordinary abelian variety over k . Let (M, F^1, ϕ) be the filtered σ -crystal over k of A . The slopes of (M, ϕ) are just 0 and 1. Let $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow GL(M)$ be the canonical split cocharacter of (M, F^1, ϕ) (cf. [Wi] and the conventions of [Va2, 2.1]). We get a direct sum decomposition $M = F^1 \oplus F^0$, with $\gamma \in \mathbb{G}_m(W(k))$ acting through μ on F^i as the multiplication with γ^{-i} , $i = \overline{0, 1}$. We have $\phi(F^i) \subset F^i$, $i = \overline{0, 1}$. For $p \geq 3$ (resp. for $p = 2$), let $B^+(W(k))$ be the Fontaine’s ring as defined in [Fa3] (see also [Va1, 5.2]) (resp. as used in [Va2, 2.3.18.1 E]).

We consider the $B^+(W(k))$ -monomorphism

$$\rho : M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{B(k)}}^{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k))$$

of the integral version of Fontaine’s comparison theory (see [Fa3, th. 5 or 7]; for $p = 2$ see also [Va2, 2.3.18.1 E]). For $p \geq 3$ (resp. for $p = 2$), let $\beta \in B^+(W(k))$ be defined as

in [Va1, 5.2.3] but working with $B^+(W(k))$ (resp. be defined as in [Va2, 2.3.18.1 E]). We have:

$$\rho(\mu(\beta)(M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k)))) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{B(k)}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(W(k)).$$

This can be checked easily starting from $W(k)$ -basis $\{f_i | i \in \{1, \dots, \dim(A)\}\}$ and $\{e_i | i \in \{1, \dots, \dim(A)\}\}$ of F^1 and respectively of F^0 such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \dim(A)\}$ we have $\phi(f_i) = pf_i$ and $\phi(e_i) = e_i$. For $p = 2$ we need to add: we can assume $W(k)f_i$ (resp. $W(k)e_i$) is the direct summand of M defined naturally by a p -divisible group which is a direct summand of the p -divisible group of A .

Any Hodge cycle of A is a de Rham cycle in the sense of [Bl] (cf. [Va2, th. of 2.3.9 A]). So (to be compared with [Va2, cor. of 2.3.10]) ϕ fixes the de Rham component HC_{dR} of any Hodge cycle HC of A . This implies: $\mu(\beta)$, viewed as a $B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta}]$ -linear automorphism of $M \otimes_{W(k)} B^+(W(k))[\frac{1}{\beta}]$, fixes HC_{dR} . So $\rho \circ \mu(\beta)$ takes HC_{dR} into the p -component of the étale component of HC . We get the following Fact (it solves [Va2, 4.6.5]):

Fact. *A has the MC property.*

2.3. Representation well positioned families of tensors. Let O be a DVR. Let π be a uniformizer of it. Let $K := O[\frac{1}{\pi}]$. Let M_O be an O -lattice of a finite dimensional K -vector space W . Let G_K be a reductive subgroup of $GL(W)$. For the notion (resp. theory) of O -well positioned families of tensors w.r.t. G_K we refer to [Va1, 4.3.4 and 4.3.7 1)] (resp. to [Va1, 4.3]). Here we introduce some extra definitions, in order to complement [Va1, 4.3.10.1 1)] later on (see 4.3.4.8 b) and 5.5.2).

2.3.1. Definition. A family $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ of G_K -invariant tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M_O)$ is said to be M_O -representation very well positioned for G_K if the following 3 things hold:

- a) it is O -very well positioned for G_K ;
- b) for every local, integral, faithfully flat O -algebra R , and for any free R -module M satisfying $M[\frac{1}{\pi}] = W \otimes_K R[\frac{1}{\pi}]$, enveloping it, and such that $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, v_α is a tensor of $\mathcal{T}(M_O)$ having a non-zero image modulo π iff it is a tensor of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ having a non-zero image modulo m_R (with m_R the maximal ideal of R), there is an isomorphism

$$\rho: M_O \otimes_O R^{\text{sh}} \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_R R^{\text{sh}},$$

taking v_α into v_α , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$;

- c) G_K is the subgroup of $GL(M[\frac{1}{\pi}])$ fixing v_α , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ (so ρ of b) is defined by an element of $G_K(W \otimes_K R^{\text{sh}}[\frac{1}{p}])$).

As in [Va1, 4.3.4] we have variants: we speak about a weakly (resp. strongly) M_O -representation very well positioned family of tensors; for this we keep c), we request R in b) to be normal (resp. to be just reduced) instead of integral, and in a) we request the family to be weakly (resp. strongly) O -very well positioned for G_K .

2.3.2. Definition. A family $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ of G_K -invariant tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M_O)$ is said to be M_O -representation well positioned for G_K if 2.3.1 a) is true, while 2.3.1 b) is true only for DVR's which are faithfully flat O -algebras (warning: for the sake of generality and flexibility, 2.3.1 c) is ignored here).

2.4. An inducing property for p -divisible groups in the ramified case. What follows is a complement to the relative form [Va2, 3.6.18.5.7] of the inducing property of [Va2, 3.6.18.5]. It is desirable to have a variant of this relative form over complete, regular $W(k)$ -algebras which are not formally smooth. Below we deal with the simplest case of a totally ramified, finite, DVR extension V of $W(k)$. Let $K := V[\frac{1}{p}]$ and $e := [V : W(k)]$.

Let H_V be a p -divisible group over V . Let

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(H_V, \mathbb{Z}_p) := T_p(H_V)^*$$

be the dual of the Tate module of H_V . Let $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ be a family of homogeneous tensors of $\mathcal{T}(H_{\text{ét}}^1(H_V, \mathbb{Z}_p))$ fixed by $\text{Gal}(K)$. We assume the existence of a reductive subgroup $\tilde{G}$ of $GL(H_{\text{ét}}^1(H_V, \mathbb{Z}_p))$ having the following two properties:

- i) its generic fibre is the subgroup of $GL(H_{\text{ét}}^1(H_V, \mathbb{Z}_p))_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ fixing w_α , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$;
- ii) there is a subset $\mathcal{J}_0$ of $\mathcal{J}$ such that the family of homogeneous tensors $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -well positioned for $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

By the partial degrees of w_α we mean the numbers $a_\alpha, b_\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that

$$w_\alpha \in (H_{\text{ét}}^1(H_V, \mathbb{Z}_p))^{\otimes a_\alpha} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (H_{\text{ét}}^1(H_V, \mathbb{Z}_p)^*)^{\otimes b_\alpha}.$$

We also assume that the partial degrees of w_α are smaller or equal to $\max\{1, p-2\}$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$. We express this by: the partial degrees of $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ are not bigger than $\max\{1, p-2\}$. So in particular, we have:

$$\deg(w_\alpha) := a_\alpha + b_\alpha \leq \max\{2, 2(p-2)\}.$$

Let $\tilde{R}e$ and Re be as in 2.1. Let

$$(M, F^1(M), \Phi_M, \nabla)$$

be the filtered F -crystal over Re/pRe obtained by taking the dual of the Lie algebra of the universal vector extension of H_V (cf. [Me], [BBM] and [Fa3, ch. 6]). The main property (with $p \geq 2$) of Re needed to construct it is:

Fact. *The p -th power of any non-invertible element of Re/pRe is 0. So $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $Re/p^n Re$ is the inductive limit of its local, artinian $W_n(k)$ -subalgebras taken by the reduction mod 2^n of the Frobenius lift of Re into themselves (and ordered under the relation of inclusion).*

Let $(t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ be the family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ corresponding to $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ under Fontaine's comparison theory (see [Fa3, ch. 6]).

We recall (see 2.1) that we have natural monomorphisms

$$R := W(k)[[T]] \subset Re \subset \tilde{Re} \subset B(k)[[T]];$$

the Frobenius lifts of R , Re and $\tilde{Re}$ take T into T^p . Let FL be the Frobenius lift of $\tilde{Re}$. We fix a uniformizer π_V of V .

From now on we assume $p \geq 3$. π_V defines naturally $W(k)$ -epimorphisms $R \twoheadrightarrow V$, $Re \twoheadrightarrow V$ and $\tilde{Re} \twoheadrightarrow V$ (cf. Fact of 2.1); moreover, we have $W(k)$ -epimorphisms $R \twoheadrightarrow W(k)$, $Re \twoheadrightarrow W(k)$ and $\tilde{Re} \twoheadrightarrow W(k)$ defined by: T goes to 0. We refer to these six $W(k)$ -epimorphisms as the natural ones (defined by π_V); at the level of spectra we speak about the six natural embeddings (defined by π_V).

We assume the existence of a cocharacter

$$\mu_K: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow GL(M \otimes_{Re} K)$$

fixing the image t_α^V of t_α in $\mathcal{T}(M \otimes_{Re} K)$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, and such that it produces a direct sum decomposition

$$M \otimes_{Re} K = F^1(M) \otimes_{Re} K \oplus F_K^0$$

with the property that $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(K)$ acts through μ_K trivially on the summand F_K^0 and as the multiplication with β^{-1} on the summand $F^1(M) \otimes_{Re} K$.

Let $G_{\tilde{Re}}^\sim$ (resp. $H_{\tilde{Re}}^\sim$) be the reductive group (resp. be a p -divisible group) over $\tilde{Re}$, obtained as in [Va1, 5.2 and 5.3.1] (resp. as $\tilde{A}$ of [Va1, 5.3.3]) starting from the pair $(H_V, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$. So $G_{\tilde{Re}}^\sim$ is the extension to $\text{Spec}(\tilde{Re})$ of a reductive subgroup G_{Re} of $GL(M)$. [Va1, 5.2-3] is worked out in the context of abelian schemes; but the same construction (finalized in [Va1, 5.3.3]) applies to the context of p -divisible groups. We can apply [Va1, 5.2-3] as the family of tensors $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -well positioned for $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, is enveloped by $H_{\text{ét}}^1(H_V, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, and is of partial degrees not bigger than $p - 2$. The only difference from [Va1, 5.2-3]: as we did not assume k to be algebraically closed, it might happen that the groups $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{Re}}^\sim$ and $G_{\tilde{Re}}^\sim$ are not isomorphic.

Let $(M_0, F_0^1, \phi, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ be the Shimura filtered σ -crystal over k associated to the pull back $(H_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ of the pair $(H_{\tilde{Re}}^\sim, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ through the natural embedding $\text{Spec}(W(k)) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\tilde{Re})$ (to be compared with [Va1, (5.3.5-12)]). The pair $(H_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is a Shimura p -divisible group over $W(k)$. Let $G_{W(k)}^0$ be a smooth subgroup of $G_{W(k)}$ such that the natural morphism $m^0: G_{W(k)}^0 \rightarrow G_{W(k)}/P$, with P as the parabolic subgroup of $G_{W(k)}$ normalizing F_0^1 , is smooth. We consider a Shimura filtered F -crystal with an emphasized family of tensors (see [Va2, 2.2.10])

$$\mathfrak{C} = (M_0, F_0^1, \phi, G_{W(k)}, G_{W(k)}^0, \tilde{f}, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}).$$

Let $(H, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ be the Shimura p -divisible group over the completion $\hat{G}^0$ of $G_{W(k)}^0$ in its origin whose associated p -divisible object with tensors of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(\hat{G}^0)$ is $\mathfrak{C}$, cf. [Va2, 2.2.21 UP and 3.4.18.5.1]. We have:

Proposition. H_V together with its de Rham tensors $(t_\alpha^V)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ is obtained from H and its crystalline tensors $(t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$, by pull back through a V -valued point of $\widehat{G}^0$.

Proof: Based on [Va2, 2.2.21 UP] we can assume m^0 is étale. As in [Va1, 5.3.1] we get: we can assume μ_K extends to a cocharacter $\mu_V: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow GL(M \otimes_{Re} V)$ factoring through G_V . The construction of $H_{\widetilde{Re}}$ corresponds (cf. [Va1, 5.3.3]) to a lift of μ_V to a cocharacter $\mu_{\widetilde{Re}}$ of $G_{\widetilde{Re}}$. From [Va2, 2.3.17.2 and 2.2.21 UP] we get: it is irrelevant which lift we choose (i.e. it is irrelevant which F_0^1 we choose to construct $\mathfrak{C}$).

For $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, let I_n (resp. J_n) be the ideal of $\widetilde{Re}$ (resp. of R) formed by formal power series as in 2.1 but with $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{n-1} = 0$ (resp. generated by T^n). For $n \leq ep - 1$ we have $\widetilde{Re}/I_n = R/J_n$; but this does not hold for $n \geq ep$. So to just “copy” [Fa3, proof of th. 10 and rm. iii) after it] in our present context of (filtered F -crystals with tensors associated to) $(H, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ and $(H_{\widetilde{Re}}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$, we need to point out that the triple

$$(\widetilde{Re}, (I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}, FL)$$

has all three properties required in order to be allowed to “appeal” to loc. cit. They are:

- a) I_n/I_{n+1} is a free $W(k)$ -module of finite rank (it is one), $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$;
- b) $FL(I_n) \subset I_{n+1}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$;
- c) $\widetilde{Re}$ is complete w.r.t. the topology defined by $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

So we can apply loc. cit. entirely to get that the pair $(H_{\widetilde{Re}}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is obtained from the pair $(H, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ by pull back through a morphism $m_{\widetilde{Re}}: \text{Spec}(\widetilde{Re}) \rightarrow \widehat{G}^0$ (to be compared with [Va2, 2.3.18 B9]; loc. cit. is the first place to mention that [Fa3, proof of th. 10 and rm. iii) after it] apply in larger contexts not necessarily involving just regular $W(k)$ -algebras of formal power series). We just need to add: as V/pV is a complete intersection, the fully faithfulness result of [BM, 4.3.2] and Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory imply that any p -divisible group over $\widetilde{Re}$ is uniquely determined by its associated filtered F -crystal over Re/pRe . The Proposition follows from the existence of $m_{\widetilde{Re}}$.

2.4.1. Variant. A result similar to Proposition of 2.4 can be stated if we add a principal quasi-polarization p_{H_V} of H_V with the property that the perfect alternating form on $H_{\text{ét}}^1(H_V, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ associated to it is normalized by $\widehat{G}$. The same proof applies (as [Fa3, proof of th. 10 and rm. iii) after it] “allows” Tate-twists as needed for this form).

2.4.2. Remark. We consider a quintuple as above

$$\mathcal{C}_V := (M, \Phi_M, \nabla, G_{Re}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

over $\text{Spec}(Re/pRe)$, such that G_{Re} is the reductive subgroup of $GL(M)$ whose fibre over $\text{Spec}(Re[\frac{1}{p}])$ is the subgroup of $GL(M[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing t_α , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, and μ_K exists. We claim it is obtained from a similar quintuple over R/pR by extension of scalars, without assuming that 2.4 ii) holds or that the partial degrees of $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ are not bigger than $\max\{1, p-2\}$. This is so due to the following two reasons.

i) Let $\mu_{\tilde{R}e} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\tilde{R}e}$ be as in the proof of 2.4; let $F^1(M \otimes_{\tilde{R}e} \tilde{R}e)$ be the maximal direct summand of $M \otimes_{\tilde{R}e} \tilde{R}e$ on which $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts via $\mu_{\tilde{R}e}$ as the inverse of the identical cocharacter of $\mathbb{G}_m$. The resulting sextuple $(M \otimes_{\tilde{R}e} \tilde{R}e, F^1(M \otimes_{\tilde{R}e} \tilde{R}e), \Phi_M \otimes 1, G_{\tilde{R}e}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}})$ over $\tilde{R}e/p\tilde{R}e$ is obtained by pulling back a similar sextuple over $\hat{G}_k^0$ through a $W(k)$ -morphism $m_{\tilde{R}e} : \text{Spec}(\tilde{R}e) \rightarrow \hat{G}^0$ (cf. the paragraph before 2.4.1).

ii) The composite of the natural embedding $\text{Spec}(V) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\tilde{R}e)$ with $m_{\tilde{R}e}$, factors through $\text{Spec}(R)$. If m_R is the $W(k)$ -morphism $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \hat{G}^0$ involved in this factorization, then (as we are dealing with F -crystals and crystalline tensors) $\mathcal{C}_V$ is uniquely determined by $m_R^*(\mathcal{C})$ (viewed without filtration) and so it is obtained by extension of scalars from a similarly defined quintuple over R/pR .

The same remains true for quintuples over $\tilde{R}e/p\tilde{R}e$ or when we are (as in 2.4.1) in a principally quasi-polarized context. Moreover, i) holds as well for $p = 2$.

2.5. Fields accompanying reflex fields. We now introduce some notations and notions pertaining to simple, adjoint Shimura varieties, in order to facilitate future references and to have (later on) a better understanding of 1.5 d'). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let (G, X) be a simple, adjoint Shimura variety. It is well known (see [De1, 3.8]) that there is a (unique) totally real number field $E_1(G, X)$ such that $E(G, X)$ is either it or a totally imaginary quadratic extension of it, depending on the fact that (G, X) is without or with involution. If (G, X) is not of some A_{2n-1} type, then (cf. [De1, 3.8 (ii)] and [Boul, planche I to VI]) it is with involution iff it is of one of the following types A_{2n} , D_{2n+3}^{III} , D_{2n+3}^{mixed} and E_6 . If (G, X) is of A_{2n-1} type then it can be with or without involution. For K an arbitrary field of characteristic 0, we denote by $(G_K, [\mu_K])$ the extension to K of the Shimura group pair $(G, [\mu])$ associated naturally (see [Va2, 2.2.6 5]) to (G, X) . If K is a p -adic field, we denote $[\mu_K]$ by $[\mu_p]$.

Let $F(G, X)$ be the totally real number field such that G is the Weil restriction from $F(G, X)$ to $\mathbb{Q}$ of an absolutely simple, adjoint $F(G, X)$ -group $G(F(G, X))$ (cf. [De2, 2.3.4]). Let G^{split} be the split, simple, adjoint $\mathbb{Q}$ -group of the same Lie type as G . It is well known that $\text{Out}(G) := \text{Aut}(G^{\text{split}})/G^{\text{split}}$ is either trivial, or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, or the symmetric group S_3 . So let $I(G, X)$ be the smallest field extension of $F(G, X)$ such that $G(F(G, X))_{I(G, X)}$ is an inner form of $G_{I(G, X)}^{\text{split}}$; its existence is a standard piece of Galois cohomology (to be compared with 3.1 F) below). We have $[I(G, X) : F(G, X)] \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$.

Definition. (G, X) is said to be of non-inner (resp. of inner) type if $I(G, X) \neq F(G, X)$ (resp. if $I(G, X) = F(G, X)$).

Let $EF_1(G, X)$ (resp. $EF(G, X)$) be the composite field of $F(G, X)$ with $E_1(G, X)$ (resp. with $E(G, X)$). Let $G_1(G, X)$ (resp. $G(G, X)$) be the Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $EF_1(G, X)$ (resp. by $EF(G, X)$). Let $G_2(G, X)$ be the Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $F(G, X)$. $G_1(G, X)$ and $G_2(G, X)$ are totally real number fields.

We now assume (G, X) is with involution. So $G(G, X)$ is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ which is a totally imaginary quadratic extensions of $G_1(G, X)$; this follows from the fact (see [De1, p. 139]) that $E(G, X)$ is a CM field. Using standard facts on number fields (for instance, see [La, p. 168]), we get:

Fact. *The set of primes p which split in $G_1(G, X)$ but do not split in $G(G, X)$ and are such that G is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, is of positive Dirichlet density.*

If p is such a prime, then $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a product of absolutely simple, unramified, adjoint groups and any prime of $E_1(G, X)$ (resp. any prime v of $E(G, X)$) dividing p has residue field $\mathbb{F}_p$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$). So at least one simple factor $(G_1, [\mu_1])$ of the Shimura group pair $(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, [\mu_p])$ is such that the following two conditions hold with $s = 2$:

- a) G_1 is absolutely simple, non-split, unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and splits over $B(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})$;
- b) μ_1 is a cocharacter of $G_{1B(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})}$ and the $G_1(B(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}))$ -conjugacy classes $[\mu_1]$ and $[\gamma(\mu_1)]$ are distinct; here $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(B(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is an arbitrary non-identity element and it acts naturally on the cocharacters of $G_{1B(\mathbb{F}_{p^s})}$.

We also get that (G, X) is of non-inner type.

2.5.1. Special involutions. We now assume (G, X) is of $D_{2n+2}^{\mathbb{H}}$ or D_{2n+2}^{mixed} type. So $E(G, X) = E_1(G, X)$ and $I(G, X)$ is a totally real number field. Let l be a rational prime.

Definition. We say (G, X) is:

- a) without (resp. with) apparent $\mathbb{R}$ -involution if $EF(G, X) = F(G, X)$ (resp. if $EF(G, X) \neq F(G, X)$);
- b) with $\mathbb{R}$ -involution if $E(G, X)$ is not a subfield of $G_2(G, X)$;
- c) with $\mathbb{Q}_l$ -involution if there is a finite field extension F_l^1 of $\mathbb{Q}_l$ with the property that the Shimura group pair $(G_{F_l^1}, [\mu_l])$ has a simple factor $(G_1, [\mu_1])$ such that G_1 is an absolutely simple, non-split, unramified F_l^1 -group and μ_1 is a cocharacter of $G_{1F_l^2}$ whose $G_1(F_l^2)$ -conjugacy class is not fixed by $\text{Gal}(F_l^2/F_l^1)$; here F_l^2 is an unramified extension of F_l^1 of degree 2 or (in case (G, X) is of D_4^{mixed} type) 3.

Warning: here $\mathbb{R}$ refers to the fact that we are dealing just with totally real number fields while the word involution points out that suitable Shimura group pairs (not necessarily over such fields; often we have to be in a $\mathbb{Q}_l$ -context similar to the one of c)) are (in the logical sense) with involutions. Warning: the notions of apparent $\mathbb{R}$ -involution and of non-inner type are unrelated (i.e. no one implies the other one).

As above, if (G, X) is with $\mathbb{R}$ -involution, then the set of primes p which split in $F(G, X)$ but do not split in $EF(G, X)$ and are such that G is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, is of positive Dirichlet density. Moreover, for such a prime p , if (G, X) is not (resp. is) of D_4^{mixed} type we similarly get the existence of a simple factor $(G_1, [\mu_1])$ of $(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, [\mu_p])$ for which 2.5 a) and b) hold for $s = 2$ (resp. for some $s \in \{2, 3\}$). So (G, X) is with $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -involution. It is also with apparent $\mathbb{R}$ -involution as well as of non-inner type.

2.6. Hodge quasi products. We consider a finite family of injective maps $f_i : (G_i, X_i) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W_i, \psi_i), S_i)$, $i \in I := \{1, \dots, n\}$, into Shimura pairs defining Siegel modular varieties. For what follows we refer to [Va1, Example 5 of 2.5] and to [Va2, 4.9.2] (they deal with the case $n = 2$). Let

$$(W, \psi) := \oplus_{i=1}^n (W_i, \psi_i).$$

Let $G_0 := \prod_{i=1}^n G_i$. It is a subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^n GSp(W_i, \psi_i)$ and so of $GL(W)$. Let G be the connected component of the origin of the intersection $G_0 \cap GSp(W, \psi)$. The

monomorphism $G \hookrightarrow G_0$ gives birth to an isomorphism $G^{\text{der}} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_0^{\text{der}}$. Any monomorphism $h_x : \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G_{0\mathbb{R}}$ defining an element $x \in \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ factors through $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. Denoting by X (resp. by S) the $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy (resp. $GSp(W, \psi)(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy) class of h_x , we get an injective map

$$f : (G, X) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S),$$

which we call a Hodge quasi product of f_i 's; warning: it does depend on the choice of x (cf. [Va1, 2.5.1]). We denote any such f by $\times_{i \in I}^{\mathcal{H}} f_i$. (G, X) itself is referred as a Hodge quasi product of (G_i, X_i) 's and is denoted by $\times_{i \in I}^{\mathcal{H}} (G_i, X_i)$. If $n = 2$, then we also denote f by $f_1 \times^{\mathcal{H}} f_2$ and (G, X) by $(G_1, X_1) \times^{\mathcal{H}} (G_2, X_2)$.

Let L_i be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of W_i such that we get a perfect form $\psi_i : L_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. We say the $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice $L := \oplus_{i=1}^n L_i$ of W is well adapted for Hodge quasi products of f_i 's.

Fact. 1) $Z^0(G) = \mathbb{G}_m$ iff $Z^0(G_i) = \mathbb{G}_m, \forall i \in I$.

2) If f_i are PEL type embeddings, then f is as well a PEL type embedding.

Proof: 1) follows from the existence of a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z^0(G) \hookrightarrow Z^0(G_0) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{n-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

For 2) we just need to mention: if $\mathcal{B}_i$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebra of $\text{End}(W_i)$ formed by elements fixed by G_i , then G is the connected component of the origin of the subgroup of $GSp(W, \psi)$ fixing each element of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebra $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_i$ of $\text{End}(W)$.

§3. Good embeddings into unitary Shimura varieties

This chapter has two distinctive parts. First, in 3.1-2 (resp. in 3.2.1-2) we prove a rational version (resp. an effective generic version) of the result stated in [Va2, 1.15.8]. Second, in 3.3 we apply 3.2 to the understanding of Frobenius tori of principally polarized abelian varieties over number fields. As 3.1 and 3.3 contain many important features, its subsections are carefully itemized using letters or numbers. As part of the proof of 3.2.1 is slightly sketched, 3.2.1 is starred (cf. also 3.2.2 4)).

3.1. A setting. A) What follows is very close in spirit to [Va1, 6.5-6] and Erratum. We start with a simple Shimura variety $\text{Sh}(G, X)$ of adjoint, abelian type and of positive dimension. So G is a non-trivial, simple, adjoint $\mathbb{Q}$ -group. Let $F := F(G, X)$; so (see 2.5) we have

$$G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} G(F).$$

For any totally real number field F_1 containing F , let

$$G^{F_1} := \text{Res}_{F_1/\mathbb{Q}} G(F)_{F_1}.$$

As in [Va1, 6.6.1] we get naturally a Shimura pair (G^{F_1}, X^{F_1}) and an injective map

$$(G, X) \hookrightarrow (G^{F_1}, X^{F_1}),$$

with X^{F_1} as in loc. cit. Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime such that G is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$; this forces F to be unramified above p (this is the same as [Va1, 6.5.1]). In what follows we always choose F_1 to be unramified over p ; this implies G^{F_1} is as well unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

B) In practice, it is convenient (though not really needed) to choose whenever possible F_1 to be large enough so that $G(F)_{F_1}$ splits over the completion of F_1 w.r.t. any finite prime of it. If G is of B_n or C_n Lie type, then such a field F_1 always exists due to the fact that $G(F)$ itself splits over all but finite completions of F w.r.t. finite primes of it; argument: an absolutely simple, adjoint group of B_n or C_n Lie type over the ring of integers of a local field, is a split group.

However, for the sake of generality, below even when possible, we do not assume F_1 is such that $G(F)_{F_1}$ splits over the completion of F_1 w.r.t. any finite prime of it. This generality is hinted at in E) below but in fact is not really used here.

C) Till end of Proposition 3.2 we assume G is not of A_n Lie type, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We now introduce the “simplest” Shimura pair $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{X})$ with the property that $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is isomorphic to a simple, non-compact factor of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. So $\tilde{G}$ is an absolutely simple $\mathbb{Q}$ -group of adjoint type and of the “simplest” possible nature. The choice of such $\tilde{G}$ is supported by the fact (see [De2]) that Shimura varieties of D_n^{mixed} type are not of preabelian type. Depending on the type of (G, X) we take $\tilde{G}$ as follows.

- If (G, X) is of B_n type ($n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$), then $\tilde{G} := SO(2, 2n-1)$ is the group of $2n+1$ by $2n+1$ matrices of determinant 1 leaving invariant the quadratic form $-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \dots + x_{2n+1}^2$ on $\mathbb{Q}^{2n+1}$.

- If (G, X) is of C_n type ($n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$), then we take $\tilde{G}$ to be the split, simple $\mathbb{Q}$ -group of C_n Lie type.

- If (G, X) is of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 4$), then $\tilde{G} := SO(2, 2n-2)^{\text{ad}}$ ($SO(2, 2n-2)$ is defined in the same manner as $SO(2, 2n-1)$).

- If (G, X) is of $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 4$), then $\tilde{G} := SO^*(2n)^{\text{ad}}$ is the adjoint group of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -version $SO^*(2n)$ of the $\mathbb{R}$ -version described in [He, p. 445] (and denoted in the same way); so $SO^*(2n)(\mathbb{Q})$ is the subgroup of $SO(2n)(\mathbb{Q}(i))$ formed by matrices leaving invariant the skew hermitian form $-z_1 \bar{z}_{n+1} + z_{n+1} \bar{z}_1 - \dots - z_n \bar{z}_{2n} + z_{2n} \bar{z}_n$ over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ (here $\bar{z}_i$ means the complex conjugate of the complex number z_i , while $SO(m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is the semisimple $\mathbb{Q}$ -group leaving invariant the quadratic form $x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2$ on $\mathbb{Q}^m$; in our case $m = 2n$ and the connection between z_i 's and x_i 's is achieved –over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ – via $z_i = x_i$).

The values of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are chosen so that we do not get repetitions among the types (so for the $D_4^{\mathbb{H}}$ and $D_4^{\mathbb{R}}$ types, the convention of [De2, end of 2.3.8] applies). If $\tilde{G}$ is not $SO^*(2n)^{\text{ad}}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 4$, then there is only one $\tilde{G}(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class $\tilde{X}$ of homomorphisms $SO(2)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ given birth to a Shimura pair $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{X})$; in the excluded cases we have two choices for such a conjugacy class, cf. [De2, 1.2.7-8]. In what follows we are interested just in $\tilde{G}$: the role of $\tilde{X}$ is irrelevant; $\tilde{X}$ is mentioned just to emphasize that $\tilde{G}$ can be used as the first entry of a simple, adjoint Shimura pair.

D) Similarly, in what follows $SU(m, m)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$ -version of the $\mathbb{R}$ -version described in [He, p. 444] (and denoted in the same way). Till end of 3.2.1 we have the same

restrictions on n as in C). We introduce a simply connected semisimple $\mathbb{Q}$ -group $G(A)$ by the rules:

- if (G, X) is of B_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type, then $G(A) := SU(2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1})$;
- if (G, X) is of C_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type, then $G(A) := SU(n, n)$.

The above choices for $G(A)$ conform with [Sa, 3.3-7 or p. 461]. So we naturally have a homomorphism

$$h_{\mathbb{R}}: \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G(A)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

of finite kernel which at the level of Lie algebras satisfies the condition (H_2) of [Sa, p. 427]. Here $\tilde{G}^{\text{sc}}$ is the simply connected semisimple group cover of $\tilde{G}$. $\text{Ker}(h_{\mathbb{R}})$ is trivial if (G, X) is of B_n , C_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type.

The only difference from [Sa, 3.3-7 or p. 461] is: if (G, X) is of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type, we consider the spin representation and not just a half spin representation; so we have $G(A) = SU(2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1})$ and not $SU(2^{n-2}, 2^{n-2})$, i.e. we implicitly work with a product of two copies of $SU(2^{n-2}, 2^{n-2})$ embedded naturally in $SU(2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1})$. Of course, if (G, X) is of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type we can often work as well with just one half spin representation (i.e. we can often work just with $SU(2^{n-2}, 2^{n-2})$). But then, for n even, $\text{Ker}(h_{\mathbb{R}})$ is non-trivial and we can not get 3.2 f) below for the $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type case.

We can assume $h_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the extension to $\mathbb{R}$ of a homomorphism

$$h: \tilde{G}^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G(A)$$

(so the notation $h_{\mathbb{R}}$ is justified). This is a consequence of constructions in [Sa, 3.3-7]: instead of $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$, in loc. cit. we can work as well with $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and respectively the standard quaternion algebra $\mathbb{Q}(i, j)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\tilde{G}^d$ be the image of h in $G(A)$.

E) Of course, instead of $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, in C) and D) we can work as well with a totally imaginary quadratic extension K of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified over p , and instead of $\mathbb{Q}(i, j)$ of D) we can work as well with a quaternion $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra containing K and ramified at the infinite place. What we mean by this: we have variants, where instead of $\tilde{G}$ or $G(A)$, we work with some forms of them, which split over K . However, as $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ is unramified above any odd prime, C) and D) as they stand are all we need in what follows; so we do not detail below the case of an arbitrary such K . Just one example: in some cases we can work with the SO-group of the quadratic form $-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + lx_3^2 \dots + lx_5^2$ on $\mathbb{Q}^5$ instead of $SO(2, 3)$, and with $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-l})$ instead of $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, etc. (here $l \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that K is unramified above p).

F) After stating a Fact, we divide the rest of F) in three subparts.

Fact. *For F_1 large enough (subject to requirements of A)), $G(F)_{F_1}$ is an inner form of $\tilde{G}_{F_1}$.*

i) We recall the arguments for this well known Fact. If G is of B_n or C_n Lie type, then we can take $F_1 = F$. Argument: $G(F)$ has no outer automorphisms.

If G is of D_n Lie type, $n \geq 4$, then the Fact is a consequence of the following remarks:

- $G(F)$ is a form (not a priori inner) of $\tilde{G}_F$;

- the group scheme of automorphisms modulo inner automorphisms of $\tilde{G}_F$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ if $n \geq 5$, and is the symmetric group S_3 if $n = 4$;
- we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow S_3 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$;
- in the étale topology of $\text{Spec}(F)$, the non-trivial torsors of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (resp. of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$) correspond to quadratic extensions of F (resp. to Galois extensions of F of degree 3);
- for any embedding $i_F: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $G(F)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an inner form of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ([De2, 1.2.6]).

So if $n \geq 5$ (resp. if $n = 4$), then there is a totally real field extension F_1 of F of degree at most 2 (resp. of degree at most 6) such that $G(F)_{F_1}$ is an inner form of $\tilde{G}_{F_1}$. As G and $\tilde{G}$ are unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we can assume F_1 is unramified over p .

ii) If (G, X) is of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type, it is worth making slightly more explicit the situation. We consider an inner form G'_F of $\tilde{G}_F$ with the property that w.r.t. any embedding $i_F: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $G'_{\mathbb{R}}$ is isomorphic to $G(F)_{\mathbb{R}}$. For instance, we can take G'_F to be the adjoint group of the SO-group of the quadratic form $a_1x_1^2 + a_2x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \dots + x_{2n}^2$ on F^{2n} , where $a_1, a_2 \in F$ are such that (cf. approximation theory) we have:

- under each embedding i_F as above, they are both negative or positive, depending on the fact that the resulting group $G(F)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is or is not compact;
- G'_F is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Enlarging F to F_1 in the way allowed by A), we can assume (see end of i)) that $G(F)_{F_1}$ is an inner form of G'_{F_1} and so of $\tilde{G}_{F_1}$.

iii) Let $\tilde{G}^e$ be the image of $\tilde{G}^d$ in $G(A)^{\text{ad}}$ and let $h_m: \tilde{G}^e \hookrightarrow G(A)^{\text{ad}}$ be the resulting monomorphism. We come back to the general situation of the Fact. We use the inner form it mentions to twist the homomorphism h_{F_1} (more precisely h_{mF_1}); as it is mentioned below, if (G, X) is of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$, with n even, we might have to first replace F_1 by a totally real quadratic extension of it unramified above p . We get a monomorphism

$$h_m^t: G(F)_{F_1}^d \hookrightarrow G(A)^t,$$

where $G(A)^t$ is the resulting inner form of $G(A)_{F_1}$ and $G(F)_{F_1}^d$ is the central isogeny cover of $G(F)_{F_1}$ corresponding to the group cover $\tilde{G}^d$ of $\tilde{G}$. After potentially passing to a totally real quadratic extension of F_1 , this twist is possible due to the following three facts.

F1. If (G, X) is not of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type, then the center of $\tilde{G}^d$ is contained in the center of $G(A)$ and so $\tilde{G}^e$ is an adjoint group.

F2. If (G, X) is of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type, then (as we are working with the spin representation, cf. D)) $\tilde{G}^e$ is nothing else but $SO(2, 2n-2)$. To argue this we use [Bou1, (VIII) of planche IV] and distinguish two cases.

n is odd. In this case the half spin representations are dual to each other (see [Bou2, p. 210]). Moreover, $Z(\tilde{G}^d)$ is μ_4 and so has only one subgroup $Z_1(\tilde{G}^d)$ of order 2. $\tilde{G}^e$ is the quotient of $\tilde{G}^d$ by $Z_1(\tilde{G}^d)$ and so it is $SO(2, 2n-2)$.

n is even. Referring to loc. cit. with $l := n \in 2\mathbb{N}$, the kernels of the two half spin representations are naturally identified with $P(R)/Q(R) + \mathbb{Z}\overline{w}_{l-1}$ and respectively

$P(R)/Q(R) + \mathbb{Z}\overline{w}_1$. So the kernel of the quotient morphism $\tilde{G}^{\text{sc}} \rightarrow \tilde{G}^e$ is naturally identified with $P(R)/Q(R) + \mathbb{Z}\overline{w}_1$ and so with $SO(2, 2n - 2)$.

F3. The compact inner form $SO(2n)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $SO(2, 2n - 2)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is obtained by twisting through an element of the set $H^1(\mathbb{R}, SO(2, 2n - 2)_{\mathbb{R}})$ (and not only of $H^1(\mathbb{R}, SO(2, 2n - 2)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}})$). So the obstruction of lifting the class in $H^1(F_1, \tilde{G}_{F_1})$ defining the inner twist $G(F)_{F_1}$ of $\tilde{G}_{F_1}$ to a class in $H^1(F_1, \tilde{G}_{F_1}^e)$ is measured by a class $\gamma \in H^2(F_1, \mu_2)$ which over $\mathbb{R}$, under any embedding $F_1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, becomes trivial (i.e. becomes the class defined by matrix $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras). Based on the standard Galois interpretation of $H^2(F, \mu_2)$ and on [Ha, 5.5.1], we conclude: by passing to a totally real quadratic extension of F_1 unramified above p , we can assume γ is the trivial class.

So in fact we first twist $h_m F_1$, and then we “lift” the things to the simply connected semisimple group cover $G(A)^t$ of the resulting twist of $G(A)_{F_1}^{\text{ad}}$. However, in what follows, by abuse of language, we say we twist h_{F_1} ; similarly, in other situations (like over $\mathbb{R}$) we use the same language.

G) We have:

Fact. *If by extension of scalars under an embedding $i_{F_1}: F_1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $G(F)_{F_1}$ becomes a compact group (resp. it becomes $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$) then, under the same extension of scalars, $G(A)^t$ becomes a compact group (resp. it becomes $G(A)_{\mathbb{R}}$).*

Proof: What we need to prove is the following thing. If we twist $h_{\mathbb{R}}$ through the compact inner form of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{sc}}$, then the inner form IF of $G(A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ we get is the compact form. As $h_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfies the condition (H_2) of [Sa], this is a particular case of a general fact pertaining to symmetric domains and which can be checked using Cartan decompositions (referred to in [Sa, p. 427]; see also [He, §7 of ch. 3]). Here we would like to include a proof of this Fact, in a form needed for future references.

Case 1: (G, X) is of C_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type. This case can be proved in many ways. We choose two such ways. For both we use:

- the classical fact of the inner conjugacy of maximal compact subgroups of a semisimple $\mathbb{R}$ -group (for instance, see [He, 2.2 (ii) of p. 256]), and
- the classification of forms of simple, adjoint, split $\mathbb{R}$ -groups (see [He, p. 517-518]).

First, looking at the inner forms of $SU(n, n)_{\mathbb{R}}$ (they are $SU(n_1, 2n - n_1)_{\mathbb{R}}$, with $n_1 \in \{0, \dots, n\}$), the only one which can contain a compact subgroup of C_n or D_n Lie type, is the compact inner form (corresponding to $n_1 = 0$). Second, Zarhin’s lemma (recalled in 4.2.5.1) applies (to the extension to $\mathbb{C}$ of the inclusion of a maximal compact subgroup of IF into IF and to the standard faithful representation of $IF_{\mathbb{C}}$ of dimension $2n$); the fact that IF has a compact subgroup of the same rank as itself (condition needed to be checked in order to apply this lemma) is an easy consequence of [Mi1, B.19].

Case 2: (G, X) is of B_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type. Let us first remark that the standard infinite sequence of monomorphisms

$$SO(2, 1) \hookrightarrow SO(2, 2) \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow SO(2, n) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

extends to an infinite sequence of injective maps

$$(2) \quad (SO(2, 1), X(1)) \hookrightarrow (SO(2, 2), X(2)) \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow (SO(2, n), X(n)) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

between Shimura pairs. Here $X(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are the logical Hermitian symmetric domains (they are uniquely determined, cf. [De2, 1.2.7-8]). Using the fact that $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{sc}}$ is the simply connected semisimple group cover of $SO(2, m)_{\mathbb{R}}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 3$, we just need to show: twisting the resulting monomorphism (obtained by composing the inclusions of (2) with $h_{m\mathbb{R}}$)

$$SO(2, 1)_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow SU(2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1})_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}} = G(A)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}},$$

through the compact inner form of $SO(2, 1)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we get the compact inner form of $G(A)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$.

So we need to show: under the natural diagonal embedding of the simply connected semisimple group cover $SU(1, 1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $SO(2, 1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $SU(q, q)_{\mathbb{R}}$ (i.e. under the composite of the diagonal monomorphism $SU(1, 1)_{\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow SU(1, 1)_{\mathbb{R}}^q$, with the natural monomorphism $SU(1, 1)_{\mathbb{R}}^q \hookrightarrow SU(q, q)_{\mathbb{R}}$; here $q \in \mathbb{N}$), through its twist corresponding to the compact inner form of $SU(1, 1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ we get the compact inner form of $SU(q, q)_{\mathbb{R}}$. This is an easy exercise which can be solved directly (by direct calculation, or by reduction of the situation to the C_q type of Case 1, or by using induction and the same lemma of Zarhin, etc.). This ends the proof.

H) Let $G_1^{\text{sc}} := \text{Res}_{F_1/\mathbb{Q}} G(A)^t$. From G) we deduce the existence of an adjoint Shimura pair of the form $(G_1^{\text{ad}}, X_1^{\text{ad}})$, with G_1^{ad} as the adjoint group of G_1^{sc} ; [De2, 1.2.8 (ii)] guarantees that such a Shimura pair is uniquely determined.

I) We consider (cf. [Va2, 2.3.5.1]) an embedding

$$f_1: (G_1, X_1) \hookrightarrow (G\text{Sp}(W, \psi), S)$$

such that the following things hold:

- $G_1^{\text{der}} = G_1^{\text{sc}}$ (so the notations are justified);
- there is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -lattice $L_{(p)}$ of W for which we get a perfect form $\psi: L_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} L_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and, denoting by $\mathcal{B}_{(p)}$ the $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -subalgebra of elements of $\text{End}(L_{(p)})$ fixed by G_1 , a standard PEL situation $(f, L_{(p)}, v, \mathcal{B}_{(p)})$, for any prime v of $E(G_1, X_1)$ dividing p .

I') [Va2, 2.3.5.1] is just a $p \geq 3$ version of parts of [Va1, 6.5.1.1 and 6.6.5] and Erratum where we had $p \geq 5$; moreover, these loc. cit. are rooted in [De2, 2.3.9-10]. For the convenience of the reader, we recall the parts of [Va1, 6.5.1.1 and 6.6.5] and Erratum which are relevant for what follows, by working rationally. Warning: in i) to iii) below we do not use the particular way G_1^{ad} was obtained; we just use the fact that $(G_1^{\text{ad}}, X_1^{\text{ad}})$ is of some A_m Lie type.

i) Let $T(A)^t$ be a maximal torus of $G(A)^t$. Let E_1 be a finite field extension of F_1 , Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$ and such that $T(A)_{E_1}^t$ is split. Let $T_1 := \text{Res}_{F_1/\mathbb{Q}} T(A)^t$. It is a maximal torus of G_1^{sc} . It splits over E_1 . Let W_{E_1} be a E_1 -vector space of dimension $2d_1$ equal with 1 plus the rank of $G(A)$ (so depending on the two Cases of G), d_1 is n or 2^{n-1}); when we

view it as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, we denote it by $\tilde{W}_{E_1}$. Identifying $G(A)_{E_1}^t$ with $SL(W_{E_1})$, we consider a monomorphism

$$m_1 : G_1^{\text{sc}} \hookrightarrow SL(\tilde{W}_{E_1})$$

which is the composite of the natural embeddings

$$G_1^{\text{sc}} \hookrightarrow \text{Res}_{E_1/\mathbb{Q}} G(A)_{E_1}^t$$

and $\text{Res}_{E_1/\mathbb{Q}} G(A)_{E_1}^t \hookrightarrow SL(\tilde{W}_{E_1})$ (the second one is defined via the mentioned identification). Let $W := \tilde{W}_{E_1} \oplus \tilde{W}_{E_1}$. We consider the “sum” of m_1 and of the monomorphism $m_1^* : G_1^{\text{sc}} \hookrightarrow SL(\tilde{W}_{E_1})$ obtained by composing m_1 with the automorphism $SL(\tilde{W}_{E_1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} SL(\tilde{W}_{E_1})$ which at the level of $2d_1 \times 2d_1$ matrices takes M into $(M^t)^{-1}$. We get a monomorphism

$$m_2 : G_1^{\text{sc}} \hookrightarrow SL(W).$$

ii) Let (as in the proof of [De3, 2.3.10]) $\mathcal{S}_1$ be the set of extremal points of the Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}_1$ of $\text{Lie}(G_{1\mathbb{C}}^{\text{sc}})$ w.r.t. $\text{Lie}(T_{1\mathbb{C}})$ (and some fixed Borel subalgebra of $\text{Lie}(G_{1\mathbb{C}}^{\text{sc}})$ normalized by $T_{1\mathbb{C}}$). $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ acts naturally on $\mathcal{S}_1$ and so we can identify $\mathcal{S}_1$ with the $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ -set of $\mathbb{Q}$ -homomorphisms from $K_{\mathcal{S}_1}$ to $\mathbb{C}$, for $K_{\mathcal{S}_1}$ a totally imaginary quadratic extension of F_1 . $\text{Res}_{K_{\mathcal{S}_1}/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m$ acts naturally on W as follows. We can work over $\mathbb{C}$. If W_0 is a simple $G_{1\mathbb{C}}^{\text{sc}}$ -submodule of $W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ corresponding to the fundamental weight associated to some node $s_1 \in \mathcal{S}_1$, then the extension of $\text{Res}_{K_{\mathcal{S}_1}/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m$ to $\mathbb{C}$ acts on it via its character corresponding naturally to s_1 . Let $\tilde{G}_1$ be the reductive subgroup of $GL(W)$ generated by G_1^{sc} and by $\text{Res}_{K_{\mathcal{S}_1}/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m$.

iii) [Va1, 6.5.1.1 and 6.6.5] and Erratum explain how to construct a monomorphism $h_x : \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}_1$ such that the Hodge $\mathbb{Q}$ -structure on W we get has type $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$. [De2, 2.3.3] shows the existence of a perfect alternating form ψ on W such that h_x factors through $G_{1\mathbb{R}}$, where G_1 is a reductive subgroup of $\tilde{G}_1$ normalizing ψ , containing the maximal subgroup of $\text{Res}_{K_{\mathcal{S}_1}/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m$ which over $\mathbb{R}$ is compact and having G_1^{sc} as its derived subgroup. [Va1, 6.6.5.1] and Erratum point out that we can assume as well that the resulting injective map f_1 is a PEL type embedding and that a lattice $L_{(p)}$ as mentioned in I) does exist.

iv) All factors of $G_{1\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ are either compact or are $SU(d_1, d_1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ group. So $(G_1^{\text{ad}}, X_1^{\text{ad}})$ is without involution. If all simple factors of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ are non-compact, then all simple factors of $G_{1\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ are non-compact; so (cf. [De2, 2.3.13] and Erratum) h_x factors through the subgroup of G_1 generated by G_1^{sc} and $Z(GL(W))$.

J) We come back to I). There is $M(G, X) \in \mathbb{N}$ effectively computable and such that we can assume

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W) \leq M(G, X),$$

regardless of the prime $p \geq 3$ we keep track of. Its existence is a consequence of:

- the review of 3.1 I') ($[E_1 : \mathbb{Q}]$ is bounded in terms of $\dim_{F_1}(T(A)^t)$), and of
- the fact that we can choose (cf. i) and iii) of F)) F_1 so that we have $[F_1 : F] \leq 12$.

3.2. Proposition. *There are injective maps*

$$(G_2, X_2) \hookrightarrow (G_3, X_3) \hookrightarrow (G_1, X_1)$$

such that:

- a) $(G_2^{\text{ad}}, X_2^{\text{ad}}) = (G, X)$ and $(G_3^{\text{ad}}, X_3^{\text{ad}}) = (G^{F_1}, X^{F_1})$;
- b) we get a monomorphism $G_2^{\text{ad}} \hookrightarrow G_3^{\text{ad}}$ which (under the identifications of a)) is nothing else but the natural monomorphism $G \hookrightarrow G^{F_1}$;
- c) $G_3^{\text{der}} = \text{Res}_{F_1/\mathbb{Q}} G_{F_1}^d$, and under this identification and of the one of 3.1 H), the monomorphism $G_3^{\text{der}} \hookrightarrow G_1^{\text{der}}$ becomes the Weil restriction from F_1 to $\mathbb{Q}$ of h_m^t ;
- d) if (G, X) is not (resp. is) of $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type, then we have $E(G_2, X_2) = E(G_3, X_3) = E(G_1, X_1)$ (resp. $E(G_2, X_2) = E(G_3, X_3)$);
- d') if (G, X) is of $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type with involution, then $E(G_2, X_2) = E(G_3, X_3)$ is an extension of $E(G_1, X_1)$ of degree at most 2;
- e) $Z^0(G_2)$ and $Z^0(G_3)$ are subtori of $Z^0(\tilde{G}_1)$;
- f) if (G, X) is not of $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type, then G_2^{der} and G_3^{der} are simply connected.

Proof: We take G_3 to be generated by $Z^0(\tilde{G}_1)$ and by the subgroup $\text{Res}_{F_1/\mathbb{Q}} G(F)_{F_1}^d$ of G_1^{der} . This takes care of c). We take G_2 to be the subgroup of G_3 having $Z^0(G_1)$ as a subgroup and such that b) holds. So e) holds as well.

$G_1(\mathbb{Q})$ permutes the connected components of X_1 , cf. [Ko, 4.3]; this implies $X_1 = X_1^{\text{ad}}$. So the fact that $h_{\mathbb{R}}$ at the level of Lie algebras satisfies the condition (H_2) of [Sa, p. 427] gets translated (cf. 3.1 G) and H)) in: there is $x_1 \in X_1$ such that its attached monomorphism $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G_{1\mathbb{R}}$ factors through $G_{2\mathbb{R}}$ in such a way that the resulting homomorphism $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an element of X . This takes care of a).

d) is a easy consequence of [De1, 3.8] and [De2, 2.3.6]. f) is a direct consequence of the construction of h_m^t . This ends the proof.

3.2.0. The $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -version. It is now easy to see (as in [Va1, 6.5-6]) that h_m^t has a version over the normalization $F_{1(p)}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ in F_1 . First, referring to 3.1 E), instead of $\mathbb{Q}$, a totally imaginary quadratic extension K of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified over p , and a quaternion $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ containing K and ramified at the infinite place, we need to work respectively with: $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, the normalization $K_{(p)}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ in K , and with a maximal order of $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ containing $K_{(p)}$. Typical situation: we work with $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, with $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}(i)$ and with $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}(i, j)$. Second, the fact that $F_{1(p)}$ is étale over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ implies that by the twisting process of iii) of 3.1 F), the extension of h_m^t to $F_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ extends to a homomorphism between reductive groups over $F_{1(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p$; this homomorphism is a monomorphism, cf. [Va1, 3.1.2.1 c)]. So h_m^t extends to a monomorphism of reductive groups over $F_{1(p)}$, cf. [Va1, 3.1.3.1].

Based on [Va2, 2.3.5.1] (cf. also 3.1 I)) we get: we can assume that the Zariski closure of G_2 and of G_3 in $GL(L_{(p)})$ are reductive groups over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. So $H_i := G_i(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap GL(L_{(p)})(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a hyperspecial subgroup of $G_i(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $i = 1, 3$.

3.2.1*. Corollary. *The result stated in [Va2, 1.15.8] is true for (G, X) provided*

$$p \geq \max\{5, M(G, X)/2\}.$$

Proof: Let $i \in \{2, 3\}$. We denote by f_i the inclusion $(G_i, X_i) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S)$. The inequality $p \geq \max\{5, M(G, X)/2\}$ conforms with [Va1, 5.8.6]. The fact that each triple

$(f_i, L_{(p)}, v_i)$, with v_i a prime of $E(G_i, X_i)$ dividing p , is a SHS is a consequence of 3.1 J) and of loc. cit. (cf. also [Va2, 2.3.6]). So 3.2 and 3.2.0 take care of [Va2, 1.15.8 1) to 4)].

The rest of the proof is just sketched. [Va2, 1.15.8 5)] (i.e. the part involving “G-ordinary” things) is a consequence of [Va2, 4.11.2-3]: the equivalent conditions of [Va2, 4.11.2] can be easily checked; see [Va7] for details and for specifications on the part “other (simple to check) conditions” of [Va2, 1.15.8 5)]. This ends the proof.

Warning: if we assume [Va2, 2.3.8 2)] (see [Va7]), then “ $p \geq \max\{5, M(G, X)\}$ ” gets replaced by “ $p \geq 3$ ”.

3.2.2. Remarks. 1) If (G, X) is of A_n type ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), then 3.2.1 gets substituted by [Va2, 2.3.5.1]. We repeat: i) to iii) of 3.1 I') review as well the rational variant of loc. cit.

2) Using 2.6 2) we get that 3.2 has a version for the case when (G, X) is an arbitrary Shimura pair of adjoint, abelian type. To state it, let $(G, X) = \prod_{i \in I} (G_i, X_i)$ be written as a product of such simple Shimura pairs. Let $F^i := F(G_i, X_i)$ and let $\tilde{G}_i$ be the adjoint F^i -group such that $G_i = \text{Res}_{F^i/\mathbb{Q}} \tilde{G}_i$ (cf. 2.5).

Then there is a product $(G^1, X^1) = \prod_{i \in I} (G_i^1, X_i^1)$ of simple, adjoint Shimura pairs of some A_n type ($n \in \mathbb{N}$ depends on $i \in I$), and there are injective maps

$$(G_2, X_2) \hookrightarrow (G_3, X_3) \hookrightarrow (G_1, X_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} (GSp(W_1, \psi_1), S_1)$$

such that:

a) $(G_2^{\text{ad}}, X_2^{\text{ad}}) = (G, X)$ and (cf. 3.1 A)) $(G_3^{\text{ad}}, X_3^{\text{ad}}) = \prod_{i \in I} (G_i^{F_1^i}, X_i^{F_1^i})$, with F_1^i a totally real number field containing F^i ;

b) we get a monomorphism $G_2^{\text{ad}} \hookrightarrow G_3^{\text{ad}}$ which is a product of the natural monomorphisms $G_i \hookrightarrow G_i^{F_1^i}$;

c) the monomorphism $G_3^{\text{der}} \rightarrow G_1^{\text{der}}$ is a product indexed by $i \in I$ of monomorphisms which are either isomorphisms or are obtained as in 3.2 c), depending on the fact that G_i is or is not of A_{n_i} Lie type for some $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$;

d) $(G_1^{\text{ad}}, X_1^{\text{ad}}) = (G^1, X^1)$, G_1^{der} is a simply connected semisimple group, and f_1 is a PEL type embedding which (cf. 3.2 and 2.6 2)) is a Hodge quasi product indexed naturally by elements of I of PEL type embeddings;

e) if $\forall i \in I$ (resp. if there is $i \in I$ such that) (G_i, X_i) is of $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type, then $E(G_2, X_2) = E(G_3, X_3) = E(G_1, X_1)$ (resp. $E(G_2, X_2) = E(G_3, X_3)$);

f) $Z^0(G_2)$ and $Z^0(G_3)$ are subtori of $Z^0(G_1)$;

g) if none of the Shimura pairs (G_i, X_i) is of some $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type, then G_2^{der} and G_3^{der} are simply connected semisimple groups.

3) Using 2) we get that the result stated in [Va2, 1.15.8] remains true for any Shimura quadruple $(\bar{G}, \bar{X}, \bar{H}, \bar{v})$ of adjoint, abelian type, with $\bar{v}$ dividing a prime $p \geq \max\{5, M(\bar{G}, \bar{X})\}$; here $M(\bar{G}, \bar{X}) \in \mathbb{N}$ is effectively computable (for instance, we can take it –cf. [Va1, 6.5.1]– to be the product of the numbers $M(*)$ of 3.1 J), with $*$ running through the simple factors of $(\bar{G}, \bar{X})$).

4) 3.2.1 has many consequences (cf. [Va1, 6.8], [Va2, 1.15.8, 1.15.8.1 and 4.4.6]). We will come back to 3.2.1 and its consequences in [Va7]. In what follows we do not need 3.2.1: we just need just 3.2 and its variant of 2).

5) We view 3.2 and 3.2.2 2) as the (refined) unitary version of [De2, 2.3.10]. Moreover, combining 3.2 and 3.2.2 2) with the PEL type embeddings of Shimura (see [Sh]), one can reobtain the essence of [De2, 2.3.10] in many situations (in particular, one can reobtain in many situations the fact that any Shimura variety of A_n , B_n , C_n , $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ or $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ is of preabelian type). However, as pointed out in [Va2, 4.6.11 C], we do not favor the approach to [De2, 2.3.10] via [Sh].

6) In 3.2 we can replace F_1 by any other totally real number field containing it (and, in case we want 3.2.1, unramified above p).

3.2.3. Definition. We refer to (2) of 3.1 G) as the standard SO-sequence of injective maps between Shimura pairs (to be abbreviated shortly as SSO).

3.2.4. Point of view. It is a widely spread opinion that the closest generalization of the elliptic modular curve is provided by Siegel or Hilbert–Blumenthal modular varieties. In our opinion this is just partially correct: the Shimura varieties defined by Shimura pairs of SSO are equally well a very close generalization of the elliptic modular curve. We refer to these Shimura varieties as classical spin modular varieties.

3.3. Applications of 3.2 to Frobenius tori. We start by introducing a new setting.

A) We use the notations of 2.2.2. We still denote by $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ its restriction to $\mathrm{Sh}_H(G, X)$. Let

$$m: \mathrm{Spec}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$$

be a morphism. Let $A := m^*(\mathcal{A})$. We assume that the Mumford–Tate group of A is G .

B) Let

$$(G_2, X_2) \hookrightarrow (G_3, X_3) \hookrightarrow (G_1, X_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} (GSp(W_1, \psi_1), S_1)$$

be injective maps constructed following the pattern of 3.2 but starting from the adjoint Shimura pair $(G_2^{\mathrm{ad}}, X_2^{\mathrm{ad}}) := (G^{\mathrm{ad}}, X^{\mathrm{ad}})$ (cf. 3.2.2 2)). So G_1^{ad} has all its simple factors of A_n Lie type (for potentially different values of n) and the embedding $(G_1, X_1) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W_1, \psi_1), S_1)$ is a PEL type embedding. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the semisimple $\mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(W_1)$ formed by elements fixed by G_1 . Let

$$f_2: (G_2, X_2) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W_1, \psi_1), S_1)$$

be the composite map.

We can assume that the intersection $X_{20} := X_2 \cap X$ inside $X_2^{\mathrm{ad}} = X^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is non-empty, cf. [Va1, 2.4.0 and rm. 3) of 3.2.7] and [Va2, 4.9.1]. As $G(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $G(\mathbb{R})$, we can assume that m can be lifted to a point $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(G, X)$ defined by an equivalence class $[x_m, g_m]$ (see [Va1, 4.1]), with $x_m \in X_{20}^0$; here X_{20}^0 is an arbitrary (but fixed) connected component of X_{20} and $g_m \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Let L_1 be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of W_1 such that we get a perfect form $\psi_1: L_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Let $H_2 := \{g \in G_2(L_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) | g \bmod 4 \text{ is the identity}\}$. As in 2.2.2, we get a principally polarized abelian scheme $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_2})$ over $\mathrm{Sh}_{H_2}(G_2, X_2)$.

C) Let $(W_0, \psi_0) := (W \oplus W_1, \psi \oplus \psi_1)$. We consider as in [Va2, 4.9.2] a Hodge twist (G_4, X_4) of (G, X) and (G_2, X_2) . It is equipped with a (diagonal) injective map

$$f_3: (G_4, X_4) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W_0, \psi_0), S_0).$$

f_3 is the composite of an injective map

$$f_4: (G_4, X_4) \hookrightarrow (G, X) \times_{\mathcal{H}} (G_2, X_2)$$

with a Hodge quasi product $f \times^{\mathcal{H}} f_2$. f_3 gives birth to identifications (to be denoted by ID)

$$(G_4^{\text{ad}}, X_4^{\text{ad}}) = (G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}}) = (G_2^{\text{ad}}, X_2^{\text{ad}}).$$

These identifications ID are defined via the composite of f_4 with the natural injective map $i_2: (G, X) \times_{\mathcal{H}} (G_2, X_2) \hookrightarrow (G, X) \times (G_2, X_2)$ and with the projections of $(G, X) \times (G_2, X_2)$ onto its factors; they conform with the identification of B). Warning: we take X_4 such that X_{20}^0 is a connected component of it.

Let $H_4 := G_4(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap H \times H_2$. Let $L_0 := L \oplus L_1$; it is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of W_0 and we have a perfect form $\psi_0: L_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. We get naturally (starting from f_3 and L), as in 2.2.2, a universal principally polarized abelian scheme $(\mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_4})$ over $\text{Sh}_{H_4}(G_4, X_4)$.

D) We assume in what follows that the reader is familiar with the part of §4 running from 4.0 to 4.2.7.1, with 4.2.8, 4.2.11 and 4.2.14. We also assume that E contains $E(G_4, X_4)$ and that it is large enough so that we have a morphism

$$m_4: \text{Spec}(E) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{H_4}(G_4, X_4),$$

whose projection on $\text{Sh}_H(G, X)$ (via $i_2 \circ f_4$) is m . The assumptions $x_m \in X_{20}^0 \subset X_4$ guarantee the existence of such a morphism m_4 , with E large enough, provided we pass to isogenies and change a little bit the level structures (to be compared with the definition of $\mathfrak{C}_0$ in [Va2, 4.9.1.1]). Though we do not need this, we would like to point out that [Va1, 3.3.1] guarantees that this passage and these changes of level structures can be performed so that we still keep track of any a priori given odd prime q for which the Zariski closure of G (resp. of G_2) in $GL(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(q)})$ (resp. in $GL(L_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(q)})$) is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_{(q)}$. What we do need here: these two operations are “irrelevant” in connection to Mumford–Tate conjecture (see 4.2.11); so, not to complicate the notations, we assume that the performance of these two operations is not needed. Let

$${}_2A$$

be the abelian variety over E obtained from $\mathcal{A}_2$ by pull back via $m_4, i_2 \circ f_4$ and f_2 (we use lower left index 2 not to create confusion with the A_2 Lie type). So we have

$$m_4^*(\mathcal{A}_4) = A \times_E {}_2A.$$

We also assume E is large enough so that all Hodge cycles of $A_{\bar{E}}$, $A_{\bar{E}}^2$ and $A_{\bar{E}} \times A_{\bar{E}}^2$ are defined over E .

E) Let $v = v(A, E)$ be a prime of E such that:

- i)** A and ${}_2A$ have good reductions A_v and respectively ${}_2A_v$ w.r.t. v ;
- ii)** it is unramified over an odd rational prime $p(A) \geq M(f_1, L_1)$ (see 2.2.2.1);
- iii)** for any prime $l \geq p(A)$ we get standard Hodge situations $(f_3, L_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}, v_4(l))$, $(f_2, L_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}, v_2(l))$ and $(f_1, L_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}, v_1(l))$ (for $i \in \{1, 2, 4\}$, $v_i(l)$ is an arbitrary prime of $E(G_i, X_i)$ dividing l);
- iv)** for any prime $l \geq p(A)$, $\mathcal{B}_{(l)} := \mathcal{B} \cap \text{End}(L_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$ is a semisimple $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ -algebra which is self dual w.r.t. ψ_1 (so the quadruple $(f_1, L_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}, v_1(l), \mathcal{B}_{(l)})$ is a standard PEL situation).

The existence of v is implied by [Va1, 5.8.7] and [Va2, 2.3.6]: they take care of iii), while i), ii) and iv) are obviously true for p big enough (for ii) cf. also [Va2, 4.6.13]). The main conditions are i), iii) and iv); strictly speaking, we need iii) and iv) just for $l = p(A)$. Warning: the use of ii) can be entirely avoided.

F) In all that follows we denote $p(A)$ just by p ; also, let $v_i(p)$ be the prime of $E(G_i, X_i)$ divided by v , $i \in \{1, 2, 4\}$. Let l be a rational prime different from p . In what follows we work with sufficiently high, positive, integral powers of the Frobenius automorphism of $\overline{k(v)}$ fixing $k(v)$, so that all Frobenius elements to be introduced below define valued points of the Frobenius tori involved (i.e. we prefer to work with tori instead of groups of multiplicative type).

Let $Fr_i \in T_v^i(\mathbb{Q}_l)$, $i \in \{2, 4\}$, be a Frobenius element obtained as in 4.2.7.1. Similarly, we get a Frobenius element $Fr \in T_v(\mathbb{Q}_l)$. The choice of a Frobenius automorphism of a prime of $\bar{E}$ dividing v , results in natural monomorphisms $T_{v\mathbb{Q}_l} \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ and $T_{v\mathbb{Q}_l}^i \hookrightarrow G_{i\mathbb{Q}_l}$. We can assume that under the identifications ID , the images of Fr and Fr_2 in $G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ are the same.

3.3.1. CM lifts. The key point is: as the embedding f_1 is a PEL type embedding, with G_1^{der} a simply connected semisimple group which is a product of semisimple groups of A_n Lie type, the Langlands–Rapoport conjecture is true for the SHS $(f_1, L_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, v_1(p))$, (cf. [Mi4, 6.12] and 2.2.2.1; the reference to 2.2.2.1 can be substituted by [Mi4, (0.2) c]), cf. also [Va2, 4.6.13]). What we need from this key point in our context is:

Fact. *There is a torus T_1 of G_1 and an element $Fr_{\mathbb{Q}} \in T_1(\mathbb{Q})$ such that there is $g \in G_1(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ taking (under inner conjugation) $T_{1\mathbb{Q}_l}$ into $T_{v\mathbb{Q}_l}^2$ and $Fr_{\mathbb{Q}}$ into Fr_2 .*

As the manuscript [Mi4] is not yet published, this Fact is not used in what follows. However, for our present needs, instead of [Mi4] we can use as well the main result of [Zi] as follows. A suitable abelian variety ${}_2A'_v$ which is $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -isogeneous (in the sense of [Va2, 2.1]) to ${}_2A_{\overline{v k(v)}}$, has a lift ${}_2A'$ to a complete DVR of mixed characteristic in such a way that (see [Zi, 4.4]):

CM. ${}_2A'$ has complex multiplication.

ISOG. *The $\mathbb{Q}$ -endomorphisms of ${}_2A'_v$ corresponding to elements of $\mathcal{B}$ and the $\mathbb{Q}$ -polarization of ${}_2A'_v$ (obtained naturally from $\mathcal{P}_{A_2}$) lift to $\mathbb{Q}$ -endomorphisms and respectively to a $\mathbb{Q}$ -polarization $p_{2A'}$ of ${}_2A'$. Moreover, the elements of $\mathcal{B}_{(p)}$ correspond to $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -endomorphisms of ${}_2A'$ and $p_{2A'}$ is in fact a principal polarization.*

Using the realization of the triple $({}_2A', p_{{}_2A'}, \mathcal{B})$ in the Betti homology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ (of any pull back of ${}_2A'$ to an abelian variety over $\mathbb{C}$), we get a symplectic space (W'_1, ψ'_1) over $\mathbb{Q}$ and a $\mathbb{Q}$ -monomorphism $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(W'_1)$. Let G'_1 be the subgroup of $GSp(W'_1, \psi'_1)$ fixing all elements of $\mathcal{B}$; it is an inner form of G_1 . More precisely, the “difference” between the isomorphism classes of the following two triples $(W'_1, \psi'_1, \mathcal{B})$ and $(W_1, \psi_1, \mathcal{B})$ is “measured” by a class $\gamma \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_1)$ (for instance, this can be seen working in the étale cohomology with $\mathbb{Q}_l$ -coefficients). We say that this class is trivial if the two triples are in fact isomorphic; the same applies if we replace $\mathbb{Q}$ by (i.e. if we tensor W'_1 and W_1 with) any other field containing $\mathbb{Q}$. We have:

HW. *Let q be a rational prime. The images of γ in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_q, G_{1\mathbb{Q}_q})$ and $H^1(\mathbb{R}, G_{1\mathbb{R}})$ are trivial.*

This is a consequence of the first four paragraphs of [Ko, §8]; it is iv) of 3.3 E) which allows us to refer to [Ko]. The fact that $\mathcal{B}$ is not necessarily a $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple algebra does not represent an impediment as, by using $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple factors of $\mathcal{B}$, the situation gets reduced to the one used in [Ko, §5 and §8] (cf. constructions in ii) of 3.1 I') and d) of 3.2.2 2)). It is *ISOG* which takes care of the case $q = p$ (cf. loc. cit.; to be compared as well with the part of the proof of [Va2, 4.12.12] referring to a $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -context).

In particular, *HW* implies: we have an identification (unique up to inner conjugation)

$$G_{1\mathbb{Q}_q} = G'_{1\mathbb{Q}_q}$$

as well as a canonical identification

$$Z(G_1) = Z(G'_1).$$

In fact we can assume $G'_1 = G_1$ (cf. [Mi4, 6.12]; see also the first five paragraphs of [Ko, §7] for the cases when G^{ad} has no simple factors of A_{2s+1} Lie type, with $s \in \mathbb{N}$); in what follows we will not use this identification at all, due to two main reasons. The first reason is: the ideas to be introduced below can be used as well in many other cases involving PEL type embeddings (which are not necessarily pertaining to A_s Lie types); we recall that [Va1, 1, 7.1] does not “handle” fully the D case (see [Ko]) of the PEL type embeddings and these points out that these ideas might be used later on. The second reason is: for applications to §5 we need just the part of *HW* involving $(\mathbb{Q}_p$ and) $\mathbb{R}$.

To prepare the background for these applications, let (G'_1, X'_1) be the Shimura pair attached to ${}_2A'$. From *HW* and [Ko, 4.2] we deduce the existence of an isomorphism

$$W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} W'_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$$

such that:

- a)** it takes ψ into ψ' and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ into b , $\forall b \in \mathcal{B}$ (so it takes the subgroup $G_{1\mathbb{R}}$ of $GL(W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$ into the subgroup $G'_{1\mathbb{R}}$ of $GL(W'_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$);
- b)** it takes X_1 into X'_1 .

In particular, we get that the 0 dimensional Shimura varieties $\text{Sh}(G_1^{\text{ab}}, X_1^{\text{ab}})$ and $\text{Sh}(G'^{\text{ab}}_1, X'^{\text{ab}}_1)$ are isomorphic. Even more particular, we get:

AB. *The smallest torus $Z_{\min}$ of $Z(G_1)$ such that all monomorphisms $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G_{1\mathbb{R}}$ defined by elements of X_1 , factor through the extension to $\mathbb{R}$ of the subgroup of G_1 generated by G_1^{der} and by $Z_{\min}$, is the same as the smallest torus $Z'_{\min}$ of $Z(G'_1)$ with the property that all monomorphisms $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G'_{1\mathbb{R}}$ defined by elements of X'_1 , factor through the extension to $\mathbb{R}$ of the subgroup of G'_1 generated by G'^{der}_1 and by $Z'_{\min}$.*

Above, HW points out to a Hasse–Witt type property, while AB stands for an abelian counterpart picture.

Coming back to our Frobenius tori, from *CM* and *ISOG* we deduce (cf. the third paragraph of 4.2.7.1; above, we can work as well with a finite field extension of $k(v)$ instead of $\overline{k(v)}$) the existence of a torus T'_1 of G'_1 and of an element $Fr'_Q \in T'_1(\mathbb{Q})$ such that:

Fact'. *There is $g' \in G_1(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ taking (under conjugation) $T'_{1\mathbb{Q}_l}$ into $T^2_{v\mathbb{Q}_l}$ and Fr'_Q into Fr_2 .*

3.3.1.1. Remark. Directly from the form of the monomorphisms $G_{2\mathbb{R}}^{\text{der}} \hookrightarrow G_{3\mathbb{R}}^{\text{der}} \hookrightarrow G_{1\mathbb{R}}^{\text{der}}$ (see c) of 3.2.2 2)) we get: each simple factor of $\text{Lie}(G_{3\mathbb{R}}^{\text{der}})$ is absolutely simple and contained in a uniquely determined absolutely simple factor of $\text{Lie}(G_{1\mathbb{R}}^{\text{der}})$; moreover, each simple factor $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\text{Lie}(G_{2\mathbb{R}}^{\text{der}})$ is embedded (diagonally) in a product of simple factors of $\text{Lie}(G_{3\mathbb{R}}^{\text{der}})$ which are all isomorphic to $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{F})$. The same can be stated over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ instead of over $\mathbb{R}$.

3.3.1.2. Two important things. A. Two simple factors of $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{ad}}$ are connected over $\mathbb{Q}$ through G_1^{ad} (i.e. are factors of the extension to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ of the same simple factor of G_1^{ad}) iff they are connected over $\mathbb{Q}$ through G_1^{ad} ; here we use the identification $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{ad}} = G_{1\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{ad}}$. This is a consequence of the fact that G'_1 is an inner form of G_1 .

B. In other order of ideas, from the construction of Frobenius tori, we get: the Zariski closure in G_1^{ad} of the images of the integral powers of Fr'_Q in $G_1^{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{Q})$ is the image T_1^{ad} of T_1 in G_1^{ad} . So these images, when viewed as $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ -valued points of $T_{1\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{ad}}$, are Zariski dense in $T_{1\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{ad}}$. From 3.3.1 Fact' we deduce: the image $T_{v\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{ad}}$ of $T_{v\mathbb{Q}_l}$ in $G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{ad}}$ is equal to the conjugate of $T_{1\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{ad}}$ through $g' \in G_1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l})$.

Based on 3.3.1.2 B, 3.3.1.1 and 3.3.1 Fact' we conclude:

3.3.2. Theorem. *If two simple factors of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{ad}}$ are connected over $\mathbb{Q}$ (in the sense of 3.3.1.2 A), then the images of $T_{v\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{ad}}$ in these two factors have the same dimension.*

3.3.2.1. Remark. A natural question arises. Can we reobtain the results [Pi, 5.10–11] (see 4.2.14 below), using the above ideas of 3.3? We do not know its answer, as there is one obstruction. It consists in the fact that we do not know if (or when) we can assume that the Mumford–Tate group $T({}_2A')$ of ${}_2A'$ is (isomorphic to) T_v^2 . We always have a natural monomorphism

$$m_T : T_v^2 \hookrightarrow T({}_2A').$$

If m_T is an isomorphism and if the rank of T_v^2 is the same as the rank of the algebraic envelope of the l -adic representation attached to A , then we easily get (as $T({}_2A')$ is a Mumford–Tate group) that [Pi, 5.10] holds for ${}_2A$.

If ${}_2A_v$ is ordinary, then m_T is an isomorphism (easy consequence –it is detailed in the proof of 4.4.8 b) below– of the fact that we can assume ${}_2A'$ is a canonical lift, cf. iii)

of 3.3 E) and [Va2, a) of 4.4.1 2) and 4.6 P2]). So (based as well on Serre's results of [Chi, 3.8] and [Pi, 3.8]) we can reobtain [Pi, 5.10-11] for ${}_2A$ provided the ordinary reduction conjecture holds for ${}_2A$ (or equivalently, cf. [Va2, 4.9.23] for A). [Va2, a) of 4.4.1 2) and 4.6 P2] and iii) of 3.3 E) imply that the same can be said about A itself.

3.3.3. The shifting process. We assume the reader is familiar with 4.0-1. Let G_p (resp. $G_p(2)$) be the connected component of the origin of the p -adic representation (see 4.0) naturally attached to A (resp. to ${}_2A$). The images of G_p^{der} and $G_p(2)^{\text{der}}$ in $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}} = G_{2\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ (cf. the identifications ID) are the same. This is a consequence of the fact that the Mumford–Tate group of $A \times_E {}_2A$ is a subgroup of G_4 , cf. the choice of m_4 . So to show that $G_p^{\text{der}} = G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$ is the same as showing that $G_p(2)^{\text{der}} = G_{2\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$. In other words:

Fact. *To prove the part of the Mumford–Tate conjecture involving derived groups for the pair (A, p) , is the same as proving it for the pair $({}_2A, p)$.*

Definition. An irreducible representation of a split, simple Lie algebra of classical Lie type $\mathcal{T}$ over a field K of characteristic 0 is said to be an SD-standard representation, if it is associated to a minimal weight and if, in case $\mathcal{T} = A_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$, it is of dimension $n + 1$ (i.e. it is associated to one of the minimal weights $\bar{w}_1$ and $\bar{w}_n$). SD stands for Shimura–Deligne (cf. [De2, 2.3.10] and [Va1, 6.5-6]). Similarly, we speak about SD-standard representations of split, semisimple groups of classical Lie type over K , whose adjoints are simple.

We now list some of advantages (besides getting 3.3.2) we get by replacing A by ${}_2A$.

ADV. 1) *The irreducible subrepresentations of the representation of $\text{Lie}(G_{2\mathbb{C}}^{\text{der}})$ on $W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ factor through a simple factor of $\text{Lie}(G_{2\mathbb{C}}^{\text{der}})$ (i.e. we do not have to deal with tensor products of irreducible representations of simple factors of $\text{Lie}(G_{2\mathbb{C}}^{\text{der}})$) and are SD-standard representations of these simple factors.*

2) *If $(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$ has a simple factor (G_0, X_0) of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type (resp. of A_n type without involution), then we can assume that for each simple factor of $\text{Lie}(G_{0\mathbb{C}}^{\text{der}})$ both the half spin representations (resp. both the representations associated to the weights $\bar{w}_1$ and $\bar{w}_n$) of it are among the irreducible subrepresentations of $\text{Lie}(G_{2\mathbb{C}}^{\text{ad}})$ on $\mathcal{W}$, where $\mathcal{W}$ is a suitable irreducible subrepresentation of the representation of $Z^0(G_{2\mathbb{C}})$ on $\tilde{W}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$.*

3) *We assume that all simple factors of $G_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ are non-compact and that none of the simple factors of (G, X) are of some A_n type with involution. Then we can assume $Z^0(G_2) = \mathbb{G}_m$.*

Proof: 1) is a consequence of 3.3.1.1 and of 3.1 D) and I'). 2) for the $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type (resp. A_n type without involution) is a consequence of 3.1 D) and I') (resp. of [De2, 2.3.10 and 2.3.13]; see [Va2, 6.5.1.1 and Case 1 of 6.6.5.1] for the $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -context). 3) is a consequence of iv) of 3.1 I').

The main disadvantages we get by replacing A by ${}_2A$ are:

DADV. *We lose to a great extent the control on $Z(G)$. Moreover, often the dimension of ${}_2A$ is much bigger than the dimension of A .*

3.3.3.1. Remark. Warning: A and ${}_2A$ are by now means, in the general case, related through some isogeny. However, we can call them *adjoint-isogeneous*. Of course, instead

of ${}_2A$ we get different variants of ADV by using any other abelian variety over E which is adjoint-isogeneous to A and which is obtained similarly to ${}_2A$ but via another injective map

$$\tilde{f}_2: (\tilde{G}_2, \tilde{X}_2) \hookrightarrow (GSp(\tilde{W}_1, \tilde{\psi}_1), \tilde{S}_1),$$

with $(\tilde{G}_2^{\text{ad}}, \tilde{X}_2^{\text{ad}}) = (G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$ and such that some other desired properties hold. So for getting 3.3.3 ADV, we could have just quoted the proof of [De2, 2.3.10] and [De2, 2.3.13]; we worked 3.3 the way we did, to get (besides 3.3.3 ADV) 3.3.2 as well.

In practice, $\tilde{f}_2$ is a Hodge quasi product of injective maps indexed by simple factors of $(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$. It is convenient to uniformize $\tilde{f}_2$ as follows. We write (as in 3.2.2 2)) $(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}}) = \prod_{i \in I} (G_i, X_i)$ as a product of simple, adjoint Shimura pairs. Let F^i be as in 3.2.2 2). Let F^{com} be the totally real number field generated by F^i 's, $i \in I$ (so F^{com} is unramified above any prime over which all F^i 's are unramified). Let F be a totally real number field containing F^{com} and all F_1 's fields obtained as in 3.2 but for the different simple factors of $(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$ which are not of A_n type. Let

$$(G_5, X_5) := \prod_{i \in I} (G_i^F, X_i^F).$$

For each $i \in I$ we get injective maps

$$(G_{i2}, X_{i2}) \hookrightarrow (G_{i3}, X_{i3}) \hookrightarrow (G_{i1}, X_{i1}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_i^i} (GSp(W_{i1}, \psi_{i1}), S_{i1}),$$

with $(G_{i2}^{\text{ad}}, X_{i2}^{\text{ad}}) = (G_i, X_i)$ and $(G_{i3}^{\text{ad}}, X_{i3}^{\text{ad}}) = (G_i^F, X_i^F)$, cf. 3.2.2 6). Using Hodge quasi product, we “put” them together. We get injective maps

$$(\tilde{G}_2, \tilde{X}_2) \hookrightarrow (\tilde{G}_3, \tilde{X}_3) \hookrightarrow (\tilde{G}_1, \tilde{X}_1) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_1} (GSp(\tilde{W}_1, \tilde{\psi}_1), \tilde{S}_1),$$

whose composite is (a uniformized) $\tilde{f}_2$. We have the following properties:

- 1) $(\tilde{G}_3^{\text{ad}}, \tilde{X}_3^{\text{ad}}) = (G_5, X_5)$ and $(\tilde{G}_2^{\text{ad}}, \tilde{X}_2^{\text{ad}}) = (G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}})$;
- 2) the resulting injective map $(G^{\text{ad}}, X^{\text{ad}}) \hookrightarrow (G_5, X_5)$ is a product (indexed by elements of I) of the standard ones $(G_i, X_i) \hookrightarrow (G_i^F, X_i^F)$;
- 3) $\tilde{f}_1$ is a PEL type embedding which is the Hodge quasi product of $\tilde{f}^i$'s and for which the analogue of c) of 3.2.2 2) holds;
- 4) the analogues of ADV 1) and 2) hold.

3.3.2 (resp. 3.3.3) is used below just in Step 2 of 5.1.3 and so implicitly in 5.1.4 (resp. in 5.1.3-4, beginning with Step 2 of 5.1.3).

3.3.4. Remark. If 3.3.3 DADV looks unpleasant or if one would like to “stick around” the injective map f (and so A), then we can consider the PEL-envelope $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{X})$ of f as defined in [Va1, 4.3.12]. So we have natural injective maps

$$(G, X) \hookrightarrow (\tilde{G}, \tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} (GSp(W, \psi), S)$$

whose composite is f , with $\tilde{G}$ as the connected component of the origin of the intersection of $GSp(W, \psi)$ with the centralizer in $GL(W)$ of the centralizer of G in $GL(W)$. So $\tilde{f}$ is a PEL type embedding and we get a variant of 3.3.1 Fact' in its context: with p big enough (so that the analogues of i) to iv) of 3.3 E) hold), we can view Fr as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued point of a torus $\tilde{T}'$ of a suitable form $\tilde{G}'$ of $\tilde{G}$; this form is automatically inner if $\tilde{G}^{\text{ad}}$ has no simple factors of D_s Lie type, with $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \geq 4$ (cf. the connectedness aspects of [Ko, §7]). From the point of view of understanding the intersection of G_p with $Z(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$, it is more convenient to work with $\tilde{T}'$ instead of $\tilde{T}_1'$. Warning: we do not know how one could get 3.3.2 via $\tilde{T}'$ (i.e. by using $\tilde{f}$ instead of f_1).

We now consider the centralizer $\tilde{C}$ of $Z^0(G)$ in $GSp(W, \psi)$. Let $\tilde{G}_1^{\text{ad}}$ be the maximal factor of $\tilde{C}^{\text{ad}}$ with the property that each simple factor of it is non-compact over $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\tilde{G}_1$ be the maximal normal, reductive subgroup of $\tilde{C}$ whose adjoint is $\tilde{G}_1^{\text{ad}}$. We have the following variant of the above paragraph: instead of $\tilde{G}$ we work with $\tilde{G}_1$. The inclusion $\tilde{G}_1 \hookrightarrow GSp(W, \psi)$ extends uniquely to an injective map

$$\tilde{f}_1 : (\tilde{G}_1, \tilde{X}_1) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S)$$

through which f factors. $\tilde{f}_1$ is a PEL type embedding and so, for p big enough, we can view Fr as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued point of a torus $\tilde{T}_1'$ of a suitable form of $\tilde{G}_1$. What we gain in this way: $\tilde{C}^{\text{ad}}$ (and so also $\tilde{G}_1^{\text{ad}}$) has no factors of D_s Lie type and so this form is inner.

3.3.5. Remark. We come back to 3.3 B). f_1 is a Hodge quasi product indexed naturally by the set I of 3.3.3.1, cf. d) of 3.2.2 2). So if we choose L_1 to be well adapted to it, then ${}_2A$ is a product (indexed by I) of abelian varieties whose Mumford–Tate groups have simple adjoints.

§4. The basic techniques

4.0. Let E be a number field. Let A be an abelian variety over E . Let p be a rational prime. Let

$$H^1 := H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{E}}, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Let $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ defined (see [Se]) as the connected component of the origin of the algebraic envelope of the natural p -adic representation

$$\rho : \text{Gal}(E) \rightarrow GL(H_1[\frac{1}{p}])(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

So $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a connected subgroup of $GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$ and there is a finite field extension E_1 of E such that $\rho(\text{Gal}(E_1))$ is a compact, open subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Let H_A be the Mumford–Tate group of A . We recall that H_A is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}$ and that any embedding $E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ allows the interpretation of H_A as the smallest subgroup of $GL(H_B^1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}))$ with the property that the Hodge cocharacter

$$\mu(\mathbb{C}) : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow GL(H_B^1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})$$

factors through H_A (cf. [De3, 2.11 and 3.4]). We recall: if $H_B^1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} = F^{1,0} \oplus F^{0,1}$ is the usual Hodge decomposition, then $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C})$ acts through $\mu(\mathbb{C})$ as the multiplication with β^{-1} on $F^{1,0}$ and as identity on $F^{0,1}$. From now on we fix (arbitrarily) an embedding $i_E: E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. $H_B^1 := H_B^1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$ is obtained via it. The smallest property of H_A is specific to Mumford–Tate groups; it is used freely in what follows. Let $T^0 := Z^0(H_A)$.

The connection between the Betti cohomology and the étale cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ (see [SGA4, Exp. XI]), identifies canonically $H^1 = H_B^1 \otimes_{dbZ(p)} \mathbb{Z}_p$ and so we view $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ as a subgroup of $GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$ (it does not matter which embedding i_E we fixed). We have the following remarkable prediction (cf. [Mu1] and [Se]):

4.1. The Mumford–Tate conjecture for the pair (A, p) . *As subgroups of $GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$, $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$.*

4.1.1. Goal. *The main goal in §4-5 is to approach (and prove in many cases) this conjecture, via the strategy of 1.2.*

4.1.2. A variant of 1.2. There is a simpler variant of 1.2, where we assume that both $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ are split, reductive groups. References to this variant are made below in: 4.2.8.1, 4.2.9-10, 4.3.3.1, 4.3.6.1.1 and Step 3 of 5.1.3.

4.2. Previously used tools. In 4.2 we first review some well known facts about 4.1 and then we show how it is implied by these facts and by (the assumed validity of) 1.2 or (of) its variant 4.1.2. $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ commutes (cf. 4.2.3) with $Z(H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p})$. So let $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the reductive subgroup of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ generated by $T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^0$ and $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We have $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}} = \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$. For any rational prime q , $G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ (resp. $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$) has the same significance as $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (resp. as $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$) but working in the $\mathbb{Q}_q$ -étale context. We view $G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ and $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ as subgroups of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_q}$ and so of $GL(H_B^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_q)$.

4.2.1. Faltings’ theorem. $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a reductive group (cf. [Fa1]).

4.2.2. Pyatetskii-Shapiro–Deligne–Borovoi’s theorem. *As subgroups of $GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$, $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a subgroup of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (for instance, cf. [De3, 2.9 and 2.11]).*

4.2.3. Faltings’ theorem. *We have*

$$\text{End}(A_{\bar{E}})^{\text{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}(H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = \text{End}(H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)^{H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p)},$$

where the first isomorphism is defined by the action of endomorphisms of $A_{\bar{E}}$ on H^1 (cf. [Fa1]; here *op* stands for opposite).

4.2.4. Serre’s theorem. *The rank r_p of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ does not depend on p (cf. [Chi, th. (3.10)]).*

4.2.5. Theorem. *If the Mumford–Tate conjecture for the abelian variety A is true for a prime p , then it is true for all rational primes (cf. [LP2, 4.3] or [Ta1, 3.4]).*

The proof of this result (cf. [Ta1, 3.4]) relies on 4.2.1-4 and on:

4.2.5.1. Zarhin's lemma. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite dimensional vector space over a field of characteristic 0. Let $\mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ be Lie algebras of reductive subgroups of $GL(\mathcal{V})$. We assume that the centralizer of $\mathfrak{g}_i$ in $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ is independent on $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and that the rank of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is equal to the rank of $\mathfrak{g}_2$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_2$ (cf. [Za, key lemma of §5]).*

4.2.6. CM type case. The Mumford–Tate conjecture is true for abelian varieties (over number fields) having complex multiplication over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ (this is well known; it is an easy exercise which can be solved using 4.2.7.1 below, 4.2.4 and the main result of [Wi]).

4.2.7. Frobenius tori. *There is a number field E^T and a torus T of H_{AE^T} (called a Frobenius torus of A) such that for any finite prime v of E^T , $T_{E_v^T}$ is isomorphic to a maximal torus of $G_{E_v^T}$.*

This follows from [Chi, ch. 3]: taking $\mathbb{Q}$ as the number field we get a $\mathbb{Q}$ -torus such that the isomorphism part of 4.2.7 holds for v provided the characteristic of $k(v)$ is big enough; by passing to a suitable finite field extension E^T of $\mathbb{Q}$, we can control (cf. 4.2.4) the left aside primes as well as we can assume we have an E^T -torus which is embeddable in H_{AE^T} .

4.2.7.1. Standard constructions. For the constructions briefly reviewed here, we refer to [Chi] and [Pi]. Let q be a rational prime relatively prime to p . We assume there is a prime v_q of E dividing q and such A has good reduction A_{v_q} w.r.t. v_q . Let $\overline{v}_q$ be any prime of $\overline{E}$ dividing v_q . Let $F(\overline{v}_q) \in \text{Gal}(E)$ be a Frobenius automorphism of $\overline{v}_q$. Since the restriction of ρ to the inertia group of $\overline{v}_q$ is trivial,

$$\rho(F(\overline{v}_q)) \in GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

is well defined regardless of the choice of $F(\overline{v}_q)$; it is referred as a Frobenius element. Let T_{v_q} be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -model (obtained as in [Chi, 3.a]) of the connected component of the origin of the Zariski closure (in $GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$) of the set $\{\rho(F(\overline{v}_q)^n) | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -valued points of $GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$. So T_{v_q} is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -torus and $T_{v_q \mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a torus of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

T_{v_q} depends only on (the Frobenius endomorphism $\pi(A_{v_q})$ of) A_{v_q} (see [Chi, 3.a and 3.b]); moreover, in this statement we can replace A_{v_q} by any abelian variety A'_{v_q} over a finite field extension $k_1(v_q)$ of $k(v_q)$ which is isogeneous to $A_{v_q k_1(v_q)}$.

We consider now an abelian scheme B' over a complete, characteristic 0 DVR R having $k_1(v_q)$ as its residue field, which lifts A'_{v_q} and has complex multiplication. Let $MT(B')$ be the Mumford–Tate group of B' ; as in 4.0, it is irrelevant which monomorphism $R \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we use to define it. Let DC be the centralizer (in $GL(H_B^1(B'_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}))$) of the centralizer C of $MT(B')$ in $GL(H_B^1(B'_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}))$. As any abelian variety over $k_1(v_q)$ has complex multiplication and as $\text{Lie}(C)$ is naturally identified with the Lie algebra of (Betti realizations) of $\mathbb{Q}$ -endomorphisms of $B'_\mathbb{C}$, a sufficiently high, positive, integral power of any invertible element of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebra of $\text{End}(A'_{v_q})$ generated by the Frobenius endomorphism of A'_{v_q} , is naturally identified with a $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued point of DC ; so (cf. loc. cit.) T_{v_q} can be identified with a subtorus of DC . If B' is equipped with a polarization $p_{B'}$, then above we can replace DC by the connected component of the origin of $DC \cap GSp(H_B^1(B'_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}), p_{B'})$. So, if $\mathcal{B}$ is a semisimple $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra of $\text{End}(H_B^1(B'_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}))$ formed by elements fixed by $MT(B')$, then T_{v_q}

is a torus of the intersection of $GSp(H_B^1(B'_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}), p_{B'})$ with the subgroup of $GL(H_B^1(B'_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}))$ fixing all elements of $\mathcal{B}$.

It is well known (see [Chi, 3.b] and [Pi, 3.6-7]) that for an infinite set of primes v_q of E of good reduction for A and relatively prime to p , $T_{v_q \mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

4.2.7.2. Fact. *There is a subtorus T^{00} of T^0 which does not depend on p and with the property that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ is generated by $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$ and by $T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{00}$.*

Proof: We assume $T_{v_q \mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Let C_A be the centralizer of $Z(H_A)$ in $GL(H_B^1(A_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}))$. $Z(C_A)$ is a torus. Its Lie algebra, when viewed as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Lie subalgebra of $\text{End}(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$, is generated by $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combinations of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -adic realizations of endomorphisms of A_{v_q} . Here we identify via $\bar{v}_q$, H^1 with $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{v_q \bar{k}(v)}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let $C_{A_{v_q}}$ be the centralizer of $\text{Lie}(Z(C_A))$ in the group of invertible elements of $\text{End}(A_{v_q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. We identify $C_{A_{v_q} \mathbb{Q}_p}$ with a subgroup of $GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$.

We still denote by $\pi(A_{v_q})$ the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -adic realization $\rho(F(\bar{v}_q))$ of $\pi(A_{v_q})$. After replacing it by a positive, integral power of it dividing the order of $Z(C_A^{\text{der}})$, as an element of $GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$ we can write it as a product

$$\pi(A_{v_q}) = \pi_C \pi_{C^\perp},$$

with $\pi_C \in Z^0(C_A)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and with $\pi_{C^\perp} \in C_A^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. As $\pi(A_{v_q}) \in H_A(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, after a possible similar replacement we get that $\pi_{C^\perp} \in H_A^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and that $\pi_C \in T^0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Using $C_{A_{v_q}}$ instead of C_A , we get that in fact $\pi_C \in T^0(\mathbb{Q})$.

We take T^{00} to be the connected component of the origin of the smallest subgroup of T^0 having π_C as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued point. The images of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $T_{v_q \mathbb{Q}_p}$ in $H_{A \mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ab}}$ are the same torus T^{01} . As the images of $\pi(A_{v_q})$ and of π_C in $H_{A \mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ are the same, T^{01} is the extension to $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of the image of T^{00} in T^{01} . As $Z^0(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is contained in $T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^0$ and as $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$ is the connected component of the origin of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cap H_{A \mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$, $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is generated by $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$ and $T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{00}$. The fact that T^{00} does not depend on v_q is checked in the standard way by considering another prime v_l of E dividing a rational prime different from q and such that the Frobenius torus T_{v_l} has the same rank as T_{v_q} . This ends the proof.

4.2.7.2.1. Exercise. Use the variant of 3.3.4 to give a second proof of 4.2.7.2.

4.2.8. Good primes. The set of primes p such that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a split, reductive group is of positive Dirichlet density and so is infinite. This is a direct consequence of 4.2.7 (T splits over a finite field extension of E^T ; the set of rational primes which split completely in this extension is –for instance, see [La, p. 168]– of positive Dirichlet density).

4.2.8.1. Variant. We have a variant of 4.2.8: the set of primes p such that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $H_{A \mathbb{Q}_p}$ are both split, reductive groups is of positive Dirichlet density and so is infinite (in the above argument we need to replace E^T by its composite with a number field over which an arbitrarily chosen torus of H_A splits).

4.2.9. Corollary. *The conjecture 4.1 is implied by 1.2 and so by 4.1.2.*

Proof: This is a direct consequence of 4.2.7-8, via 4.2.5.

4.2.10. Products. The conjecture 4.1 (or the expectation 1.2 or its variant 4.1.2) is true for A iff it is true for $A^n \times (A^t)^m$, with n and m non-negative integers such that $n + m > 0$.

4.2.11. Isogenies. The conjecture 4.1 is true for A iff it is true for an isogeny A' of the extension of A to a finite field extension E' of E .

The statements 4.2.10-11 are obvious.

4.2.12. Characterizations of the CM type cases. The following assertions are equivalent:

- a) $A_{\bar{E}}$ has complex multiplication.
- b) H_A is a torus.
- c) For a (any) prime p , $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a torus.

The equivalence of a) (resp. c)) and b) follows from definitions (resp. from 4.2.3).

4.2.13. On $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We have $T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^0 = Z^0(\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$, cf. 4.2.3. So if $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ are split, then $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is also so. So we do not refer to the variant of 1.2 in which $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is replaced by $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$: we prefer to keep the original form of 1.2 (while simultaneously working with its simplest variant 4.1.2).

4.2.14. Pink's theorem. *All non-trivial, simple factors of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ are of A_l , B_l , C_l or D_l Lie type, $l \in \mathbb{N}$; moreover, the non-trivial, irreducible subrepresentations of the natural representation of the Lie algebra of any such simple factor on $H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, are associated to minimal weights. (cf. [Pi, 5.11]; see also [Ta2] for a slightly less general result).*

This theorem is obtained as a corollary to (slight reformulation):

4.2.14.1. Pink's theorem. *$G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^-$ is generated by cocharacters having the property that the resulting representations of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ are associated to the trivial and the inverse of the identity character of $\mathbb{G}_m$, the multiplicities being the same (cf. [Pi, 5.10]).*

The proof of 4.2.14.1 (cf. [Pi, 3.15]) is obtained by combining the following three things.

- a) Serre's theory (see [Pi, 3.5-8]; part of it was recalled in 4.2.4 and 4.2.7).
- b) Results (see [Pi, 2.3]) on the relation between Hodge cocharacters and Newton quasi-cocharacters (as defined and used in [Pi]). A variant of [Pi, 2.3] is contained in [RR, 4.2]; for a completely new proof of loc. cit. see [Va2, th. 1 of Appendix].
- c) Katz–Messing' result recalled in [Pi, 3.10].

For two other approaches to b) (one particular and one general) in the context of abelian varieties, see 3.3.2.1 and 4.4.10 below.

4.2.15. Bogomolov's theorem. *$G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ contains $Z(GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}]))$ (cf. [Bog]).*

4.2.16. References. For cases the conjecture 4.1 was previously known to be true, see [Pi, the paragraph after the proof of 5.8] and [Pi, 5.13-15]. See also 5.4 6) below.

4.2.17. Overview of what follows. In 4.3 we start the proof of 1.2 in the general context. In 5.1.1-4 we accomplish its end in many situations. Some basic (new) techniques are presented in 4.3-4, while §5 gathers many conclusions of §3-4.

4.3. Nice arrangements.

4.3.0. On $N(A)$. Let p be a prime such that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a split, reductive group. The natural numbers $N_1(A)$, $N_2(A)$ and $N_3(A)$ are respectively defined below in 4.3.1, 4.3.4 and 5.1.0. As their notation points out, they depend only on A . We take

$$N(A) := \max\{N_1(A), N_2(A)\}.$$

The condition $p \geq N_1(A)$ guarantees that E is unramified above p and A has good reduction w.r.t. primes of E dividing p . The condition $p \geq N_2(A)$ says that we can obtain out of the faithful representation $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$ “whatever we desire”; i.e. it guarantees that the mentioned representation is “strongly unramified”, in some sense which will not be made explicit here. The condition $q \geq N_3(A)$ says (cf. 3.3 F) and 5.1.0 below) that in some context we get a SHS enjoying nice properties. We are not at all interested here in a tight bound for $N(A)$ (cf. 4.2.5); so we treat $N_2(A)$ and $N_3(A)$ in a loose way, i.e. we do not stop here to get tight bounds for them. However, we do think that it is of some theoretical interest to have tight bounds for $N_2(A)$ (or similarly constructed numbers); accordingly we present the ideas in such a way, that in any concrete situation, the interested reader can “trace out” such tight bounds.

We deal with the problem: if $p \geq N(A)$ show that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$. An afterthought of the approach that follows towards the solution of this problem is included in 5.5.2. Those who are interested just in this approach (to the proof 1.2), can look just at 4.3.1-3, at 4.3.4 but just working with a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -very well positioned family of tensors (so at 4.3.4.1, at ii), iv) and vi) of 4.3.4.2, at 4.3.4.6 with $\max\{d(A), 4\}$ being replaced by 4, and at 4.3.4.8 a)), at 4.3.5-8, and at the whole of 4.4.

4.3.1. $N_1(A)$. There is a smallest integer $N_1(A) \geq 3$ such that any prime v of E dividing a rational prime $l \geq N_1(A)$, is unramified over l and is a prime of good reduction for A . Obviously $N_1(A)$ depends only on A (and on E). From now on we assume that $p \geq N_1(A)$.

4.3.2. Principal polarizations. We can assume A has a principal polarization p_A . First argument: the Zarhin’s trick (see [M-B, p. 205]) says $B := A^4 \times (A^t)^4$ has a principal polarization and so we can replace (cf. 4.2.10) if needed A by B . Second argument: cf. [Mu2, cor. 1, p. 234] and 4.2.11.

This second approach is more convenient: we can assume that A is a product of absolutely simple abelian varieties and that p_A is a product of principal polarizations of these simple factors. We do not need this assumption in what follows: we include it just for the sake of future references. So let

$$(A, p_A) = \prod_{i \in I_f} (A_i, p_{A_i}),$$

with I_f a finite set, with A_i an absolutely simple abelian variety, and with the pair (A_i, p_{A_i}) a principally polarized abelian variety over E , $\forall i \in I_f$. At the level of cohomology, we get a direct sum decomposition

$$H^1 = \oplus_{i \in I_f} H^1(i),$$

with $H^1(i) := H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{i\bar{E}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

For $i \in I_f$, let $H_A(i)$ be the Mumford–Tate group of A_i . We have:

Fact. $H_A(i)^{\text{ad}}$ is either a simple $\mathbb{Q}$ -group or the $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank of each simple factor of it is 0.

In the last case, the Shimura pair $(H_A(i), X_A(i))$ attached to A_i is of compact type, cf. [BHC]. The argument of this well known fact is simple and short and so we include it. The irreducible representations of a product of semisimple Lie algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ are obtained by taking tensor products of irreducible representations of its simple factors. But in the case of the representation of $\text{Lie}(H_A(i)_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{der}})$ on $H_B^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ we can not have such tensors products involving two non-compact factors of $\text{Lie}(H_A(i)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{der}})$ (we recall that the simple factors of $H_A(i)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ are absolutely simple, cf. [De2, 1.2.1]).

We can assume $(H_A(i)^{\text{ad}}, X_A(i)^{\text{ad}})$ has a simple factor $(H(i_0), X(i_0))$ whose extension to $\mathbb{R}$ does not have compact factors; for instance, this happens if we have a simple factor of $H_A(i)^{\text{ad}}$ whose $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank is positive. The $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector subspace $W(i)$ of $H_B^1(A_{i\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$ generated by non-trivial, irreducible subrepresentations of $\text{Lie}(H(i_0))$ is $H_A(i)$ -invariant and fixed by the simple factors of $\text{Lie}(H_A(i)^{\text{der}})$ different from $\text{Lie}(H(i_0))$; here we view $\text{Lie}(H(i_0))$ naturally as a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Lie}(H_A(i)^{\text{der}})$ and so of $\mathfrak{gl}(W(i))$. So from Weyl's complete reducibility theorem, as A_i is an absolutely simple abelian variety, we get $W(i) = H_B^1(A_{i\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$. So $H_A(i)^{\text{ad}} = H(i_0)$. This argues the Fact.

4.3.2.1. Some adjoint Mumford–Tate groups. We view $H_A(i)$ as a quotient of H_A . We get naturally a monomorphism

$$H_A^{\text{ad}} \hookrightarrow \prod_{i \in I_f} H_A(i)^{\text{ad}}.$$

Warning: H_A^{ad} is isomorphic to a product of simple factors of this product but it is not necessarily equal to the whole product; if it is not equal to the whole product, then two of adjoint Shimura pairs $(H_A(i)^{\text{ad}}, X_A(i)^{\text{ad}})$, $i \in I_f$, have isomorphic simple factors.

p_A induces a perfect form (still denoted by p_A)

$$p_A: H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(-1)$$

(fixed by $\text{Gal}(E)$). We still denote by (H^1, p_A) the symplectic space over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ we get.

4.3.3. Lemma. *There is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice H'_1 of $H^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ such that we still get a perfect form $p_A: H'_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H'_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ and moreover the Zariski closure of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in $GL(H'_1)$ is a (split) reductive group $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.*

Proof: We get this by combining the following three facts:

a) the inclusion $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow G\text{Sp}(H^1[\frac{1}{p}], p_A)$ of reductive groups over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ can be extended to an inclusion $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \hookrightarrow G\text{Sp}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of reductive groups over $\mathbb{Z}_p$;

b) for any $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -reductive form $G\text{Sp}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $G\text{Sp}(H^1[\frac{1}{p}], p_A)$ there is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice H'_1 of $H^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ and there is $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that we get a perfect form $\beta p_A: H'_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H'_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $G\text{Sp}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = G\text{Sp}(H'_1, \beta p_A)$ (so $G\text{Sp}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = G\text{Sp}(H'_1, \beta p_A)(H'_1)$), cf. [Ti, end of 2.5];

c) there is a cocharacter $\mu_p: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ producing a direct sum decomposition $H^1[\frac{1}{p}] = F_p^1 \oplus F_p^0$, with μ_p acting on F_p^0 trivially and on F_p^1 as the inverse of the identity character of $\mathbb{G}_m$; it allows us to get “rid of” β 's of b).

Such a cocharacter exists over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (cf. 4.2.14.1). As $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split, such a cocharacter exists over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. This argues c); a) is obvious as the reductive groups are split. This ends the proof.

Warning: we do not require H'_I to be normalized by $\rho(\text{Gal}(E))$.

4.3.3.0. Remark. We can assume that $H'_I = \bigoplus_{i \in I_f} H'_I \cap H^1(i) \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$. Argument: we can perform 4.3.3 for each simple factor A_i of A , $i \in I_f$.

4.3.3.1. Variants. We have variants of 4.3.3 and 4.3.3.0: if $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split, then we can assume as well that its closure in $G\text{Sp}(H'_I, p_A)$ is a split, reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. To see this for 4.3.3, in 4.3.3 a) we have to start with inclusions of split, reductive groups $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \hookrightarrow H_{A\mathbb{Z}_p} \hookrightarrow G\text{Sp}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$; the rest is the same. As $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split iff $H_A(i)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split $\forall i \in I_f$ (cf. 4.3.2.1), using entirely the same method we get the variant of 4.3.3.0.

4.3.4. Proposition. *There is $N_2(A) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if also $p \geq N_2(A)$, then there is a family $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(H'_I)$ which is $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -very well positioned for the group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.*

Most of 4.3.4.1-8 is dedicated to the proof of this Proposition: its proof ends with the proof of 4.3.4.8 a) below.

4.3.4.1. Finiteness properties. In what follows $\mathcal{K}$ is an arbitrary field of characteristic 0. It is well known (for instance, see [Hu1]) that the finite numbers of a) and b) below do not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{K}$; we need $\mathcal{K}$ just to simplify the presentation. We have:

- a) For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there is only a finite number of isomorphism classes of split, semisimple groups over $\mathcal{K}$ of dimension not bigger than d .
- b) For any split, semisimple group over $\mathcal{K}$ there is only a finite number of isomorphism classes of representations of it of dimension smaller or equal to a given $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

For a), cf. the classification of split, simply connected semisimple groups over $\mathcal{K}$ and the finiteness of their centers. For b), cf. the classification of irreducible representations –all of them are absolutely irreducible– of a split, semisimple Lie algebra over $\mathcal{K}$, the Weyl's complete reducibility theorem, and the dimension formula –in the case of a split, simple Lie algebra over $\mathcal{K}$ – of these representations. For all these facts see [Hu1]. [Hu1] is stated over $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$; as we are dealing with split, semisimple groups, the same remains true for any such field $\mathcal{K}$ (cf. also the uniqueness theorem of [SGA3, Vol. III, p. 313-314]).

4.3.4.2. Some invariants and $N_2(A)$. Let $g_A := \dim_E(A)$. Let $d_A := 4g_A^2$ and $r_A := 2g_A$. In our case we can work most of the time with considerably smaller expressions of d_A and r_A ; for instance, the dimension of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is smaller or equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_A)$. But we recall (cf. 4.3.0) that we are not interested here for the best bound of $N_2(A)$. We need $N_2(A)$ to be greater than:

- i) all orders of centers of semisimple groups over $\mathcal{K}$ of dimension not bigger than d_A ;
- ii) all numbers $B(G)$, with G running through all simple, adjoint groups over $\mathcal{K}$ of dimension not bigger than d_A ; if G is (resp. is not) of classical Lie type, then $B(G)$ is defined in [Va1, 5.7.2] (resp. we define for convenience $B(G) := 30$; to be compared with [Hu2, p. 48-49] and [Va2, 2.2.23 B]);

- iii) $\max\{2d_A, r_A\}$;
- iv) the numbers $s(\mathfrak{g}, W)$ (defined in [Va1, 4.3.3]), for any split, semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathcal{K}$ of dimension not bigger than d_A , and for every representation W of $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathcal{K}$ of dimension not bigger than r_A ;
- v) the numbers $k(\mathfrak{g}, W_0)$ (defined in 4.3.4.2.3 below), for any $\mathfrak{g}$ as in iv), and for every non-trivial, simple $\mathfrak{g}$ -module W_0 of dimension not bigger than r_A ;
- vi) the natural numbers $2 + d(A)$ and $\Pi(A)$ defined below in 4.3.4.2.1-2.

4.3.4.2.1. The $d(A)$ invariant. $d(A) \in \mathbb{N}$ is the smallest number such that for any prime q , $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ is the subgroup of $GL(H_B^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_q)$ fixing some homogeneous tensors of $\mathcal{T}(H_B^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_q)$ of degree not bigger than $d(A)$.

4.3.4.2.1.1. Argument. To see why $d(A)$ exists, we first remark that $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ is the subgroup of $GL(H_B^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_q)$ fixing some homogeneous tensors of $\mathcal{T}(H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_q)$ of degree not bigger than a given $d(A, q) \in \mathbb{N}$, iff $\tilde{G}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_q}}$ is the subgroup of $GL(H_B^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_q})$ fixing some homogeneous tensors of $\mathcal{T}(H_B^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_q})$ of degree not bigger than $d(A, q)$.

Let E^{T^0} be a number field over which T^0 splits. Let $\widetilde{GL}(q) := GL(H_B^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_q)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_q})$. The key point is: there is (cf. 4.3.4.1) a finite set $\{\tilde{G}_j | j \in S(A)\}$ of split, reductive subgroups of $GL(H_B^1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E^{T^0})$ having $T_{E^{T^0}}^0$ as the connected component of the origin of their centers and such that for any prime q , $\tilde{G}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_q}}$ is $\widetilde{GL}(q)$ -conjugate to one of the subgroups $\tilde{G}_{j\overline{\mathbb{Q}_q}}$ (it does not matter which embedding $E^{T^0} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ we choose), $j \in S(A)$. The existence of $d(A)$ is implied by the first remark and by the fact that $S(A)$ is finite.

4.3.4.2.2. The $\Pi(A)$ invariant. $\Pi(A) \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined as the smallest number such that for any representation $W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ of dimension not bigger than r_A of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of a split, semisimple group (over $\mathbb{Z}$) of dimension not bigger than d_A , the symmetric bilinear form TR on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}[\frac{1}{\Pi(A)}]$ induced by the trace form on $\text{End}(W_{\mathbb{Z}}[\frac{1}{\Pi(A)}])$ is perfect. 4.3.4.1 guarantees $\Pi(A) \in \mathbb{N}$ is well defined (TR depends only on the representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ on $W_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$).

4.3.4.2.3. Notation. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be as in 4.3.4.2 iv). For a non-trivial, simple $\mathfrak{g}$ -module W_0 of finite dimension, we denote by

$$k(\mathfrak{g}, W_0) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

the greatest coefficient of the decomposition of the highest weight of W_0 as a linear combination with non-negative coefficients of fundamental weights (here weights are w.r.t. some fixed Cartan Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$; see [Hu1, ch. 20]).

4.3.4.3. Conclusion. 4.3.4.1 assures the existence of a smallest number $N_2(A) \in \mathbb{N}$ for which the needed properties i) to vi) of 4.3.4.2 are satisfied (cf. 4.3.4.2.1-2). Obviously $N_2(A)$ depends only on g_A . As $d_A \geq 4$, we deduce from 4.3.4.1 iii) that $N_2(A) \geq 5$. From now on we also assume that $p \geq N_2(A)$. So $p \geq N(A)$.

4.3.4.4. Some decompositions. Let $T_p^0 := Z^0(G_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$. It is a split torus (as $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ is split). Let $H_{\Gamma'}^1 = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} H_{\Gamma'}^{\gamma}$ be the maximal direct sum decomposition associated to the faithful

representation $T_p^0 \hookrightarrow GL(H_I^1)$; so Γ is a set of characters of T_p^0 such that $\beta \in T_p^0(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ acts on H_I^γ as the multiplication with $\gamma(\beta) \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $H_I^\gamma \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] = \oplus_{i \in I_\gamma} H^i \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$ be a direct sum decomposition in irreducible $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$ -representations. Let

$$I := \cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} I_\gamma$$

(disjoint union). We get:

$$H_I^1 \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] = \oplus_{i \in I} H^i \left[\frac{1}{p} \right].$$

Let $H^i := H^i \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \cap H_I^1$, $i \in I$. Let I^{tr} be the subset of I formed by those i such that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$ acts trivially on $H^i \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$. Let $I^{\text{ntr}} := I \setminus I^{\text{tr}}$.

4.3.4.5. Absolutely irreducible representations. Let $i \in I^{\text{ntr}}$. The representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$ on $H^i \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$ is a finite tensor product $\otimes_{j \in I_i} \rho_j^i$ of irreducible representations factoring through quotients of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$ having split, simple adjoints. Each such ρ_j^i extends to a representation $\tilde{\rho}_j^i$ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{der}}/\text{Ker}_i$, where Ker_i is the Zariski closure in $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{der}}$ of $\text{Ker}(\rho_j^i)$ (cf. [Ja, 10.4 of Part I]). $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{der}}/\text{Ker}_i$ is a semisimple group. The special fibre of $\tilde{\rho}_j^i$ is absolutely irreducible, cf. [Bo1, 6.4] (as $p \geq N_2(A)$, 4.3.4.2 v) implies that loc. cit. applies). From this, [Ja, 10.4 and 10.9 of Part I] and [Bo1, 7.2-3] we get that the representation of $G_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{der}}$ on H^i/pH^i is a tensor product of absolutely irreducible representations (indexed by I_i) and so it is absolutely irreducible. Obviously, if $i \in I^{\text{tr}}$, the representation of $G_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{der}}$ on H^i/pH^i is trivial and 1 dimensional and so irreducible.

4.3.4.5.1. Claim. *We can assume we have a direct sum decomposition*

$$H_I^1 = \oplus_{i \in I} H^i.$$

Proof: For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and for any $i \in I_\gamma$, let J_i be the subset of I_γ formed by elements j such that the representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$ on $H^i \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$ and on $H^j \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$ are isomorphic. Based on 4.3.4.5, it is enough to show that we can assume $\oplus_{j \in J_i} H^j$ is a direct summand of H_I^1 . The case $i \in I^{\text{tr}}$ is trivial and so we assume $i \in I^{\text{ntr}}$.

Let

$$H(i) := H_I^1 \cap \oplus_{j \in J_i} H^j \left[\frac{1}{p} \right].$$

Let $B(i)$ be a Borel subgroup of the image $G(i)$ of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{der}}$ in $GL(H(i))$ (based on [Va1, 3.1.2.1 c]) we get easily that $G(i)$ is a reductive, closed subgroup of $GL(H(i))$. Let $T(i)$ be a split, maximal torus of $B(i)$. Let w be the highest weight of the representation of $T(i)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on $H^i \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$; here “highest” is w.r.t. the basis of roots of the root system of the action of $T(i)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on $\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}})$ which corresponds naturally to $\text{Lie}(B(i)_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$. Let $V(i)$ be the maximal direct summand of $H(i)$ on which $T(i)$ acts as scalar multiplication by its character corresponding to w . Its rank is the same as the number of elements of J_i (see [Hu1, p. 108]).

For $j \in J_i$, we choose $v_j \in V(i)$. We assume that the restrictions of v_j ’s mod p are forming an $\mathbb{F}_p$ -basis $\mathcal{B}(i)$ of $V(i)/pV(i)$. We take $H^j \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$ to be the cyclic $\text{Lie}(G(i)_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ -submodule of $H(i) \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$ generated by v_j (cf. loc. cit.). As $\mathcal{B}(i)$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$ -basis of $V(i)/pV(i)$,

from 4.3.4.5 we get that the intersection

$$H^j/pH^j \cap \bigcap_{l \in J_i \setminus \{j\}} H^l/pH^l$$

is trivial, $\forall j \in J_i$. We conclude: $\bigoplus_{j \in J_i} H^j$ is $H(i)$. This ends the proof.

4.3.4.6. Basic tensors. Let $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ be the family of tensors formed by all homogeneous tensors of $\mathcal{T}(H_I^1)$ fixed by $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and of degree at most $\max\{d(A), 4\}$; so the set $\mathcal{J}_0$ is infinite. In particular, it contains the following 4 types of tensors.

- 1) The projection p_γ of H_I^1 on H_I^γ associated to the direct sum decomposition $H_I^1 = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} H^\gamma$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma$). So $p_\gamma \in \text{End}(H_I^1) = H_I^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (H_I^1)^*$.
- 2) The tensor $\pi(\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}), H_I^1[\frac{1}{p}])$ defined in [Va1, 4.2.1] (4.3.4.2.2 implies that it is enveloped by –i.e. it is integral w.r.t.– H_I^1).
- 3) The tensors B and B^* defined in [Va1, 4.3.2] but for $\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(H_I^1[\frac{1}{p}])$ (cf. 2)).
- 4) The projection p^i of H_I^1 on H^i associated to the direct sum decomposition of 4.3.4.5.1 ($i \in I$). So $p_i \in \text{End}(H_I^1) = H_I^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (H_I^1)^*$.

4.3.4.6.1. Remarks. 1) The tensors $\pi(\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}), H_I^1[\frac{1}{p}])$, B and B^* are fixed by any automorphism of $H_I^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ normalizing $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$.

2) We have $\deg(v_\alpha) < p - 1$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$, cf. the inequalities

$$p \geq N_2(A) > \max\{2d_A, d(A) + 1\} \geq 8.$$

As $p \geq 8$, there is $s(p) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^{s(p)}$ times $\pi(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) := \pi(\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}), H_I^1[\frac{1}{p}])$ is v_{α^0} , for some $\alpha^0 \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

4.3.4.7. The enlarged family of tensors. The subgroup of $GL(H_I^1[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing v_α , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$, is a subgroup of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ containing $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, cf. 4.3.4.6 vi) and the definition of $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$. Let $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$, with $\mathcal{J}$ a set containing $\mathcal{J}_0$, be an enlarged family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(H_I^1)$ such that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the subgroup of $GL(H_I^1[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing v_α , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. We assume that all p -components of étale components of Hodge cycles of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ which are elements of $\mathcal{T}(H_I^1)$, are elements of this family of tensors indexed by $\mathcal{J}$. This forces the set $\mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}_0$ to be infinite.

4.3.4.8. Claim. a) *The family of tensors $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ of $\mathcal{T}(H_I^1)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -very well positioned for $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.*

b) *If $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then this family is H_I^1 -representation well positioned for $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.*

Proof: Let R be an integral, faithfully flat $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra. Let $M \subset R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H_I^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ be a free R -module such that $M[\frac{1}{p}] = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H_I^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ and it envelopes the family of tensors $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$. Let $S := R[\frac{1}{p}]$.

The subfamily of tensors $(p_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -well positioned for T_p^0 (see [Va1, 4.3.13]). So (cf. 4.3.4.6 1)) the Zariski closure T_{pR}^0 of T_{pS}^0 in $GL(M)$ is an R -torus.

The subfamily of tensors formed by B , B^* and $\pi(\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}), H_I^1[\frac{1}{p}])$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -very well positioned for $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$ (cf. [Va1, 4.3.10 b) and 4.3.10.1 1)] and 4.3.4.2 iv)). So (cf. 4.3.4.6 2) and 3)) the Zariski closure of G_S^{der} in $GL(M)$ is a semisimple group over R .

From [Va1, 3.1.6] we deduce that the Zariski closure of G_S in $GL(M)$ is a reductive group over R . So the family of tensors $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -very well positioned for $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. This ends the proof of a) as well as of 4.3.4.

We now prove b). We assume that R is a strictly henselian DVR V , that $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and that for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$, v_α has a non-zero image in H'_I/pH'_I iff it has a non-zero image in $M/\pi_V M$, with π_V a uniformizer of V (cf. 2.3.1). We need to show: there is an isomorphism $M \xrightarrow{\sim} H'_I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$ taking v_α into v_α , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$. 4.3.4.7 allows us to express the existence of such an isomorphism in terms of a reduced V -scheme (which is sort of a torsor of G_V) not being a scheme over $\text{Spec}(V/\pi_V \times V[\frac{1}{p}])$. So we can assume V is complete and we are allowed to replace V by its normalization V_1 in a finite field extension of $V[\frac{1}{p}]$.

We have a direct sum decomposition of G_V -modules $M = \oplus_{i \in I} M^i$, with $M^i = p^i(M)$ (cf. 4.3.4.6 4)).

We have two hyperspecial subgroups of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V[\frac{1}{p}])$: $G_{V[\frac{1}{p}]}(M)$ and $G_{V[\frac{1}{p}]}(H'_I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V)$. They are $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}(V[\frac{1}{p}])$ -conjugate (cf. [Ti, p. 47]) and so by replacing V by a V_1 as above and by replacing $M \otimes_V V_1$ with $g_1(M \otimes_V V_1)g_1^{-1}$, for some $g_1 \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V_1[\frac{1}{p}])$, we can assume they are the same.

On the other hand, the representation of the special fibre of G_V on $M^i/\pi_V M^i$ is absolutely irreducible, cf. 4.3.4.5. So for any $i \in I$, M^i is $\delta_i H^i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$ for some $\delta_i \in \mathbb{G}_m(V[\frac{1}{p}])$. For $i \in I$, we write $\delta_i = \pi_V^{n_i} u_i$, with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u_i \in \mathbb{G}_m(V)$. Let

$$\delta \in GL(H'_I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V[\frac{1}{p}])$$

be such that it takes $m_i \in H^i$ into $\pi_V^{n_i} m_i$, $\forall i \in I$. It centralizes G_V . We have

$$\delta(H'_I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V) = M.$$

As the family of tensors $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ is enveloped by M and by $H'_I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$, we deduce by induction that the family of tensors $(\delta^{-n}(v_\alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ is enveloped by $H'_I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$; argument: $\delta^{-n}(v_\alpha)$ is a V -linear combination of members of the family $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$, cf. 4.3.4.6.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$. We now check that $\delta(v_\alpha) = v_\alpha$. We can assume that the image of v_α in $\mathcal{T}(H'_I/pH'_I)$ is non-zero. We start writing

$$v_\alpha = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} v_\alpha^s,$$

with v_α^s a homogeneous tensor of $\mathcal{T}(H'_I)$ of the same degree as v_α and such that

$$\delta^{-1}(v_\alpha^s) = \pi_V^s v_\alpha^s.$$

We immediately get: $v_\alpha^s = 0$ if $s < 0$ and the image of v_α^0 in $\mathcal{T}(H'_I/pH'_I)$ is non-zero. Using a suitable quotient of the difference $v_\alpha - \delta^{-1}(v_\alpha)$ by a non-negative, integral power of π_V and the fact this tensor is a V -linear combination of similar tensors w_α^s (of $\mathcal{T}(H'_I)$) with $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we get (cf. the fact that $\forall \alpha' \in \mathcal{J}_0$, the image of $v_{\alpha'}$ in $\mathcal{T}(H'_I/pH'_I)$ is non-zero iff its image in $\mathcal{T}(M/\pi_V M)$ is non-zero): $v_\alpha^s = 0$, $\forall s \in \mathbb{N}$. So $v_\alpha = v_\alpha^0$ and so $\delta(v_\alpha) = v_\alpha$.

So (in our present context) 2.3.1 b) is satisfied for such a ring R while 2.3.1 c) follows from 4.3.4.7. These, together with a), end the proof of b) and so of the Claim.

4.3.5. An adequate isogeny. Let $A'_\mathbb{C}$ be the abelian variety over $\mathbb{C}$ which is $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -isogeneous to $A_\mathbb{C}$ and satisfies

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(A'_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = H_I^1.$$

It is defined over a subfield E' of $\mathbb{C}$ which is a finite field extension of E . We denote by $i_{E'}: E' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the natural inclusion. Let A' be the (abelian variety) model of $A'_\mathbb{C}$ over E' . A' is obtained from $A_{E'}$ by taking its quotient through a finite group subscheme C of $A_{E'}$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $p^n H_I^1 \subset H^1$, then the finite (abstract) group $C(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the quotient group $H^1/p^n H_I^1$ (i.e. we have $H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_\mathbb{C}/C_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = p^n H_I^1$). The pull back of the polarization p_A of A under the multiplication endomorphism $p^n: A \rightarrow A$ descends to give birth to a principal polarization $p_{A'}$ of A' . The perfect form $p_{A'}: H_I^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H_I^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(-1)$ (of $\text{Gal}(E')$ -modules) induced by the polarization $p_{A'}$ of A' is precisely p_A .

From 4.3.3.0 we get that A' is a product of absolutely simple abelian varieties and that $p_{A'}$ is a product of principal polarizations of these simple factors.

4.3.6. Level structures. Passing to a finite field extension of E' (unramified above primes not dividing $(N_1(A) - 1)!$, cf. 4.3.1), we can assume that both A' and A have a level-4 symplectic similitude structure. By this we mean similitude isomorphisms

$$k'_4: (L/4L, \psi)_{E'} \xrightarrow{\sim} (A'[4], p_{A'})$$

and $k_4: (L/4L, \psi)_E \xrightarrow{\sim} (A[4], p_A)$ of symplectic spaces over $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. Here ψ is the symplectic form on

$$W := H_{1B}(A_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}) = H_{1B}(A'_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q})$$

defined by the polarization p_A of A , L is a fixed $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of W such that $\psi: L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a perfect form, and we also identify $L/4L$ with a group scheme over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. For convenience, we take L to be the first group of the Betti homology of $A'_\mathbb{C}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$; accordingly, we have a natural identification $L/4L_{E'} = A'[4]$ and we take k'_4 to be defined by the identity isomorphism of $L/4L_{E'}$. Let $K_p := \text{GSp}(L, \psi)(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$. It is a hyperspecial subgroup of $\text{GSp}(W, \psi)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. All below level-4 symplectic similitude structures are defined as above, i.e. are w.r.t. $L/4L$.

4.3.6.1. Moduli setting. We get a Siegel modular variety $\text{Sh}(\text{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$ and an injective map

$$f_A: (H_A, X_A) \hookrightarrow (\text{GSp}(W, \psi), S)$$

between Shimura pairs; here X_A is the Hermitian symmetric domain defined by H_A and by the Hodge structure of W corresponding to $A_\mathbb{C}$ or to $A'_\mathbb{C}$ (see also [Va1, 2.12 3])). We also get a Shimura quadruple $(\text{GSp}(W, \psi), S, K_p, p)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be its integral canonical model (cf. [Va1, 3.2.9]). So $\text{GSp}(L, \psi)(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{A}_f^p)$ acts on $\mathcal{M}$.

We have $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(W) = 2g_A$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{g_A, 1, 4}$ be the moduli scheme over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ parameterizing isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian schemes of dimension g_A (over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ -schemes) having level-4 symplectic similitude structure. Its fibre over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{M}$ by the compact, open subgroup of $\text{GSp}(W, \psi)(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$ of elements acting trivially on $L/4L$. Here $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the p -component omitted; so $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Corresponding to the two triples $(A', p_{A'}, k'_4)$ and (A, p_A, k_4) (of elements defined above) we get two morphisms

$$l': \text{Spec}(E') \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_A, 1, 4}$$

and respectively $l: \text{Spec}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_A, 1, 4}$.

4.3.6.1.1. Remark. If $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a split group, then (cf. 4.3.3.1) the Zariski closure $H_{A\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of H_A in $GL(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is a reductive group. So we get another Shimura quadruple $(H_A, X_A, H_{A\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(H_I^1), v_A)$, with v_A a fixed prime of the reflex field $E(H_A, X_A)$ dividing $p \geq 8$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be its integral canonical model, cf. [Va1, 6.4.1]. We get an injective map

$$(H_A, X_A, H_A(H_I^1), v_A) \hookrightarrow (G\text{Sp}(W, \psi), S, K_p, p),$$

and so, cf. [Va1, rm. 4) of 3.2.7], a natural morphism $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. In fact we get a SHS $(f_A, L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, v_A)$ (as $p \geq 2d_A$, [Va1, 5.8.6] applies).

4.3.6.2. Remark. Passing, if needed, to a finite field extension of E' , we can assume

$$\rho(\text{Gal}(E')) \subset G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p),$$

i.e. we can assume that the tensors of the family $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ are fixed by $\text{Gal}(E')$.

4.3.7. p -adic setting. Passing to a finite field extension of E unramified above primes relatively prime to $(N_1(A) - 1)!$, we can assume we have natural inclusions $E(H_A, X_A) \subset E \subset E' \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let v' be a prime of E' dividing v_A and let v be the prime of E divided by it. **Warning:** v' might be ramified over p . Let e be the index of ramification of v' over p . Let $\mathbb{F} := \overline{k(v')}$. Let $V_0 := W(\mathbb{F})$. Let V be the completion of the maximal unramified cover of the ring of integers $O_{v'}$ of $E'_{v'}$. V is a finite, flat DVR extension of V_0 of degree e . Let $K_0 := V_0[\frac{1}{p}]$ and $K := V[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Let A_{V_0} be the abelian scheme over V_0 having A_{K_0} as its generic fibre and let A'_V be the abelian scheme over V having A'_K as its generic fibre (cf. the inequality $p \geq N_1(A)$, the definition of $N_1(A)$, and the fact that the good reduction property is preserved by passage to an isogeny). We still denote by p_A and $p_{A'}$ the principal polarizations of A_{V_0} and respectively of A'_V , whose restrictions to generic fibres are obtained from p_A and respectively $p_{A'}$ via pull backs.

4.3.7.1. Notations. We $l_{V_0}: \text{Spec}(V_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_A, 1, 4}$ and $l_V: \text{Spec}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_A, 1, 4}$ be the morphisms corresponding respectively to the triples (A_{V_0}, p_A, k_4) and $(A'_V, p_{A'}, k'_4)$. Their generic fibres are the extension to K_0 and respectively to K of l and respectively of l' .

From the definition of A' , we get a $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -isogeny

$$i_V: A'_V \rightarrow A_V$$

inducing the isomorphism $H_{\text{et}}^1(A_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{et}}^1(A'_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, defined by the inclusion $H^1 \subset H_I^1[\frac{1}{p}]$. At the level of generic fibres we get a $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -isogeny $i_{\mathbb{F}}: A'_{\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{F}}$.

4.3.8. An assumption. From now on we assume (cf. 4.2.12) that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is not a torus. So $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$ is a non-trivial, semisimple group.

4.4. Local deformation of A'_V and its de Rham tensors. For what follows we rely heavily on [Va1, 5.2-3], [Va2, 3.6] and 4.3.

4.4.1. Notations. Let π_V be a uniformizer of V . Let Re , $\tilde{Re}$, $B^+(V)$ and β have the same significance as in [Va1, 5.2.1]. So (cf. 2.1 and loc. cit.) $\tilde{Re}$ (resp. Re) is the piano ring of $\mathbb{F}$ of resonance e (resp. of convergent resonance e), while β is a suitable element of the Fontaine's ring $B^+(V)$ of V .

Let $(M', F^1(M'), \Phi_{M'}, \nabla')$ be the filtered F -crystal over Re/pRe obtained by taking the dual of the Lie algebra of the universal vector extension of the abelian variety A'_V (or of the p -divisible group associated to A'_V) (cf. [Me], [BBM]; see also [Fa3] and [Va1, 5.2.2]).

Let $M'_V := M' \otimes_{Re} V$; here the natural V_0 -epimorphism $Re \twoheadrightarrow V$ is defined by π_V , cf. 2.1 Fact and [Va1, 5.2.1]. M'_V is canonically identified with $H^1_{dR}(A'_V/V)$, cf. [Va1, 5.2.2]. Let

$$M_0 := H^1_{\text{crys}}(A_{\mathbb{F}}/W(\mathbb{F}))$$

and

$$M'_0 := H^1_{\text{crys}}(A'_{\mathbb{F}}/W(\mathbb{F})).$$

Let F_0^1 be the Hodge filtration of M_0 defined by A_{V_0} . Let ϕ_0 and ϕ' be the σ -linear endomorphisms of M_0 and respectively of M'_0 . The isocrystals $(M_0[\frac{1}{p}], \phi_0)$ and $(M'_0[\frac{1}{p}], \phi')$ are naturally isomorphic (cf. the existence of $i_{\mathbb{F}}$).

4.4.2. Fontaine's comparison theory. Applying [Fa3, th. 7] to the p -divisible group of A'_V , we get (cf. also [Va1, 5.2.5]) an inclusion of filtered $B^+(V)$ -modules

$$\rho': M' \otimes_{Re} B^+(V) \hookrightarrow H^1_I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(V),$$

which becomes an isomorphism ρ'_1 by inverting $p\beta$. Similarly, cf. [Va1, 5.2.2.1 and 5.2.4] (and [Va2, AE.0] which points out that we need to invert $p\beta$ and not just p), for A_V we get an isomorphism of filtered $B^+(V)[\frac{1}{p\beta}]$ -modules

$$\rho_1: M_0 \otimes_{V_0} B^+(V) \left[\frac{1}{p\beta} \right] \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(V) \left[\frac{1}{p\beta} \right].$$

ρ_1 is obtained (by inverting $p\beta$) from a monomorphism of filtered $B^+(V)$ -modules

$$\rho: M_0 \otimes_{V_0} B^+(V) \hookrightarrow H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(V),$$

as it can be easily checked starting from the fact that A_V is definable over V_0 .

4.4.2.1. Isomorphisms defined by isogenies. The $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -isogeny i_V gives birth to two $B^+(V)[\frac{1}{p\beta}]$ -isomorphisms

$$i_V^{dR}: M_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(V) \left[\frac{1}{p\beta} \right] \xrightarrow{\sim} M' \otimes_{Re} B^+(V) \left[\frac{1}{p\beta} \right]$$

and

$$i_V^{\text{ét}}: H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(V) \left[\frac{1}{p\beta} \right] \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(V) \left[\frac{1}{p\beta} \right]$$

such that $\rho'_1 \circ i_V^{dR} = i_V^{\text{ét}} \circ \rho_1$ (cf. the functorial part of [Fa3, th. 7]).

ρ', ρ, i_V^{dR} and $i_V^{\text{ét}}$ commute with the natural (see [Fa3]) Frobenius endomorphisms.

4.4.3. Tensors in the crystalline context. Let $(w'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ be the family of tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M'[\frac{1}{p}])$ obtained from $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ through ρ' (cf. Fontaine's comparison theory and 4.3.6.2). They are elements of the F^0 -filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M'[\frac{1}{p}])$, fixed by $\Phi_{M'}$ and annihilated by ∇' . [Fa3, cor. 9] and 4.3.4.6.1 2) guarantee that $w'_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M')$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$. All these are as in [Va1, 5.2.8-9].

4.4.3.1. Key reductiveness property. From the fact that $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -well positioned family of tensors for the group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and from 4.4.3, we deduce (as in [Va1, 5.2.12]) that the Zariski closure G_{Re} in $GL(M')$ of the subgroup of $GL(M'[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing w'_α , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, is a reductive group over Re . [Va1, 5.2.1.1] shows that the notation G_{Re} is justified.

4.4.3.2. Remark. Under $(i_V^{dR})^{-1}$, w'_α is mapped into an element w_α of the F^0 -filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M_0[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixed by ϕ_0 ($\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$). For instance, this can be read out from the fact that i_V^{dR} is the extension to $B^+(V)[\frac{1}{p\beta}]$ of a similar isomorphism over $Re[\frac{1}{p}]$.

4.4.4. Cocharacters. We consider the canonical split cocharacter

$$\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow GL(M_0)$$

of (M_0, F_0^1, ϕ_0) . It factors through G_{V_0} and produces a direct sum decomposition $M_0 = F_0^1 \oplus F_0^0$, with $\gamma \in \mathbb{G}_m(V_0)$ acting through μ on F_0^i as the multiplication with γ^{-i} , $i = \overline{0, 1}$.

4.4.4.1. Transfer via isogeny. The $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -isogeny i_V transforms the cocharacter μ into a cocharacter $\mu': \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow GL(M'_V[\frac{1}{p}])$ factoring through the subgroup G_K of $GL(M'_V[\frac{1}{p}])$ fixing the image $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M'_V[\frac{1}{p}])$ of w'_α under the epimorphism $M'[\frac{1}{p}] \twoheadrightarrow M'_V[\frac{1}{p}]$ of V_0 -modules, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. It produces a direct sum decomposition $M'_V[\frac{1}{p}] = F_i^1 \oplus F_i^0$, with $\gamma \in \mathbb{G}_m(K)$ acting through μ' as the multiplication with γ^{-i} on F_i^i , $i = \overline{0, 1}$; F_i^1 is the Hodge filtration of $M'_V[\frac{1}{p}] = H_{dR}^1(A'_K/K)$ defined by A'_K .

4.4.4.2. Integral variant. Repeating the arguments of [Va1, 5.3.1] we deduce the existence of a cocharacter μ'_V of the subgroup G_V of $GL(M'_V)$, producing similarly a direct sum decomposition $M'_V = F_{iV}^1 \oplus F_{iV}^0$, with $F_{iV}^1 := F_i^1 \cap M'_V$.

4.4.5. Local deformations. Repeating the arguments of [Va1, 5.3.2-12], we deduce the existence of a principally polarized abelian scheme $(A'_{\widetilde{Re}}, p_{A'_{\widetilde{Re}}})$ over $\text{Spec}(\widetilde{Re})$ such that the following properties hold.

- 1) Its principally quasi-polarized filtered F -crystal over $\widetilde{Re}/p\widetilde{Re}$ is

$$(M' \otimes_{Re} \widetilde{Re}, F_{\widetilde{Re}}^1, \Phi_{M'} \otimes 1, \nabla', p_{A'}),$$

with $F_{\widetilde{Re}}^1$ a direct summand of $M' \otimes_{Re} \widetilde{Re}$ of dimension g_A (we still denote by ∇' its extension to $\widetilde{Re}$).

- 2) $w'_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M' \otimes_{Re} \tilde{Re}[\frac{1}{p}])$ belongs to the F^0 -filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M' \otimes_{Re} \tilde{Re}[\frac{1}{p}])$ defined by F_{Re}^1 , is fixed by $\Phi_{M'} \otimes 1$, and is annihilated by ∇' , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. Moreover $w'_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(M' \otimes_{Re} \tilde{Re})$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$.
- 3) The pull back of the triple $(A'_{\tilde{Re}}, p_{A'_{\tilde{Re}}}, (w'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ through the V_0 -morphism which at the level of rings is the V_0 -epimorphism $\tilde{Re} \rightarrow V$ defined (see 2.1) naturally by π_V , is the triple $(A'_V, p_{A'_V}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$.
- 4) The pull back of $(A'_{\tilde{Re}}, p_{A'_{\tilde{Re}}})$ through the V_0 -valued Teichmüller lift of $\text{Spec}(\tilde{Re})$, is a principally polarized abelian variety (A^0, p_{A^0}) over V_0 , whose special fibre $(A_{\mathbb{F}}^0, p_{A_{\mathbb{F}}^0})$ is the special fibre of $(A'_V, p_{A'_V})$; its associated principally quasi-polarized filtered σ -crystal over $\mathbb{F}$ is $(M'_0, F_0^{1'}, \phi', p_{A^0})$, where $F_0^{1'} := F_{Re}^1 \otimes_{\tilde{Re}} V_0 \subset M'_0 := M' \otimes_{Re} V_0$.
- 5) There is a family $(t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ of tensors of the F^0 -filtration of $\mathcal{T}(M'_0[\frac{1}{p}])$ (defined by $F_0^{1'}$), fixed by ϕ' , and such that we get a principally quasi-polarized Shimura filtered σ -crystal $\mathcal{C}_0 := (M'_0, F_0^{1'}, \phi', G_{V_0}, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}, p_{A^0})$. It is obtained naturally from the family $(w'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$, via the pull back of 4). We have $t_\alpha^0 \in \mathcal{T}(M'_0)$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

$A'_{\tilde{Re}}$ is defined by a lift of the cocharacter μ'_V of 4.4.4.2 to a cocharacter $\mu'_{\tilde{Re}} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\tilde{Re}}$. Let $\mu'_{V_0} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{V_0}$ be obtained via the pull back of 4). We get a direct sum decomposition $M'_0 = F_0^{1'} \oplus F_0^{0'}$, with $\gamma \in \mathbb{G}_m(V_0)$ acting through μ'_{V_0} as the multiplication with γ^{-i} on $F_0^{i'}$, $i = \overline{0, 1}$.

We could identify t_α^0 with t_α , $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$; in what follows, not to create any unclarity, this will not be done.

4.4.5.1. Back to an unramified context. As $\tilde{Re}$ is a projective limit of artinian rings having $\mathbb{F}$ as their residue fields, the level-4 symplectic similitude structure of A'_V lifts to a level-4 symplectic similitude structure of $(A'_{\tilde{Re}}, p_{A'_{\tilde{Re}}})$. So we get naturally a morphism

$$l_{\tilde{Re}} : \text{Spec}(\tilde{Re}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_A, 1, 4}$$

through which (cf. 4.4.5 3)) the morphism l_V (of 4.3.7.1) factors. Let

$$l_{V_0}^0 : \text{Spec}(V_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_A, 1, 4}$$

be the composite of the pull back of 4.4.5 4) with it.

4.4.6. The d number. Let d be the relative dimension of the quotient G_{V_0}/P_{V_0} , where P_{V_0} is the parabolic subgroup of G_{V_0} normalizing the direct summand $F_0^{1'}$ of M'_0 . Let $R := V_0[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$, with x_i as independent variables. Let Φ_R be its Frobenius lift compatible which takes x_i to x_i^p , $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Obviously we have $d \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_A)$.

4.4.6.1. Lemma. *We have $d \geq 1$.*

Proof: If v_α is the p -component of the étale component of a Hodge cycle of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ (i.e. of A'_K , cf. 4.3.6.2 and 4.3.4.7) for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, then t_α^0 is the de Rham component of the same Hodge cycle (of A'_K), cf. [Va1, 5.2.10]. So the group $H_{A_{\overline{K_0}}}$ can be canonically

viewed as a subgroup of $GL(M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} \overline{K_0})$. The monomorphisms we get $G_{\overline{K_0}} \hookrightarrow H_{A\overline{K_0}} \hookrightarrow GL(M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} \overline{K_0})$ are nothing else but the extension to $\overline{K_0}$ of the initial monomorphisms $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$. This is entirely similar to [Va1, 5.2.17.2] (being a property of Fontaine's comparison theory). If $d = 0$, then $G_{\overline{K_0}}^{\text{der}}$ is contained in a parabolic subgroup of $H_{A\overline{K_0}}^{\text{der}}$, different from $H_{A\overline{K_0}}^{\text{der}}$, and so it is included in a Levi subgroup of $H_{A\overline{K_0}}^{\text{der}}$. From the structure of parabolic subgroups of simple factors of $H_{A\overline{K_0}}^{\text{der}}$ (see [Bo2, 14.17-18]) we get easily that the centralizer of $G_{\overline{K_0}}^{\text{der}}$ in $H_{A\overline{K_0}}^{\text{der}}$ is non-trivial. This contradicts 4.2.3. So $d \geq 1$.

4.4.7. Theorem. *There is a morphism $l_R: \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_A, 1, 4}$ such that the following hold:*

- a) $l_{\widetilde{R_e}}$ and l_V factor through it;
- b) $l_{V_0}^0$ is the composite of the Teichmüller lift $\text{Spec}(V_0) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ with l_R ;
- c) The principally quasi-polarized p -divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(R)$ defined by the principally polarized abelian scheme (A_R, p_{A_R}) over R it gives birth to, is isomorphic to one of the form

$$\mathcal{C}_R := (M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} R, F_0^{1'} \otimes_{V_0} R, g_{\text{univ}}(\phi' \otimes 1), \nabla^0, p_{A^0}),$$

with $g_{\text{univ}} \in G_{V_0}(R)$ fixing the perfect form p_{A^0} on $M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} R$ and such that $\mathcal{C}_R$ is a uni plus quasi-versal deformation of $\mathcal{C}_0$.

Proof: b) and c) are just a variant of [Va1, 5.4.4-8]: we can take $\mathcal{C}_R$ to be (see [Va2, 2.2.10]) the Shimura filtered F -crystal $(M'_0, F_0^{1'}, \phi', G_{V_0}, N_{V_0}, \tilde{f})$, with N_{V_0} a smooth subgroup of G_{V_0} for which we have an open embedding $N_{V_0} \hookrightarrow G_{V_0}/P_{V_0}$. So a) follows from 2.4.1.

4.4.7.1. Remark. The connection ∇^0 on $M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} R$ respects the G_{V_0} -action in the sense of [Fa3, rm. ii) after th. 10]. So, if v_α is the p -component of the étale component of a Hodge cycle of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ (cf. 4.3.4.7), then $t_\alpha^0 \in \mathcal{T}(M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} R[\frac{1}{p}])$ is the de Rham component of a Hodge cycle of (the generic fibre of) A_R . This is a consequence of [Va1, 5.2.10] (cf. the above proof of 4.4.6.1) and of [Va1, 5.4.4-8]. We conclude (as in [Va1, 5.4.5]):

Corollary. *The morphism l_R factors through the normalization $\mathcal{N}(4)$ of $\mathcal{A}_{g_A, 1, 4_{O(v)}}$ in the ring of fractions of $\text{Sh}(H_A, X_A)_E$.*

4.4.7.2. Remark. As [Fa3] is stated over perfect fields, in 4.3.7 to 4.4.7 we could have worked as well with the rings of integers of E_v and E'_v , instead of V_0 and V . The only difference: we would get reductive groups over spectra of different integral rings involved, which are not necessarily split (however, their generic fibres are –cf. 4.3.6.2– inner forms of logical extensions of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$).

4.4.8. Corollary. *Let T_v be the Frobenius torus of the reduction A_v of A w.r.t. v . If $A_{\mathbb{F}}^0$ (equivalently A_v) is an ordinary abelian variety, then we have:*

- a) its canonical lift A^1 is obtained from A_R by pull back through a V_0 -valued point $l_{V_0}^1$ of $\text{Spec}(R)$;
- b) T_v is isomorphic to a torus of H_A ;

- c) $T_{v_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is isomorphic to a torus of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$;
- d) for any rational prime q we have $G_{\mathbb{Q}_q} = \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$.

Proof: a) is a consequence of [Va2, 2.3.17.1-2 and 3.1.0 b)] and of [Va2, 3.11.1 c)], once we remark that the G_{V_0} -ordinary type produced by $\mathcal{C}_0$ is a usual ordinary type and the degree of definition d_1 of a Shimura-canonical lift $\mathcal{C}_1$ produced by (M'_0, ϕ', G_{V_0}) is 1 (see [Va2, 3.1.1 and 3.11.3] for definitions). The first stated fact is a consequence of the ordinariness of $A_{\mathbb{F}}^0$. The second one is obtained in the same manner as [Va2, 4.6 P2]; it is as well a consequence of [Va2, 3.1.0 b) and c)] once we remark that the canonical split cocharacter of the canonical lift of any ordinary σ -crystal $(M'_0, g_{V_0}\phi')$, with $g_{V_0} \in G_{V_0}(V_0)$, factors through G_{V_0} .

To check b), we first remark that A^1 is the extension to $W(\mathbb{F})$ of an abelian variety A_f^1 over the Witt ring of a finite field k_1 containing $k(v')$ (cf. 4.4.7.2 or standard arguments on canonical lifts). From Corollary of 4.4.7.1 and the fact that each endomorphism π_1 of the special fibre SF of A_f^1 lifts (after extension of scalars) to an endomorphism of A^1 , the Mumford–Tate group T_{A^1} of A^1 (or of A_f^1) is a torus of H_A (uniquely determined up to $H_A(\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugation). So (cf. 4.2.7.1) a sufficiently high, integral power of the Frobenius endomorphism of SF defines a $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued point of $Z^0(C(T_{A_1}))$, where $C(T_{A_1})$ is the centralizer of T_{A^1} in $GSp(W, \psi)$. So T_v can be naturally identified with a subtorus of $Z^0(C(T_{A_1}))$. This identification is compatible with the natural identification (obtained via crystalline realizations) of $Z^0(C(T_{A_1}))_{K_0}$ with a torus of $GL(M'_0[\frac{1}{p}])$. So, as A^1 is a canonical lift, the generic fibre of the canonical split cocharacter of the filtered σ -crystal $(M'_0, F_{\text{can}}^1, \phi')$ of A^1 is a cocharacter of $T_{A^1 K_0}$. It is as well the Newton cocharacter (see [Pi, 3.4]) of $T_{v_{K_0}}$ and the Hodge cocharacter of $T_{A^1 K_0}$. From Serre's result (see [Pi, 3.5]) and the fact that T_{A^1} is a Mumford–Tate group, we get: as subtori of $Z^0(C(T_{A_1}))$, we have $T_v = T_{A^1}$.

Among many possible proofs of c) we chose the one which (we think) is the most instructive and useful.

Claim. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$ID : H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\frac{1}{B(\mathbb{F})}}^1, \mathbb{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_I^1$$

which takes the tensor $v_{\alpha}^1 \in \mathcal{T}(H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\frac{1}{B(\mathbb{F})}}^1, \mathbb{Q}_p))$ obtained from t_{α}^0 via Fontaine's comparison theory to v_{α} , $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

To check this, we shift from the local context of R to a global context as used in [Va2, 3.6 and 3.15.1]. Let $R^{\text{al}} := V_0[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be equipped with the Frobenius lift Fr which takes each $x_i + 1$ into $(x_i + 1)^p$. The p -adic completion of each formally étale R^{al} -algebra introduced below is equipped with the Frobenius lift naturally induced by Fr . We consider the localization R_1 of R^{al} in which all $x_i + 1$'s are invertible and which has the property that the Frobenius lift FL_{y^1} of the completion of $\text{Spec}(R^{\text{al}})$ in an $\mathbb{F}$ -valued point y^1 of it is of essentially multiplicative type (in the sense of [Va2, 3.6.18.0.1.1]; i.e. the rank, as used in [Va2, 3.6.18.1], of FL_{y^1} is d), iff y^1 factors through $\text{Spec}(R_1)$. Its existence is implied by [Va2, Claim of 3.6.18.9] and by the fact that R^{al} is a UFD.

Let $h_{\text{versal}} \in G(R_1)$ be such that it fixes the perfect form p_{A^0} on $M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} R_1$, modulo the ideal $(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ of R_1 is the identity element of $G_{V_0}(V_0)$ and the morphism $\text{Spec}(R_1) \rightarrow G_{V_0}/P_{V_0}$ it defines naturally is étale. We consider the p -divisible object with tensors

$$\mathcal{C}_{R_1} := (M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} R_1^\wedge, F_0^{1'} \otimes_{V_0} R_1^\wedge, h_{\text{versal}}(\phi' \otimes 1), (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$$

of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$. Starting from it, we construct (as in [Va2, 3.6.1.3 and Theorem 2 of 3.15.1]) a uni plus quasi-versal, global deformation $(\mathcal{C}_{R_4}, \nabla_{R_4})$ of $(M'_0, F_{\text{can}}^1, \phi', G_{V_0}, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ over the p -adic completion $\text{Spec}(R_4^\wedge)$ of an $\mathbb{N}$ -pro-étale cover $\text{Spec}(R_4)$ of the p -adic completion $\text{Spec}(R_3^\wedge)$ of an étale scheme $\text{Spec}(R_3)$ over a suitable affine, open, dense subscheme $\text{Spec}(R_2)$ of $\text{Spec}(R_1)$. We take $\text{Spec}(R_2)$ to be an arbitrary affine, open, dense subscheme of $\text{Spec}(R_1)$ for which the following two conditions hold:

- its special fibre is non-empty and by pulling back $\mathcal{C}_{R_1}$ through $\mathbb{F}$ -valued points of $\text{Spec}(R_1)$ factoring through it, we get Shimura filtered σ -crystals which, when viewed just as σ -crystals, are ordinary;
- there is an R_3 as mentioned such that the pull back of $\mathcal{C}_{R_1}/p\mathcal{C}_{R_1}$ to $\text{Spec}(R_3)$ has a connection $\nabla[p]$ on it which is uni plus quasi-versal, $\text{Spec}(R_3/pR_3)$ is connected and non-empty, and the V_0 -valued point of $\text{Spec}(R_1)$ which at the level of rings takes each x_i to 0 factors through $\text{Spec}(R_3)$.

Let $z_3 : \text{Spec}(V_0) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_3)$ be this last factorization. We apply [Va2, 3.6.18.6 a) and Corollary of 3.4.18.9] to the pull back $\mathcal{C}_{R_3}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{R_1}$ to $\text{Spec}(R_3)$. We take $\text{Spf}(R_4)$ to be any connected component of the moduli space of connections on (pull backs of) $\mathcal{C}_{R_3}$ (through formally étale morphisms) with the property that the connection ∇_{R_4} on the pull back $\mathcal{C}_{R_4}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{R_3}$ to $\text{Spec}(R_4)$ we get is such that mod p is the pull back of $\nabla[p]$. From [Fa2, 7.1] and [Va2, Fact of 3.6.19] we deduce that the pair $(\mathcal{C}_{R_4}, \nabla_{R_4})$ is associated to a Shimura p -divisible group $(D_{R_4}, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ over (warning) R_4 . Let z_4 be a V_0 -valued point $\text{Spec}(R_4)$ lifting z_3 . Let R_5 be the completion of R_4 in z_4 . We still denote by z_4 its factorization through $\text{Spec}(R_5)$.

From [Va2, 2.2.21 UP] we deduce the existence of a unique V_0 -isomorphism

$$ISO : \text{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(R_5)$$

such that $ISO \circ l_{V_0}^0 = z_4$ and the pull back of $(\mathcal{C}_{R_4}, \nabla)$ via it is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{C}_R, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ in such a way that in $l_{V_0}^0$ it is the natural identification of $(M'_0, F_0^{1'}, \phi, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$. We also get that $ISO^*(D_{R_5})$ is the p -divisible group of A_R . Let $m_4 : \text{Spec}(V) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_4)$ be defined naturally via $ISO \circ l_V$.

We apply [Fa2, 2.6] in the context of (truncations modulo positive, integral powers of p of) $(\mathcal{C}_{R_4}, \nabla_{R_4})$; we have the following 3 steps.

i) Loc. cit. remains valid in the context of the $\mathbb{N}$ -pro-étale cover $\text{Spec}(R_4) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_3^\wedge)$. Argument: it involves anyway the passage from R_3 to the maximal finite, integral, normal extension $\bar{R}_3$ of $R_3^\wedge$ which is étale over $R_3^\wedge[\frac{1}{p}]$; so $\bar{R}_3$ is naturally an R_4 -algebra and so the Fontaine's rings (defined as in [Fa2, p. 36] or [Fa3, ch. 4]) $B^+(R_3)$ and $B^+(R_3')$ are canonically isomorphic, for any connected, étale cover $\text{Spec}(R_3')$ of $\text{Spec}(R_3)$ through

which $\text{Spec}(R_4)$ factors. As Fr extends to a Frobenius of $V_0[x_1, \dots, x_d][\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^d (x_i+1)}]$ which after inverting p becomes étale, we get that Fr extends naturally to a Frobenius lift of any such R'_3 (and so to R_4 itself) which after inverting p becomes étale. Working directly with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -coefficients, loc. cit. implies the existence of a filtered $B^+(R_3)$ -monomorphism

$$(3) \quad M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} B^+(R_3) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B^+(R_3),$$

with $\mathcal{L}$ as a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of rank $2g_A$ on which $\text{Gal}(\bar{R}_3[\frac{1}{p}]/R_4[\frac{1}{p}])$ -acts. $\mathcal{L}$ is the dual of the Tate module of D_{R_4} (cf. proof of [Fa2, 7.1]). $B^+(R_3)$ can be viewed as an R_4 -algebra in a way that respects Frobenius lifts, cf. [Fa2, p. 36]. So, as $M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} R_4^\wedge = H_{dR}^1(D_{R_4^\wedge})$, the left hand side of (3) can be identified with $H_{dR}^1(D_{B^+(R_3)})$. It has a canonical $\text{Gal}(\bar{R}_3[\frac{1}{p}]/R_4[\frac{1}{p}])$ -action (different from the natural on the second factor of $M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} B^+(R_3)$), cf. [Fa2, p. 36-37]. Also the right hand side of (3) is equipped with the tensor product $\text{Gal}(\bar{R}_3[\frac{1}{p}]/R_4[\frac{1}{p}])$ -action. (3) preserves these two $\text{Gal}(\bar{R}_3[\frac{1}{p}]/R_4[\frac{1}{p}])$ -actions and its cokernel is annihilated by an element $\beta_4 \in B^+(R_3)$ having a similar meaning as β of 4.4.1, cf. [Fa2, h) of p. 42-43].

ii) The condition $p \geq N_2(A)$ allows us to appeal to [Fa2, 2.6] in the context of the mentioned (truncations of) crystalline tensors, cf. 4.3.4.6.1 2). So to t_α^0 it corresponds naturally an element $v_\alpha^4 \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ fixed by $\text{Gal}(\bar{R}_3[\frac{1}{p}]/R_4[\frac{1}{p}])$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

iii) Loc. cit. is compatible with pull backs via V - and V_0 -valued points. For instance, we refer to m_4 and we view V as an R_4 -algebra. Let $\bar{V}$ be the normalization of V in $\bar{K}$; we also view it as an R_4 -algebra. We choose arbitrarily an R_4 -homomorphism $\bar{m}_4 : \bar{R}_3 \rightarrow \bar{V}$. To it, it is canonically associated a filtered V_0 -homomorphism

$$m_4^+ : B^+(R_3) \rightarrow B^+(V)$$

compatible with the PD-structures and with the Galois action of the subgroup SG of $\text{Gal}(\bar{R}_3[\frac{1}{p}]/R_4[\frac{1}{p}])$ which stabilizes the prime ideal of $\bar{R}_3[\frac{1}{p}]$ defining the $\bar{K}$ -valued point of $\text{Spec}(\bar{R}_3[\frac{1}{p}])$ defined by $\bar{m}_4$; SG is naturally identified with $\text{Gal}(K)$. The scalar tensorization of (3) through m_4^+ is nothing else but the filtered $B^+(V)$ -monomorphism of Fontaine's integral theory of [Fa3, th. 7] associated to $D_V := m_4^*(D_{R_4})$; this is a consequence of the fact that (cf. i)) we can identify $M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} B^+(V)$ with $H_{dR}^1(D_{B^+(V)}) = M' \otimes_{Re} B^+(V)$ and that $m_4^+(\beta_4) = \beta$. This equality is a consequence of the fact that the definitions of β_4 and β involve just Teichmüller and logarithmic maps. As D_V is nothing else but the p -divisible group of A'_V , this scalar tensorization is ρ' of 4.4.2. So the pair $(\mathcal{L}, (v_\alpha^4)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0})$ is canonically identified with $(H_r^1, (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0})$. Repeating the arguments for the V_0 -valued of $\text{Spec}(R_4)$ defined via $ISO \circ l_{V_0}^1$, the pair $(\mathcal{L}, (v_\alpha^4)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0})$ is as well canonically identified with $(H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{B(\mathbb{F})}^1, \mathbb{Z}_p), (v_\alpha^1)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0})$. So the Claim follows.

Under ID, the connected component of the origin of the algebraic envelope of the p -adic representation

$$\text{Gal}(B(k_1)) \rightarrow GL(H_r^1)(\mathbb{Q}_p) = GL(H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{B(\mathbb{F})}^1, \mathbb{Z}_p))(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

attached to A_f^1 is (cf. 4.3.4.7 and 4.3.4.6.1 2)) a torus ST of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$; warning: as above we worked with $\mathcal{J}_0$, here we do use ([Bo2, 7.1] and) the fact that $\pi(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) = p^{-s(p)}v_{\alpha^0}$. ST is a subtorus of $T_{A^1\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (for instance, cf. 4.2.2). Moreover, $T_{v\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a subtorus of ST . So by reasons of dimensions, we have $ST = T_{v\mathbb{Q}_p} = T_{A^1\mathbb{Q}_p}$. So c) follows.

As H_A is a Mumford–Tate group, the canonical homomorphism $T_{A^1} \rightarrow H_A^{\text{ab}}$ is an epimorphism. So d) follows from the equality $T_v = T_{A^1}$ (for $q \neq p$, cf. also 4.2.7.2). This ends the proof.

4.4.8.1. Remark. It is worth pointing out that the two facts of the first paragraph of the proof of 4.4.8 a) are a consequence of the splitness of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and so hold without assuming that $A_{\mathbb{F}}^0$ is ordinary. To argue this, let us point out that d_1 can be computed directly in the context of the étale cohomology with $\mathbb{Q}_p$ coefficients, cf. [Va2, 4.1 and 4.2.1 a)]. Loc. cit. is worked in the context of a SHS but its essence holds in the abstract context of Shimura σ -crystals. First, global deformations as in the proof of 4.4.8 c) reduce the situation to Shimura-canonical lifts (the way we presented this proof, here we just need $p \geq 5$ so that we can use $\pi(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$; however, see 4.4.8.3 below for $p = 3$). Second, for them the arguments of [Va2, 4.2.3-7] apply entirely (see also [Va2, c) of 4.4.1 3])). So if $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split, d_1 is automatically 1 (cf. [Va2, 4.6 P1-2, 4.6.1 5) and 4.6.3 A]). Moreover, loc. cit. implies as well that $\mathcal{C}_1$ is, after ignoring the extra Shimura structure, a usual canonical lift of an ordinary σ -crystal.

4.4.8.2. Exercise. a) Use [Va2, 4.8.2 and 4.8.3 e)] to give a new proof of 4.4.8 c).

b) Show directly 4.4.8 d) and then use 4.3.4.8 b) to give a new proof of the non-canonical form of the Claim of 4.4.8. Hint: use Lang’s theorem and the form of 4.4.7 a) which keeps track of de Rham tensors. Warning: this new proof does require $p \geq N_2(A)$.

4.4.8.3. Remark. [Va2, 2.2.4 H] points out that in fact the condition $p \geq N_2(A)$ is not needed in 4.4.8 ii): in the proof of 4.4.8 c) we can work with $\mathcal{J}$ instead of $\mathcal{J}_0$ provided p is odd.

4.4.9. Corollary. *We do not assume anymore that $A_{\mathbb{F}}^0$ is ordinary. We have: the restriction of A_R to the generic point of $\text{Spec}(R/pR)$ is an ordinary abelian variety.*

Proof: This is a consequence of [Va2, 3.12.1] and of 4.4.8.1.

4.4.10. Remark. If SL is the set of slopes of an isocrystal (N, ϕ_N) over a perfect field k , if $(N, \phi_N) = \oplus_{\alpha \in SL} (N_{\alpha}, \phi_N)$ is the slope decomposition of it (see [Va2, Lemma of 2.2.3 3)]), and if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $n\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, $\forall \alpha \in SL$, then the Newton quasi-cocharacter of (N, ϕ_N) is $\frac{1}{n}$ times the cocharacter of $GL(N)$ through which $p \in \mathbb{G}_m(B(k))$ acts on $N(\alpha)$ as the multiplication with $p^{n\alpha}$. In [Va2, 2.2.24] the language of semisimple elements is used.

We recall that A'_V is defined over the ring of integers of $E_{v'}$. Based on this and on Katz–Messing result recalled in [Pi, 3.10] (see also [Mi2, 2.13]), we can identify T_{vK_0} with a torus of the subgroup G_{V_0} of $GL(M'_0[\frac{1}{p}])$. Under this identification, the Newton quasi-cocharacter of (M'_0, ϕ') factors through T_{vK_0} and coincides with the Newton quasi-cocharacter of T_{vK_0} as defined in [Pi, 3.4].

Combining 4.4.9 with a result of Atiyah and Bott (see [Pi, 1.3] and [RR, 2.2] for variants of it) we regain (in a totally different manner) a form of 4.2.14.1 b), strong enough to be a substitute of it in getting 4.2.14.1 (and so 4.2.14).

Moreover, [Va2, 1.12.1 A) and B)] can be used as a refined substitute to the mentioned result of Atiyah and Bott. Loc. cit. implies directly (cf. also [Va2, 2.2.24.1, 3.9.7.2 and 4.12.12.6.3-4]):

NP. *The Newton polygon quasi-cocharacter μ'_{NP} of (M'_0, ϕ') is $G_{V_0}(K_0)$ -conjugate to the one μ_{NP} of the isocrystal of a cyclic diagonalizable Shimura σ -crystal of the form $(M'_0, g_0\phi', T_{V_0})$, with $g_0 \in G_{V_0}(V_0)$ and with T_{V_0} a maximal torus of G_{V_0} .*

Here cyclic diagonalizability is in the sense of [Va2, 2.2.22 1)]. Starting from the unique lift of $(M'_0, g_0\phi', T_{V_0})$ to a Shimura filtered σ -crystal, we get (as in [Va2, 2.2.9 8)]) a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -structure $(M'_{0\mathbb{Z}_p}, T_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ of (M'_0, T_{V_0}) . Based on it, as in [Va2, Fact 2 of 4.1.1.1 and 4.1.2] we get:

CONJ. *A positive, integral multiple of μ_{NP} is a cocharacter $\tilde{\mu}_{NP}$ of T_{K_0} which is a (very precise) sum of cocharacters of T_{K_0} which under the action of suitable powers of σ become $G_{V_0}(K_0)$ -conjugate to μ'_{K_0} (and so, in the language of [Pi], are Hodge cocharacters of G_{K_0}).*

For our present context of Shimura σ -crystals, CONJ is a much more precise form of [Pi, 2.3] which generalizes as well [Pi, 2.11].

4.4.10.1. We assume the ranks of T_v and $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ are the same. As any two maximal tori of the centralizer $C_{\overline{K_0}}$ of $\mu'_{NP\overline{K_0}}$ in $G_{\overline{K_0}}$ are $C_{\overline{K_0}}(\overline{K_0})$ -conjugate, from NP and CONJ we get:

Corollary. *A positive, integral multiple of $\mu'_{NP\overline{K_0}}$ is a cocharacter of $T_{v\overline{K_0}}$ which is a sum of cocharacters of $T_{v\overline{K_0}}$ which under the action of suitable elements of $\text{Gal}(K_0)$ become $G_{V_0}(\overline{K_0})$ -conjugate to $\mu'_{\overline{K_0}}$.*

4.4.11. An approach. We consider the set S_{ord} of primes w of E relatively prime to $N(A)!$ and w.r.t. which A has ordinary good reduction. We assume it has a positive Dirichlet density δ . From 4.4.8 b) we get: $\forall w \in S_{\text{ord}}$, the Frobenius torus T_w of the reduction A_w of A w.r.t. w is a torus of H_A . Replacing S_{ord} by a subset of it S_{ord}^0 which still has Dirichlet density δ , we can assume (cf. [Chi, 3.8]) that the rank of T_w is the same as the rank of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, $\forall w \in S_{\text{ord}}^0$. So if 4.1 does not hold for A , from 4.4.8 c) we get that for any prime q there is a reductive, proper subgroup $G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_q}$ such that:

- $\forall v \in S_{\text{ord}}^0$, an $H_A(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ -conjugate of $T_{v\mathbb{Q}_q}$ is a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$;
- 4.2.3 (with p replaced by q) holds.

It should not be too difficult to show (at least in most of the cases) that there is a reductive subgroup $\bar{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of H_A such that:

- for any v in a subset of S_{ord}^0 having Dirichlet density δ , an $H_A(\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugate of T_v is a maximal torus of $\bar{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$;
- the equivalent of 4.2.3 holds for monomorphisms $\bar{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow H_A \hookrightarrow GL(W)$;
- there are primes p such that $\bar{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is $H_A(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -conjugate to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

For this an interpolation similar to the one of [Pi, §6] is needed. To us, if H_A^{ad} is $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple, it would be also very useful to show (if not that $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ad}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple) at least that all ranks of the Lie types of its simple factors are the same.

The existence of $\bar{G}$ ought to lead to a contradiction (perhaps using 5.2.1 below). This is one of the approaches to be taken in [Va7]. Based on [Va1, 1.7.1] in [Va7] we will see that an entirely similar approach is possible, without any assumption on S_{ord} ; however, it looks much more difficult to reach a contradiction as above in the most general cases: for instance, there are limitations in the isotypical ideas of [LP1] or of [Pi, p. 212-213].

4.4.12. Remark. If $p \gg 0$, then [LP, 3.2] (resp. its proof) implies that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is unramified (resp. that in 4.3.3 we can take $H_I^1 = H^1$). So all the parts of 4.3-4 listed in the end of 4.3.0 remain true if we just assume that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is unramified and that $p \gg 0$, without having to pass in 4.3.5 to an isogeny (and so to a potentially ramified context). Warning: this approach is ineffective.

We assume now that $p \geq N(A)$ and that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is just unramified. Then the mentioned places still hold provided we assume that 4.3.3 a) holds. Just one modification is required: in the proof of 4.3.4.8, we need to use all tensors of $\text{End}(H_I^1)$ fixed by $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ in order to conclude that T_{pR}^0 is a torus, cf. [Va1, 4.3.13]. In many situations it is easy to see that 4.3.3 a) holds. For instance, if no element of $H^1[\frac{1}{p}]$ is fixed by $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$, if $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ splits over an unramified extension of odd degree and if all simple factors of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ are of some A_n Lie type, with $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, then entirely similar to the proof of [Va1, 3.1.2.1 d)] we get that 4.3.3 a) holds.

In case 4.3.3 a) does not hold, we can proceed as follows. We take H_I^1 such that the Zariski closure $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in $GL(H_I^1)$ is reductive, cf. [Ja, 10.4 of Part I]. We apply [Va1, 6.5.1.1 v)] to the $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -lattice $L^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of W . [Va1, 6.5.1.1 v)]. We get, eventually after replacing A by A^2 , we can assume that A' has a principal polarization such that the perfect form $p_{A'}$ on H_I^1 corresponding to it is fixed by $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Warning: as forms on $H^1[\frac{1}{p}]$, $p_{A'}$ can be different from p_A . So we still get the mentioned parts, but without knowing that isogenies of 4.3.5 and 4.3.7.1 respect the principal polarizations.

§5. Some conclusions

In this chapter we include some conclusions of what the techniques of §3-4 can achieve.

5.1. Applications to 4.1. They are stated in 5.1.1-2 and 5.1.5. For the proof of 5.1.1 (resp. of 5.1.2) see 5.1.3 (resp. 5.1.4). 5.1.2.1-5 contains material leading to a deeper understanding of 5.1.1-2 and of what is still left to be proved. 5.1.6 complements [No, 2.2] while 5.1.7 generalizes 4.2.15.

5.1.0. $N_3(A)$. Let $N_3(A) := p(A)$, where the prime $p(A)$ is obtained in the same manner as in 3.3 E), starting from $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ and a prime $v(A, E)$ of E dividing it. Let $v(A)$ be a prime of E dividing a rational prime $l(A) \geq p(A)$, with $\text{g.c.d.}(p, v(A)) = 1$ and such that the ranks of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $T_{v(A)}$ are the same, cf. end of 4.2.7.1. Let $w(A)$ be the prime of $E(H_A, X_A)$ divided by $v(A)$, cf. 4.3.7.

Most common we do not mention the second injective map

$$f_A^2 : (X_A^2, X_A^2) \rightarrow (GSp(W_2, \psi_2))$$

(accompanying F_A and) needed to truly define $p(A)$. Warning: we take f_A^2 to be the analogue of $\tilde{f}_2$ of 3.3.3.1 obtained starting from $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$; moreover, we often say that we can assume (via 3.3.3 or 3.3.3.1) that $f_A = f_A^2$, or that f_A factors through f_A^2 , or that f_A or H_A has such an such properties. Despite all these, strictly speaking we do define $p(A)$ via such a fixed f_A^2 and by these assumptions we just mean that we shift from f_A to f_A^2 without introducing extra notations. Warning: f_A^2 is truly introduced just at the needed moment (i.e. in 5.1.3 S1 below).

For the meaning of $N(A)$ see 5.3.0. We use the notations of 1.4 (1). Warning: $H_A(i)^{\text{ad}}$'s of 4.3.2.1 are different in general from H_j 's of 1.4.

5.1.1. Theorem. *If $p \geq N(A)$, then the equality $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_A) = d$ implies that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$.*

5.1.2. Theorem. *If $p \geq N(A)$, then the split criterion is true (and so 4.1 is true for A , cf. 4.2.9) provided $\forall j \in \mathcal{I}$ one of the following conditions holds:*

- a) (H_j, X_j) is of non-special A_n type (see definition 5.1.2.1 2) below);
- b) (H_j, X_j) is of B_n type;
- c) (H_j, X_j) is of C_n type, with n odd;
- c') (H_j, X_j) is of C_{2n} type, with n odd and such that $4n$ is not of the form C_{4m+2}^{2m+1} , with $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- d) (H_j, X_j) is of $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type, with n odd and such that $2n$ is not of the form C_{2m+1}^{2m} , with $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- d') (H_j, X_j) is of non-inner $D_{2n}^{\mathbb{H}}$ type, with $n \in \{q, 3q | q \text{ a prime}\} \setminus \{9\}$;
- e) (H_j, X_j) is of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 4$.

5.1.2.1. Definitions. 1) Let $f_1 : (H_1, X_1) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W_1, \psi_1), S_1)$ be an injective map. A torus T_1 of H_1 is called *Sh-good* for f_1 if it is the smallest subgroup of H_1 through which a set of cocharacters $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow H_{1\mathbb{C}}$ which are $H_1(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugate to the cocharacters $\mu_{x_1}^*$ defined in [Va2, 2.3.1] factor. Here $x_1 \in X_1$.

2) Let (H_0, X_0) be a simple, adjoint Shimura pair of A_n type, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say it is of non-special A_n type if there is an injective map $f_1 : (H_1, X_1) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W_1, \psi_1), S_1)$ such that:

- a) $(H_1^{\text{ad}}, X_1^{\text{ad}}) = (H_0, X_0)$;
- b) for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a prime $q \geq N$ such that for every torus T_1 of H_1 which is *Sh-good* for f_1 , any reductive subgroup G_1 of $H_{1\mathbb{Q}_q}$ containing $T_{1\mathbb{Q}_q}$ as a maximal torus, whose adjoint has all simple factors of classical Lie type and for which we have $\text{End}(W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_q)^{G_1(\mathbb{Q}_q)} = \text{End}(W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_q)^{H_{1\mathbb{Q}_q}(\mathbb{Q}_q)}$, has the property that G_1^{ad} has simple factors of A_n Lie type.

5.1.2.2. Numerical analysis. Let (H_0, X_0) be as in 5.1.2.1 2). We now list numerical conditions needed to be satisfied in order (H_0, X_0) to be or not of non-special A_n type.

There is nothing deep in obtaining them, so we just state the results. The results are based on the standard table (see [Pi, 4.2]) of minimal weights of split, simple Lie algebras of classical Lie type. When below numerical conditions are not satisfied, in order to do get examples of non-special A_n types, we just need to take f_1 of 5.1.2.1 2) such that 3.3.3 1) and 2) hold for it.

We write

$$H_{0\mathbb{R}} = \prod_{j \in I_1} SU(a_j, b_j)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}} \times \prod_{j \in I_0} SU(n+1, 0)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}},$$

with $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_j \leq b_j$, $a_j + b_j = n+1$, $\forall j \in I_1$. Here I_0 and I_1 are finite sets, keeping track of the non-compact and respectively compact factors of $H_{0\mathbb{R}}$. I_1 is not empty, cf. axiom [Va1, SV3]. For $j \in I_1$, let

$$c_j := \frac{a_j}{b_j}.$$

Let

$$C := \{c_j | j \in I_1\} \subset \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}{n+1 - \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \right\}.$$

So C has at least one element and at most $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ elements. Let c (resp. d) be the minimum (resp. the maximum) of the numbers in C . If $1 \in C$, then n is odd. In what follows we consider pairs $(r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $2s - 1 \leq r$. For such a pair let

$$c_{(r,s)} := \frac{C_r^{s-1}}{C_r^s},$$

and

$$e_{(r,s)} := C_{r+1}^s.$$

We first point out one elementary thing. If (H_0, X_0) is not of non-special A_n type and if $d < 1$, then the “smallest property” of T_1 forces any subgroup G_1 of $H_{1\mathbb{Q}_q}$ (with q a prime and with T_1 and G_1 as in 5.1.2.1 2)) to have the property:

AT) *All simple factors of $\text{Lie}(G_1^{\text{ad}})$ are of (potentially different) A_m Lie types.*

This can be read out from [Pi, Table 4.2] (see its list of multiplicities for the B_n , C_n and D_n Lie types) or from [Sa] (cf. also 3.3.3 1) and the assumptions of b) of 5.1.2.1 2)).

Case 1: $c = d < 1$. If (H_0, X_0) is not of non-special type, then (cf. AT)) for any $j \in I_1$ there is $t_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and pairs $(r_{j,m}, s_{j,m})$, $m = \overline{1, t_j}$, such that the following hold:

- 1) $\sum_{m=1}^{t_j} r_{j,m}$ does not depend on $j \in I_1$;
- 2) $c_{(r_{j,m}, s_{j,m})} = c$, $\forall m \in \{1, \dots, t_j\}$;
- 3) $e_{(r_{j,m}, s_{j,m})} a_j = C_{r_{j,m}}^{s_{j,m}-1} \times \prod_{l=1}^{t_j} e_{(r_{j,l}, s_{j,l})}$, $\forall m \in \{1, \dots, t_j\}$;
- 4) $e_{(r_{j,m}, s_{j,m})} b_j = C_{r_{j,m}}^{s_{j,m}} \times \prod_{l=1}^{t_j} e_{(r_{j,l}, s_{j,l})}$, $\forall m \in \{1, \dots, t_j\}$;
- 5) If $t_j = 1$, then $s_{j,1} \geq 2$.

Case 2: $c < d < 1$. If (H_0, X_0) is not of non-special type, then (cf. AT)) for any $j \in I_1$ there are numbers $n_{j,m} \in \mathbb{N}$, with m running as in Case 1, such that:

- 6) the sum $\sum_{m=1}^{t_j} n_{j,m}$ does not depend on $j \in I_1$;
- 7) if $t_j = 1$, then $n_{j,1} < n$;
- 8) there is a map $f(j): \{1, \dots, t_j\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ having the following properties
 - if $f(j)(m) = 0$, then we can write $n_{j,m} + 1 = a_{j,m} + b_{j,m}$, with $a_{j,m}, b_{j,m} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c_{j,m} := \frac{a_{j,m}}{b_{j,m}} \in C$;
 - if $f(j)(m) = 1$, then there is $s_{j,m} \in \mathbb{N}$, $2s_{j,m} - 1 \leq n_{j,m}$, such that $c_{j,m} := c_{(n_{j,m}, s_{j,m})} \in C$;
 - the map $q_j: \{1, \dots, t_j\} \rightarrow C$ taking m to $c_{j,m}$, is surjective;
 - $n + 1 = \prod_{m \in \{1, \dots, t_j\}, f(j)(m)=0} (n_{j,m} + 1) \times \prod_{m \in \{1, \dots, t_j\}, f(j)(m)=1} e_{(n_{j,m}, s_{j,m})}$.

5.1.2.2.1. Remarks. 1) The conditions 5.1.2.2 1) and 6) just express 3.3.2 (via the fact that T_1 of 5.1.2.1 is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$). In both Cases of 5.1.2.2, t_j counts the number of simply factors of the image of $G_{1\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_q}^{\text{der}}$ in the simple factor $\mathcal{F}(j)$ of $H_{0\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_q}$ corresponding to j . In Case 2, the equation $f(j)(*) = 0$ keeps track of which of these factors are embedded in $\mathcal{F}(j)$ via SD-representations.

2) Of course, if $c < d = 1$ some similar numerical conditions as in Case 2 of 5.1.2.2 can be obtained. We do not stop to list them here. Just one sample: we similarly get surjective maps q_j 's onto C . Warning: the property AT) does not a priori hold.

5.1.2.3. Examples. We present some situations to which (the ideas of) 5.1.2.2 apply.

Example 1. (H_0, X_0) is of non-special A_n type if there is $j \in I_1$ such that $\text{g.c.d.}(a_j, b_j) = 1$ (so $c_j < 1$ if $n > 1$) and the pair (a_j, b_j) is not of the form (C_r^{s-1}, C_r^s) , for (r, s) a pair as above, with $s \geq 2$. In particular, (H_0, X_0) is of non-special type if there is $j \in I_1$ with $a_j = 1$ (i.e. if $\frac{1}{n} \in C$).

Example 2. We assume that $c < d$ and that there is $j \in I_1$ such that $\text{g.c.d.}(a_j, b_j) = 1$. Then (H_0, X_0) is of non-special A_n type.

To see this, we first remark that $\text{g.c.d.}(a_j, b_j) = 1$ implies that for any prime q , under the situation of 5.1.2.1 2), the image of $\text{Lie}(G_{1\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_q})$ in the simple factor $\mathcal{L}_j$ of $\text{Lie}(H_{1\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_q}^{\text{ad}})$ corresponding to this j , is a simple Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(0)$. Now, the surjectivity property mentioned in 5.1.2.2 and in 5.1.2.2.1 2) forces $\mathcal{L}(0) = \mathcal{L}_j$. In the same way we argue Example 1.

Example 3. We assume that $c < d < 1$ and that there is $j \in I_1$ such that $\text{g.c.d.}(a_j, b_j) = 2$. Then (H_0, X_0) is of non-special A_n type.

Example 4. We assume that $c < d < 1$ and that there is $j \in I_1$ such that $\text{g.c.d.}(a_j, b_j)$ does not have factors which can be written as a product of numbers of the form $\tilde{a}_j + \tilde{b}_j$, with $\tilde{a}_j, \tilde{b}_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{\tilde{a}_j}{\tilde{b}_j} \in C$, or of the form $e_{(\tilde{r}_j, \tilde{s}_j)}$, with the pair $(\tilde{r}_j, \tilde{s}_j)$ subject to above conditions and such that $c_{(\tilde{r}_j, \tilde{s}_j)} \in C$. Then (H_0, X_0) is of non-special A_n type, cf. 5.1.2.2 8). This example generalizes the previous one.

Example 5. If $c = d = 1$, then (H_0, X_0) is of non-special type iff $n = 3$ or 4 does not divide $n + 1$. The necessity can be seen by using a central quotient by μ_2 of a product of two split, simply connected semisimple groups of A_1 and respectively of A_m Lie type

($m \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$), embedded logically (via tensor products of SD -representations) in a split, simply connected semisimple group of A_{2m+1} Lie type.

To see the sufficiency part, we first remark that $c = d = 1$ implies that (H_0, X_0) is without involution and so we can use 3.3.3 2); we get: not all of $n_{j,m}$ are 1. As $\frac{n+1}{4} \notin \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, the image of $G_{1\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_q}$ in a simple factor of $H_{0\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_q}$ is a simple, adjoint group SG of some A_r Lie type. $c_{(r,s)} = 1$ iff $r = 2s - 1$; if $r = 2s - 1$, then the fundamental representation of $\text{Lie}(SG)$ associated to the weight $\overline{w}_s$ is self dual, cf. [Bou2, p. 188-189]. So using once more 3.3.3 2), in order not to get a contradiction with 4.2.3 we must have $r = n$.

Example 6. If $c < d = 1$ and if (H_0, X_0) is not of non-special type, then for any $j \in I$ such that $c_j < 1$, 2 divides a_j and b_j . This is a consequence of the surjectivity part of 5.1.2.2.1 2).

Example 7. If $n + 1$ is 4 or a prime, then (H_0, X_0) is of non-special type, regardless of how C is. This is an elementary exercise.

We do not stop here to restate 5.1.2.2-3 in terms of values of n , similar to statements of Examples 5 and 7. Referring to 1.4, i) (resp. iii)) of it is a particular case of Example 2 (resp. 6); moreover, 1.4 ii) (resp. iv)) is just Example 1 (resp. 7).

5.1.2.4. The simplest cases not settled by 5.1.2. Here, for the sake of future references, we include the simplest (in the sense of involving adjoint groups of small rank and fixed parity) cases not settled by 5.1.2. For all of them we assume H_A^{ad} is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$ -group but we do not assume any restriction on p or on $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We denote by IM the image of $G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ in an arbitrarily chosen simple factor of $H_{A\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{\text{ad}}$. It is an adjoint group (easy consequence of 4.2.3) but is not necessarily simple. We will use the sign $+$ to denote the Lie type of products of simple, adjoint $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -groups.

A5. H_A^{ad} is of A_5 Lie type and $C = \{1, \frac{1}{2}\}$. IM could theoretically be of $A_1 + A_2$ Lie type. So among the 7 possibilities for the set C , only 1 is not settled.

A7. H_A^{ad} is of A_7 Lie type, and $C = \{1\}$ or $C = \{1, \frac{1}{3}\}$. IM could theoretically be of $A_1 + A_3$ Lie type. So among the 15 possibilities for the set C , only 2 are not settled.

A8. H_A^{ad} is of A_8 Lie type and $C = \{\frac{1}{2}\}$. IM could theoretically be of $A_2 + A_2$ Lie type. So among the 15 possibilities for the set C , only 1 is not settled.

A9. H_A^{ad} is of A_9 Lie type. IM could theoretically be of A_4 Lie type and then $C = \{\frac{2}{3}\}$, or IM could theoretically be of $A_1 + A_4$ and then either $C = \{1, \frac{1}{4}\}$ or $C = \{1, \frac{2}{3}\}$. So among the 31 possibilities for the set C , only 3 are not settled.

A11. H_A^{ad} is of A_{11} Lie type. IM could theoretically be of $A_1 + A_5$, $A_1 + A_1 + A_2$, $A_2 + A_3$ or $A_2 + C_2$ Lie type. It is worth pointing out that $A_1 + A_1 + A_2$ and $A_2 + C_2$ have the same rank and moreover, in both these situations, we have $C = \{1, \frac{1}{2}\}$. This is the simplest situation where a C_m Lie type, with $m \geq 2$, could theoretically show up among the factors of some $G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{\text{ad}}$'s, for H_A^{ad} of some A_n Lie type.

C4, C8 and C10. H_A^{ad} is of C_4 (resp. C_8 or C_{10}) Lie type. IM could theoretically be of $A_1 + A_1 + A_1$ (resp. of $A_1 + A_1 + C_2$ or A_5) Lie type.

D4. $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ is of non-inner $D_4^{\mathbb{H}}$ type. IM could theoretically be of B_3 or $B_1 + B_2$ Lie type.

D6. $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ is of non-inner $D_6^{\mathbb{H}}$ type. IM could theoretically be of $C_1 + C_3$ Lie type.

D8. $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ is of non-inner $D_8^{\mathbb{H}}$ type. IM could theoretically be of B_4 or $A_1 + A_1 + A_1$ Lie type (the D_5 Lie type is excluded here by autodualities of [Pi, Table 4.2]).

D35. $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ is of $D_{35}^{\mathbb{H}}$ type. IM could theoretically be of A_7 Lie type.

Also, it is worth remarking: the Shimura types A_6 , A_8 , A_{10} and A_{12} are settled by Example 7 of 5.1.2.3.

5.1.2.5. Remark. Let $F_0 := F(H_0, X_0)$. If by chance we know that there is an infinite number of primes p above which F_0 has only one prime, then one can reobtain easily most of the numerical conditions of 5.1.2-3, without being “bothered” about mentioning T_1 in 5.1.2.1 2) or appealing to 3.3.3. But still this is not enough to get them all (we have in mind Example 5 of 5.1.2.3) or to get 5.1.2 a). So even if we have a good understanding of the (assumed to be very simple) arithmetics of F_0 , still 5.1.3 S1 below (and so implicitly 3.3.3) looks to us unavoidable. However, in practice it is often easy to check that the numbers t_j of the two Cases of 5.1.2.2 do not depend on $j \in I_1$, and accordingly, that the pairs (counted with multiplicities) $(s_{j,m}, r_{j,m})$, $m \in \{1, \dots, t_j\}$, do not depend on $j \in I_1$. Of course, as in 5.1.2.4 A9, we might not have a unique possibility for such a t_j .

5.1.3. The proof of 5.1.1. It is achieved in three steps. We refer to the equality $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_A)$ as the DD assumption (property).

Let $G_{V_0}^{\text{ad}} = \prod_{i \in J} G_{iV_0}$ be the decomposition of the adjoint group of G_{V_0} into simple V_0 -factors. Let I^{nc} be the subset of J formed by those $i \in J$ such that the composition of μ'_{V_0} of 4.4.5 with the natural quotient homomorphism $G_{V_0} \rightarrow G_{iV_0}$, is non-zero. Let $I^c := J \setminus I^{nc}$. We can write (as $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split)

$$G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{ad}} = G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{adnc}} \times G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{adc}}$$

as a product of two adjoint groups over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, where

$$G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{adnc}} = \prod_{i \in I^{nc}} G_i,$$

and $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{adc}} = \prod_{i \in I^c} G_i$ are product decompositions in split, adjoint, simple factors such that each G_{iV_0} is the extension of G_i to V_0 (so the notation G_{iV_0} is justified). Let $\mu_{V_0}^{nc}$ be the composition of the cocharacter μ'_{V_0} of 4.4.5 with the natural quotient homomorphism $G_{V_0} \twoheadrightarrow G_{V_0}^{\text{adnc}}$. We get (cf. 4.4.6.1) a Shimura group pair $(G_{V_0}^{\text{adnc}}, [\mu_{V_0}^{nc}])$ over V_0 which is a product of simple, adjoint Shimura group pairs over V_0 .

Similarly we can write $H_{AK_0}^{\text{ad}} = H_{AK_0}^{\text{adnc}} \times H_{AK_0}^{\text{adc}}$. Warning: we do not know a priori that the simple factors of $H_{AK_0}^{\text{adnc}}$ or $H_{AK_0}^{\text{adc}}$ are absolutely simple.

Step 1. DD implies that $G_{K_0}^{\text{adnc}} = H_{AK_0}^{\text{adnc}}$. Fixing an embedding $i_K: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extending the embedding $E' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of 4.3.7, we check this equality over $\mathbb{C}$. DD says that the connected, compact Hermitian symmetric spaces defined (see [He]) by $G_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{adnc}}$ and H_{AC}^{adnc} and their

parabolic subgroups normalizing $F_0^{1'} \otimes_{V_0} \mathbb{C}$ have the same dimension; as one is a subspace of the other, they are the same. But these compact symmetric spaces determine these complex adjoint groups (this well known fact can be grasped from [He, ch. VIII, 6.1]: the situation gets easily reduced to the case when our both compact Hermitian spaces are simple). This forms the first step.

Step 2. $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{adnc}}$ is a direct factor of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$, cf. Step 1. Step 2 is to get (using this, 3.3 and the tools 4.2.1-7) that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$. We present three solutions to this statement: the first one, self contained, treating the general case, the second one treating the general case but based on the results stated in [Va1, 1.7], while the third one refers to the particular case $\text{End}(A_{\bar{E}}) = \mathbb{Z}$. As the results stated in [Va1, 1.7] are proved only in [Va7], the Fact of the second solution below has a star, meaning its proof is not included here.

S1. Solution 1 (the general case). As we have $l(A) \geq N_3(A)$, 3.3.2 applies. Warning: the notations of 3.3.1-2 and of §4-5 do not correspond: in 3.3.1-2 the group G is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and is related to a Shimura pair (G, X) ; moreover, the p and l of 3.3.1-2 are presently $l(A)$ and respectively p . From 3.3.2 and Step 1 we get: for any simple factor $\mathcal{F}_A^1$ of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$, the image $\mathcal{F}_B^1$ of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in it, has the same rank as $\mathcal{F}_A^1$. From 4.2.3 we get directly (via 4.2.5.1) that $\mathcal{F}_A^1 = \mathcal{F}_B^1$.

We now check (based on similar reasons) that a simple factor of $\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}})$ can not project epimorphically into two or more simple factors of $\text{Lie}(H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}})$. We can assume that all simple factors of H_{AC}^{ad} have the same Lie type LT (cf. 3.3.3 and 3.3.5).

As an exemplification, we first treat the following particular case. We assume that all factors of $H_{A\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ are non-compact and that $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ has no simple factors of A_n type with involution ($n \geq 2$). So we can assume (cf. 3.3.3 and 3.3.3 3)) that $T^0 = \mathbb{G}_m$. So if a simple factor of $\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}})$ projects epimorphically into two or more simple factors of $\text{Lie}(H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}})$, then the centralizers of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in $GL(H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ are distinct and so we reach a contradiction with 4.2.3.

We come back to the general case. We follow closely [De3, 2.3.7-10] and [Va1, 6.5-6]; warning: as in the general case of 3.3.3.1 we work with all simple factors of $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ at once. In other words, we want to show that something similar to 3.3.3 3) allows us (via 4.2.3) to handle the present situation. We already know that all simple factors of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ are as well of LT Lie type.

We can assume (cf. 3.3.3) that f_A (of 4.3.6.1) factors through an injective map

$$f_A^2 : (H_A^2, X_A^2) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S),$$

with $H_A^{2\text{ad}} = H_A^{\text{ad}}$ (so $(H_A^{2\text{ad}}, X_A^{2\text{ad}}) = (H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$) and with f_A^2 as a Hodge quasi product

$$\times^{\mathcal{H}}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} f_j^2$$

of injective maps

$$f_j^2 : (H_j^2, X_j^2) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W_j, \psi_j), S_j),$$

each one of them obtained as in 3.1 I) and I'); here (H_j^2, X_j^2) is such that we have an identification $(H_j^{2\text{ad}}, X_j^{2\text{ad}}) = (H_j, X_j)$, $j \in \mathcal{J}$. We can assume f_A^2 is uniformized in the

sense of 3.3.3.1. So there is a totally real number field F such that each f_j^2 factors through the composite of the following two injective maps

$$(H_j^3, X_j^3) \hookrightarrow (H_j^1, X_j^1) \xrightarrow{f_j^1} (GSp(W_j, \psi_j), S_j)$$

and we have the following properties:

1) $H_j^{3\text{ad}}$ is the Weil restriction from F to $\mathbb{Q}$ of an absolutely simple, adjoint F -group of LT Lie type.

2) $H_j^{1\text{ad}}$ is the Weil restriction from F to $\mathbb{Q}$ of an absolutely simple, adjoint F -group of LT_1 Lie type. Here LT_1 is LT if $LT = A_n$ and is the Lie type of $G(A)$ of 3.1 if (H_j, X_j) has the same type as (G, X) of 3.1.

3) f_j^1 is a PEL type embedding constructed as in 3.1 I') (we recall that, as stated in its beginning paragraph, 3.1 I') handles all A_n types).

4) Two simple factors of $\text{Lie}(H_{AC}^{\text{der}})$ or of $\text{Lie}(H_{AC}^{s\text{der}})$, with $s \in \{2, 3\}$, can not map injectively into the same simple factor of $\text{Lie}(H_{AC}^{1\text{der}})$.

If $LT = D_n$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 5$, then (due to 4.2.14.1 and table [Pi, 4.2]) we can assume all simple factors of $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ are either of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ or of $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type. So if $LT \neq D_4$, then the Lie type of $H_j^{1\text{ad}}$ does not depend on j . f_A^2 factors through

$$f_A^1 := \times_{j \in \mathcal{J}} f_j^1 : (H_A^1, X_A^1) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S).$$

Let (as in 3.1 I')) $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of extremal points of the Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}$ of $\text{Lie}(H_{AC}^{1\text{der}})$. $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\mathcal{S}$ and so we identify $\mathcal{S}$ with the $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ -set of $\mathbb{Q}$ -homomorphisms from $K_{\mathcal{S}}$ to $\mathbb{C}$, for $K_{\mathcal{S}}$ a suitable product indexed by $j \in \mathcal{J}$ of totally imaginary quadratic extensions of F . By enlarging F , we can assume $K_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a product of s copies of the same totally imaginary quadratic extension K of F ; here s is the number of simple factors of $H_{A\mathbb{R}}^{1\text{ad}}$. $K_{\mathcal{S}}$ acts faithfully on W and so we identify $\text{Res}_{K_{\mathcal{S}}/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m$ with a torus of $GL(W)$. We can assume that all homomorphisms $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow H_{A\mathbb{R}}^1$ defining elements of X_A^1 factor through the extension to $\mathbb{R}$ of the subgroup of $GL(W)$ generated by $H_A^{1\text{der}}$, by $Z(GL(W))$ and by the maximal subtorus T_c of $\text{Res}_{K_{\mathcal{S}}/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m$ which over $\mathbb{R}$ is compact. This is nothing else but the logical variant of iii) of 3.1 I') for injective maps which are Hodge quasi products. We recall (see 4.0) that $T^0 = Z^0(H_A)$. We have:

Fact. *There are irreducible $T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^0$ -submodules of $W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which contain faithful representations of any normal, semisimple subgroup of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{2\text{der}}$ whose adjoint is product of simple factors of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ onto which the same simple factor of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ projects naturally.*

As in the particular case, we get that this Fact implies $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$. We start its proof by pointing out that f_A^1 is a PEL type embedding (cf. 3) and 2.6). We can assume (cf. 3.3.1 Fact') that the Frobenius torus $T_{v(A)}$ is (isomorphic to) a torus of a particular inner form of H_A^1 . In what follows, as we are interested in “minimizing” T^0 , based on 3.3.1 AB, not to complicate notations we assume that this inner form is trivial. Let $T_{v(A)}^1$ be the centralizer of $T_{v(A)}$ in H_A^1 . We need two Subfacts.

Subfact 1. $T_{v(A)}^1$ is a maximal torus of H_A^1 .

Proof: Based on 1), 2) and 3.1 D), Subfact 1 can be reformulated as: with the notations of 3.1 D), the centralizer in $G(A)_\mathbb{C}$ of the image through $h_\mathbb{C}$ of a maximal torus of $\tilde{G}_\mathbb{C}^{\text{sc}}$, is a maximal torus of $G(A)_\mathbb{C}$. If (G, X) is of A_n , C_n or D_n^{H} this statement is obvious. For the remaining cases, we can assume we are dealing with the spin representation of a complex, simple Lie algebra of B_n or D_n Lie type. For such a representation, the statement can be easily read out from its description in [Bou2, p. 195-197 and 209]. This proves Subfact 1.

Subfact 2. Using the embedding i_K of Step 1, the cocharacter μ of 4.4.4 and the cocharacter μ'_{V_0} of 4.4.5, over $\mathbb{C}$, become $H_A(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugate to the cocharacter $\mu(\mathbb{C})$ of 4.0.

Proof: Let P_{AC} be the parabolic subgroup of H_{AC} normalizing $F^{1,0}$ of 4.0. It is enough to show: if μ_1 is a cocharacter of P_{AC} producing as in 4.0 a direct sum decomposition $F^{1,0} \oplus F_1^{0,1}$, then μ_1 and $\mu(\mathbb{C})$ are $P_{AC}(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugate. As any two maximal tori of P_{AC} are $P_{AC}(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugate, we can assume that μ_1 and $\mu(\mathbb{C})$ are commuting. This implies $F^{0,1} = F_1^{0,1}$. So $\mu_1 = \mu(\mathbb{C})$. This proves Subfact 2.

Using the fact that $T_{v(A)}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -torus of H_A^1 , we define a $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ -invariant equivalence relation on $\mathcal{S}$ as follows. We say that two elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are in relation if the following two conditions hold:

a) they are nodes of Dynkin diagrams of two simple factors of $\text{Lie}(H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{2\text{der}})$ on which the same simple factor $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}})$ maps injectively;

b) w.r.t. the image of $T_{v(A)\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^1$ in $H_{A\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^{2\text{der}}$ they are either both original nodes or are both ending nodes of Dynkin diagrams (based on a) and Subfact 1 this makes sense; it is 4.2.7.1 which allows us to view $T_{v(A)\mathbb{Q}_p}$ as a torus of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$).

Let $\mathcal{S}^1$ be the set of equivalence classes of this equivalence relation. As $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\mathcal{S}^1$, as above we define $K_{\mathcal{S}^1}$ and a subtorus T_c^1 of $\text{Res}_{K_{\mathcal{S}^1}/\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}_m$; we identify $K_{\mathcal{S}^1}$ with a $\mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebra of $K_{\mathcal{S}}$. Let $F_1 := F \cap K_{\mathcal{S}^1}$. $K_{\mathcal{S}^1}$ is a product of at most s field extensions of F_1 .

Due to a), b) and the fact that each map f_j^1 is obtained following the pattern of f_1 of 3.1 I) and I') (mainly we need ii) and iii) of 3.1 I')), the extension to $\mathbb{C}$ of μ'_{V_0} factors through the extension to $\mathbb{C}$ of the subgroup of H_A^1 generated by $H_A^{1\text{der}}$ and $\text{Res}_{K_{\mathcal{S}^1}/\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}_m$. So (cf. Subfact 2 and the fact that H_A is a Mumford–Tate group) T^0 is a subtorus of the torus of $GL(W)$ generated by $Z(GL(W))$ and by T_c^1 . Recalling (see end of ii) of 3.1 I')) how $\text{Res}_{K_{\mathcal{S}}/\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}_m$ (and so how $\text{Res}_{K_{\mathcal{S}^1}/\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{G}_m$) acts on W , we get the above Fact.

We conclude $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$. So $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$. This forms the first solution.

S2. Solution 2 (the general case). What follows is included just to emphasize that the machinery of 3.2 (needed for 3.3.2) can be avoided, provided we are eager to assume another (in fact much more technical) machinery of Langlands–Rapoport conjecture.

We apply our results (on the Langlands–Rapoport conjecture) stated in [Va1, 1.7] in the context of the SHS ($f_A, H_{1B}(A, \mathbb{Z}_{(l(A))}), w(A)$) (cf. iii) of 3.3 E) and 5.1.0); the set of primes of $E(H_A, X_A)$ referred to in loc. cit. is of positive Dirichlet density (cf. also [Va1, end of 1.7.1]) and so we can assume $w(A)$ is one of such primes.

So, as in the part of 3.3.1 referring to [Zi, 4.4], we can assume (cf. [Mi1, p. 186-191]):

Fact*. *There is a maximal torus of a conjugate of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ by an element of $H_A(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ which is the extension to $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of a torus T_A^p of H_A .*

Step 1 implies: T_A^p projects into a maximal torus of each simple, non-compact factor of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$. As there is no non-trivial, compact factor of $H_{A\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, $T_{A\mathbb{C}}^p$ projects into a maximal torus of each simple factor of $H_{A\mathbb{C}}^{\text{ad}}$. Applying again 4.2.3 and 4.2.5.1 we get $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$. This forms the second solution.

S3. Solution 3 (the case $\text{End}(A_{\bar{E}}) = \mathbb{Z}$). In many cases we know a priori that the Fact of S2 is true and so we do not need to refer at all to Langlands–Rapoport conjecture. For instance, if $\text{End}(A_{\bar{E}}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and if $H_{A\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ has no compact factors of C_r Lie type, with $r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$, then we can assume as well that A has ordinary reduction w.r.t. $w(A)$. So the Fact of S2 follows as well from [Pi, 7.2] and [Va2, 4.6 P2] via (the mixed characteristic $(0, l(A))$ analogue of) 4.4.8 b).

In general, if $\text{End}(A_{\bar{E}}) = \mathbb{Z}$ we can avoid relying on Fact of S2 as follows. [Pi, 5.13 (c) and 5.12] together with Step 1 imply: we can assume all simple factors of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ and of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ have the same Lie type. From this and 4.2.3 we get (by simple reasons of counting dimensions) that the number of simple factors of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ is the same as of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$. We get: $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$. This forms the third solution.

Step 3. The third step is to get $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ knowing that their derived groups are equal. This is achieved using 4.2.7 and [Wi]. We present the details. From 4.2.7.2 we deduce the existence of a subgroup H'_A of H_A such that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H'_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$. So $\mu(\mathbb{C})$ factors through $H'_{A\mathbb{C}}$, cf. Subfact 2 of S2. So $H'_A = H_A$ and so $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$. This forms the third step; it ends the proof of 5.1.1.

5.1.3.1. Remark. If $H_{A\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ has no compact factors, then we have nothing to prove in Step 2. Similarly, if $\text{End}(A_{\bar{E}}) = \mathbb{Z}$, then Step 3 is nothing else but 4.2.15.

5.1.3.2. Exercise. Using [An, 1.5.1-2] reobtain (in a different way) the result of [An, 1.6.1 3)]) pertaining to Mumford–Tate groups. Hint: use 5.1.2.

5.1.4. The proof of 5.1.2. We follow closely the proof of 5.1.1. It is enough to concentrate on the adjoint groups of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$, cf. Step 3 of 5.1.3. From 3.3.3, 3.3.5 and 5.1.3 S1 we deduce that we can assume H_A^{ad} is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$ -group. Even more, it is enough to show that there is a simple factor SF of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ onto which $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ projects naturally, cf. 5.1.3 S1. We show this by treating the cases a) to e) one by one.

a) This case is a consequence of 3.3.3 and of [Va2, 2.3.5.1]. In other words, we can assume that the embedding f_A^2 is a PEL type embedding and that f_A factors through f_A^2 . Let f_1 be as in 5.1.2.1 2) but for $(H_0, X_0) := (H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$. We can assume H_1 is a Mumford–Tate group. As in 3.3.1 we can assume $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ contains a maximal torus which is $H_A^{\text{ad}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -conjugate to the extension to $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of the image T_A of $t_{v(A)}$ in $H_A^{\text{ad}} = H_A^{2\text{ad}}$. Strictly speaking, in 3.3.1 we did not bother to explain in full generality why in fact $G_1 = G'_1$; accordingly, we ought to use an inner form of H_A^{ad} which is still a simple $\mathbb{Q}$ -group and we ought to twist H_A^2 by this inner form. But from the point of view of the Fact below

these are irrelevant: in 3.3.1 we have $G_{1\mathbb{Q}_p} = G'_{1\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and their extensions to $\mathbb{C}$ are equipped with the same inner conjugacy classes of cocharacters (cf. 3.3.1 HW and the paragraphs after; the cocharacters are obtained as in Subfact 2 of 5.1.3 S1); so we will not complicate notations by passing to an inner form of H_A^{ad} . Let T_1 be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -torus of H_1 generated by $Z^0(H_1)$ and by the $\mathbb{Q}$ -torus of H_1^{der} whose image in $H_1^{\text{ad}} = H_A^{\text{ad}}$ is T_A . So we can assume that:

Fact. *T_1 is the smallest torus of H_1 such that a set of cocharacters of $H_{1\mathbb{C}}$ which are $H_1(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugate to the cocharacters of $\mu_{x_1}^*$ of [Va2, 2.3.1] (here $x_1 \in X_1$) factor through $T_{1\mathbb{C}}$.*

This is a consequence of Serre's result of [Pi, 3.5] applied to $T_{v(A)}$ and of the analogues of 4.4.10.1 and of Subfact 2 of 5.1.3 S2 in mixed characteristic $(0, l(A))$; warning: 4.2.14.1 or [Pi, 3.16 and 5.10] the way they are stated, do not imply this Fact (however, we could get this Fact tracing through the proofs of loc. cit.). So case a) follows from def. 5.1.2.1 2) (strictly speaking we might have to first replace p by a q as in b) of 5.1.2.1 2) and then to quote 4.2.5).

For cases b) to e) we rely freely on 4.2.14.1.

b) This case is nothing else but [Pi, 4.3].

c) This case is the well known case of Serre, cf. [Chi, p. 330] or [Pi, 4.5-6 and Table 4.6].

c') This case is a consequence of [Pi, 4.5-6 and Table 4.6].

d) This case is just the orthogonal (versus symplectic) variant of c). It can be easily read out from the table [Pi, 4.2].

d') To treat this case, we “move out” from the split criterion. In other words, for this case we rely on 4.2.5 and we work with a prime v_F of $F(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ whose residue field has a prime number l of elements and for which there is a prime v_I of $I(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ dividing it and whose residue field has more than l elements. We assume that $I(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ is unramified above l , that H_A^{ad} is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_l$, and that A has good reduction w.r.t. some prime of E unramified above l . Let $F_l^1 := F(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})_{v_F}$. We consider a simple factor G_1 of $H_{AF_l^1}^{\text{ad}}$ which is not an inner form of a split F_l^1 -group, cf. the definition of non-inner types (see 2.5) and the choice of v_F . It is an unramified, non-split, adjoint group over F_l^1 which splits over the unramified quadratic extension F_l^2 of F_l^1 .

There is a cocharacter μ_1 of $G_{1F_l^2}$ such that $(G_1, [\mu_1])$ is a Shimura group pair and its centralizer in $G_{1F_l^2}$ has a derived subgroup of A_{2n} Lie type, cf. 4.2.14.1. So $[\mu_1]$ is not fixed by $\text{Gal}(F_l^2/F_l^1)$. Let IM be the image of $G_{F_l^1}$ in G_1 . We can assume that a $G_1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l})$ -conjugate of $\mu_{1\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}}$ factors through $IM_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}}$.

We assume G_1 is different from IM . If $n = 2q$, with q a prime, then IM is of $C_1 + C_q$ Lie type; if $n = 6q$, with q a prime different from 3, then IM is of $C_1 + C_{3q}$ or of $C_3 + C_q$ Lie type. This follows from 4.2.3 and the table [Pi, 4.2], via 4.2.14.1. So IM is absolutely simple. But any adjoint Shimura group pairs over F_l^1 involving absolutely simple groups of C_n Lie types is such the conjugacy class of cocharacters defining it is fixed by $\text{Gal}(F_l^1)$. So $[\mu_1]$ is fixed by $\text{Gal}(F_l^2/F_l^1)$. Contradiction. So $IM = G_1$ and so $G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ projects onto a simple factor of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_l}^{\text{ad}}$.

e) We can assume that 3.3.3 1) and 2) hold (cf. 3.3.3). 4.2.14.1 implies (see [Pi, 4.3]) that the image $\mathcal{F}_1$ of $G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ in a simple, non-compact factor $\mathcal{F}_0$ of $H_{A\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^{\text{ad}}$ is either $\mathcal{F}_0$ itself or a product PB of two simple, adjoint groups of B_{n_1} and respectively B_{n_2} Lie type, with $n_1 + n_2 = n - 1$; here the so called B_0 Lie type corresponding to the trivial group is allowed. But the representation of $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ on $H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ involves both the half spin representations and any irreducible subrepresentation of $\text{Lie}(H_{A\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^{\text{der}})$ on $H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ factors through a simple factor of it (i.e. no tensor products are involved). Moreover,

SAME. *The representation of $\text{Lie}(PB)$ on a simple, non-trivial $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ -submodule H_{half}^1 of $H^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the same (it is the tensor product of the spin representations of the two simple factors of $\text{Lie}(PB)$, cf. loc. cit.), regardless of which half spin representation of $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ is involved in defining H_{half}^1 .*

So if $\mathcal{F}_1$ is not $\mathcal{F}_0$, we reach a contradiction with 4.2.3 (cf. 3.3.3 1) and 2) and SAME). So $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}_1$.

This ends the proof of the case e) and so of 5.1.2.

In what follows the abelian motives over a field of characteristic 0 are understood in the sense of absolute Hodge cycles, see [DM]. Using realizations in the Betti and étale cohomologies, as for abelian varieties we speak about their Mumford–Tate groups and about the Mumford–Tate conjecture for them.

5.1.5. Corollary (the independence property). *If the Mumford–Tate conjecture is true for the members of a finite set of abelian motives over a number field E , then it is true for their direct sum DS .*

Proof: Let A_{DS} be an abelian variety over E such that DS is an object of the Tannakian category of abelian motives over E generated by its H^1 -motive $H^1(A_{DS})$ and by Tate-twists (see [DM]). We can assume A_{DS} has a principal polarization $p_{A_{DS}}$ (see 4.3.2). We work with a prime p big enough so that we get a SHS $(f_{A_{DS}}, L_{(p)}, v_{A_{DS}})$, with $f_{A_{DS}} : (H_{A_{DS}}, X_{A_{DS}}) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S)$ as the injective map defined by $(A_{DS}, p_{A_{DS}})$ (see [Va1, 2.12 3]) and with $L_{(p)}$ as the first group of the Betti homology of A_{DS} with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ (the choice of a prime $v_{A_{DS}}$ of $E(H_{A_{DS}}, X_{A_{DS}})$ dividing p is irrelevant here). [Va2, Fact 1 of 2.3.5.2] speaks about some factors of $(f_{A_{DS}}, L_{(p)}, v_{A_{DS}})$; they are, in some sense, the “simple” factors. We have a variant of loc. cit. which pays attention to arbitrary factors of $(H_{A_{DS}}^{\text{ad}}, X_{A_{DS}}^{\text{ad}})$. Using such a variant (strictly speaking it can be performed only over $\mathbb{Q}$ but for future references it is convenient to point out that we have such a variant over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$), we can assume that each simple factor of the adjoint group of the Mumford–Tate group $H_{A_{DS}}$ of A_{DS} is naturally isomorphic to a simple factor of the adjoint group of the Mumford–Tate group of DS . Using 5.1.3 S2 and our hypothesis we deduce that the Mumford–Tate conjecture holds for the derived part of $H_{A_{DS}}$. So the Mumford–Tate conjecture holds for A_{DS} , cf. Step 3 of 5.1.3. The Corollary follows from this and the fact that the Mumford–Tate groups of $H^1(A_{DS})$ and of its direct sum the motive $T(1)$ of the Tate-twist are the same.

5.1.5.1. Sample. The following sample is just meant to justify the terminology “independence property”. We assume $\text{End}(A_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{Z}$. So $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ is simple; we assume it is

of $D_{2n+3}^{\mathbb{R}}$ type, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We refer to an f_2 of 3.3 B) but obtained starting from $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$. We consider an abelian variety B over E which is a pull back (as in 3.3 D)) of an $\mathcal{A}_2$ as in 3.3 B); for this we might have to replace E by a finite field extension of it. We assume that the adjoint group of the Mumford–Tate group MT of $A \times_E B$ is $H_A^{\text{ad}} \times H_A^{\text{ad}}$. The connected component of the origin of the algebraic envelope of the image of the p -adic Galois representation of $A \times_E B$ could theoretically be a reductive group having $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ as its adjoint. But 5.1.5 says this is not the case (as 4.1 holds for $A \times_E B$, cf. 5.1.2 e)).

5.1.6. The case $d = 1$. We assume $d = 1$. So $G_{V_0}^{\text{adnc}}$ is a PSL_2 -group. Let $\bar{G}_{V_0}$ be the subgroup of G_{V_0} generated by μ'_{V_0} and by the normal, semisimple subgroup of $G_{V_0}^{\text{der}}$ having $G_{V_0}^{\text{adnc}}$ as its adjoint. It is a GL_2 -group. We also assume that its representation on M'_0 is a direct sum of standard 2-dimensional representations. As $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split, the triple $(M'_0, \phi, \bar{G}_{V_0})$ is a Shimura σ -crystal. So the abelian variety A_v over $k(v)$ is either ordinary or supersingular; of course, if the Frobenius torus T_v has rank at least 2, then A_v must be ordinary and so 4.4.8 applies. This complements [No, 2.2].

We have the following generalization of 4.2.15.

5.1.7. Exercise. Show that in 4.2.7.2 we always have $T^{00} = T^0$. Hint: just copy Step 3 of 5.1.3.

5.2. A criterion. It is desirable to have a concrete approach for checking DD. In [Va7] we approach the proof of the equality $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_A)$ via [Va2, 3.6] and the following Lemma and its variants of 5.2.1.

5.2.0. Lemma. *We assume that 4.4.7 remains true if we replace R by an integral, étale $V_0[y_1, \dots, y_d][\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^d y_i}]$ -algebra R_0 , with y_i 's as independent variables. In other words, we assume that l_R factors through a morphism $l_{R_0}: \text{Spec}(R_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_A, 1, 4}$, with R_0 as mentioned, for which the following two things hold:*

- the Frobenius Φ_R is obtained from a Frobenius Φ_{R_0} of the p -adic completion $R_0^{\wedge}$ of R_0 (via the factorization $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$; due to the versal property in 4.4.7, it is automatically formally étale);
- the principally quasi-polarized p -divisible object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^{\nabla}(R_0)$ defined by the principally polarized abelian scheme $(A_{R_0}, p_{A_{R_0}})$ over R_0 we get through l_{R_0} , is isomorphic to one of the form

$$\mathcal{C}_{R_0} := (M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} R_0, F'_0 \otimes_{V_0} R_0, g_{\text{univ}}^0(\phi' \otimes 1), \nabla^0, p_{A^0}),$$

with $g_{\text{univ}}^0 \in G_{V_0}(R_0^{\wedge})$ fixing p_{A_0} and such that $\mathcal{C}_{R_0}$ is a versal deformation of $\mathcal{C}_0$.

We also assume that the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -étale Tate tensor of $A_{R_0^{\wedge}[\frac{1}{p}]}$ corresponding via [Fa1, 2.6] to $t_{\alpha}^0 \in \mathcal{T}(M'_0 \otimes_{V_0} R_0^{\wedge}[\frac{1}{p}])$ is in fact defined over $R_0[\frac{1}{p}]$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$; so we get a family (still denoted by) $(v_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -étale Tate cycles of $A_{R_0[\frac{1}{p}]}$. Then $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_A)$.

Proof: l_{R_0} factors through $\mathcal{N}(4)$, cf. Corollary of 4.4.7.1. As in the proof of 4.4.8 a), we get (via 4.4.9) the existence of a Zariski dense set ZDS of $\mathbb{F}$ -valued points of $\text{Spec}(R_0/pR_0)$ such that:

ORD. $y_0^*(A_{R_0})$ is an ordinary abelian variety and its canonical lift is the pull back of A_{R_0} through a V_0 -valued point of $\text{Spec}(R_0)$ lifting y_0 , $\forall y_0 \in ZDS$.

So (cf. [Mo, 3.11 and 5.2]) l_{R_0} dominates a Shimura subvariety of $\mathcal{A}_{g_A, 1, 4O_{(v)}}$. This subvariety is a connected component Y of $\mathcal{N}(4)$, cf. 4.4.7 a) and Corollary of 4.4.7.1.

Dropping the assumption that R_0 is smooth over V_0 of relative dimension d , we can assume that the affine Y_{V_0} -scheme $Y_{V_0}^0 := \text{Spec}(R_0)$ is obtained from an integral, finite type $Y_{O_{(w)}}$ -scheme Y^0 , with $O_{(w)}$ a local, étale $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra containing $O_{(v)}$, by pull back. This is a general fact: it can always be achieved by passing (if needed) to a finite field extension F of E included in K_0 and by replacing $Y_{V_0}^0$ by a scheme of finite type over it (so $O_{(w)} := F \cap V_0$).

Using standard Galois descent, from 4.4.7 a) and from 4.3.6.2 we deduce that the family of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -étale Tate cycles $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ is defined over the generic fibre Y_F^0 of Y^0 . There is a quasi-finite, dominant morphism $Y_F^1 \rightarrow Y_F$ factoring through the morphism $Y_F^0 \rightarrow Y_F$ (argument: localizing, we can assume that Y_F^0 is smooth over Y_F ; so we can use [EGA, 17.16.2]).

We get a family, still denoted by $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$, of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -étale Tate cycles defined over Y_F^1 . With this we are essentially done. There are many directions to be persuaded to end the proof. We choose one.

We can assume Y_F^1 is geometrically connected: this is achieved by replacing F with a finite field extension of it (we are not anymore interested in having F as a subfield of K_0). Let H_A^1 be the maximal connected subgroup of H_A with the property that $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^1$ is included in $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We consider the extension $Y_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the morphism $Y_F^1 \rightarrow Y_F$ to $\mathbb{C}$ (via the inclusion $F \subset \mathbb{C}$). The key point is:

DENSE. $Y_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ has an analytically dense set ADS of complex points, over which we get (by pulling back A_{R_0}) abelian varieties having complex multiplication.

Argument: for $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ this is a property of Shimura varieties and so we just need to point out that the morphism $Y_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is quasi-finite and dominant. $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0$, in all these points v_α becomes a $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear combination of p -components of étale components of Hodge cycles, cf. 4.2.6. So all the Hodge structures on $W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ defined by these abelian varieties over $\mathbb{C}$, correspond to cocharacters of $G\text{Sp}(W, \psi)_{\mathbb{C}}$ factoring through $H_{A\mathbb{C}}^1$. As the set ADS is analytically dense in $Y_{\mathbb{C}}^1$, this remains true for all complex points of $Y_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. This implies (cf. the very definition of H_A) that $H_A^1 = H_A$. So $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^1 = \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and so also $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_A)$. This ends the proof.

5.2.1. Remark. Let $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{dernc}}$ be the normal, semisimple subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{der}}$ having $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{adnc}}$ as its adjoint. If by chance we only know that the subfamily of $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ formed by tensors fixed by the centralizer of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{dernc}}$ in $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is defined over a scheme $Y_{V_0}^0$ as in 5.2, then the same arguments show that $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{adnc}}$ is a direct factor of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$. So $G_{K_0}^{\text{adnc}} = H_{AK_0}^{\text{adnc}}$. So $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X_A)$. Moreover, 4.4.8.3 points out that we have a variant of 5.2 in which $\mathcal{J}_0$ is replaced by $\mathcal{J}$ and $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is replaced by $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

5.3. Serre's volumes. We come back to the general situation described in 4.0: A is an arbitrary abelian variety over a number field E and p is an arbitrary prime; in order to

emphasize p , ρ of 4.0 is now denoted by ρ_p . Let

$$\prod_{p \text{ a prime}} \rho_p : \text{Gal}(E) \rightarrow GL(H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{E}}, \mathbb{A}_f))(\mathbb{A}_f)$$

be the product $\mathbb{A}_f$ -representation of all these ρ_p 's. Let E^{conn} be the smallest finite field extension of E such that all Hodge cycles of $A_{\bar{E}}$ are defined over E^{conn} . It is a Galois extension of E : for any prime p , we have $\text{Gal}(E^{\text{conn}}) = \rho_p^{-1}(H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p))$.

We now assume that the Mumford–Tate conjecture is true for A . This implies that E^{conn} is the same field extension of E as the one defined (see [Pi, 3.6]) by Serre. Two of the most important global invariants of the isogeny class of A are the Shimura pair (H_A, X_A) (see 4.3.6.1) and E^{conn} (or just $[E^{\text{conn}} : E]$).

We consider the Haar measure $\mathcal{M}(p)$ on $H_A(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ normalized by the fact that a maximal compact, open subgroup $H(p)$ of $H_A(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of maximum volume, has volume 1. So if H_A is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, then $H(p)$ is a hyperspecial subgroup of $H_A(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, cf. [Ti, p. 55]. We define Serre's (local) p -volume $\mathcal{V}_p(A)$ of A by:

$$\mathcal{V}_p(A) := \mathcal{M}(p)(\rho(\text{Gal}(E^{\text{conn}}))).$$

Similarly we define the total Serre's volume $\mathcal{V}(A)$ of A by:

$$\mathcal{V}(A) := \prod_{p \text{ a prime}} \mathcal{V}_p(A).$$

Obviously $\mathcal{V}_p(A)$ is a rational number of the interval $(0,1]$. So $\mathcal{V}(A)$ is a real number of the interval $[0,1]$. It is expected that $\mathcal{V}(A)$ is a rational number of $(0,1]$ (see [Se, 11.4?]). Working with a Haar measure $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ of $H_A(\mathbb{A}_f)$, normalized in the same manner, we define the global Serre's volume of A by:

$$\mathcal{V}_g(A) := \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_f)\left(\prod_{p \text{ a prime}} \rho_p(\text{Gal}(E^{\text{conn}}))\right).$$

We have

$$0 \leq \mathcal{V}_g(A) \leq \mathcal{V}(A).$$

It is expected (see loc. cit.) that $0 < \mathcal{V}_g(A)$ and that $\mathcal{V}(A)$ and $\mathcal{V}_g(A)$ are rational numbers. $\mathcal{V}_g(A)$ and, with p a prime, $\mathcal{V}_p(A)$ are as well, in our opinion, very important global invariants of the isogeny class of A .

5.3.1. Remarks. 1) The study of Serre's volumes is closely related to the study of finite quotients of $\text{Gal}(E)$. We expect the possibility of proving that any finite group (resp. any simple, finite group) C is a quotient of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ by just using computations of local Serre's volumes of particularly chosen abelian varieties (resp. absolutely simple abelian varieties) over $\mathbb{Q}$. At least in the case when C is a simple finite group associated to a Chevalley group (over a finite field) of classical Lie type, it should be possible to construct an epimorphism $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow C$, starting from 5.1.2 and 3.2.1. We will come back to all these in [Va6].

2) We do believe that everything one would like to know about finite quotients of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ is codified in SSO. This represents our second approach towards the understanding of such quotients (see [Va2, 3.6.18.4.6 H] for the first one).

3) If the Zariski closure H_{AZ_p} of $H_{A\mathbb{Q}_p}$ in $GL(H^1)$ is a reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, then the study of the fact that $\mathcal{V}_p(A)$ is or is not 1, is closely related to the study of the fact that the natural (adjoint) representation of $H_{AZ_p}^{\text{der}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ on $\text{Lie}(H_{AZ_p}^{\text{der}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p$ is or is not irreducible. In connection to the second study, see [Va3] for a quite elementary approach.

4) We think the terminology Serre's volumes is justified, as J.-P. Serre was the first one to study the numbers (representations) involved; for instance, see the report [Se] and its references.

5.4. Extra remarks. 1) 5.1.2 and 5.1.5 imply (this is standard) similar results for finitely generated fields of characteristic 0. Moreover (cf. the proof of 5.1.5), we can as well replace abelian varieties by abelian motives over such fields.

2) Many previous approaches (for instance see [Pi]) to the proof of 4.1 were intermingled with approaches to the proof of the ordinary reduction conjecture for abelian varieties over number fields. So a natural question arises: what the proofs of 5.1.1-2 and the new techniques of §3-4 bring new in this direction? Our approach to the proofs of 5.1.1-2 was mainly based on Shimura varieties and much less on the “inner” study of Frobenius tori (we needed mainly just their construction and 4.2.4-5). So here we do not bring anything new, besides more information on Frobenius tori (see 3.3.2, 4.4.8 b) and c) and 5.1.7). However, we invite the reader to deal with the following two Exercises (their complete proofs will be presented in [Va7]; warning: the first one is hard and so it is starred).

Exercise 1*. We consider the situation of 4.0. We assume (H_A, X_A) is such that all simple symmetric domain factors of a connected component of X_A are (see [He, p. 518]) either of type $AIII(q=1)$ or of type $BDI(q=2)$ (i.e. we assume that each simple, non-compact factor of $H_{A\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ is either $SU(n, 1)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ or $SO(n, 2)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$). Using 5.1.2, [Pi] (the part referring to ordinarity), and the results on the Langlands–Rapoport conjecture stated in [Va1, 1.7.1], show that the ordinary reduction conjecture is true for A . Hint: use 5.1.3 S2, [Va2, 4.9.23] and 3.3.3.

Exercise 2. Using Exercise 1 and 5.1.3.2, show that the ordinary reduction conjecture is true for all motives over number fields mentioned in [An, 1.5]. Hint: use [An, 1.5.2].

3) The family of tensors of 4.3.4.8 a) is strongly $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -very well position for $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. This is a consequence of its proof and of [Va1, 4.3.6 2)] and the reference to it in [Va2, AE.0].

4) In [Va7] we will see that instead of $N_3(A)$ we can work as well with $N'_3(A) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$ defined by: for any prime $q \geq N'_3(A)$, H_A is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_q$.

5) We now assume that $\text{End}(A_{\bar{E}}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and that the simple, adjoint Shimura pair $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ is of $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ type. Here $n \geq 4$ has to be an odd number (see autodualities in [Pi, 4.6]). 5.1.2 allows another proof of [Pi, p. 230-236]. In other words, 3.2 f) represents another (direct) way (based on 5.1.2) to get directly the lifting property of the l -adic representations mentioned in the first paragraph of [Pi, p. 230] and due to J. -P. Wintenberger.

6) From the point of view of possibilities of types of simple Shimura varieties of

adjoint, abelian type, 5.1.2 covers about 80 percents (cf. 1.7.1). To our knowledge 5.1.2 does encompass all previous results pertaining to 4.1 except [Pi, 5.14]. Warning: one can not obtain a general form of [Pi, 5.14] (in the pattern of 5.1.2 C)) by just using the ideas of this paper; however, restricted to the case $\text{End}(A_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{Z}$, loc. cit. does have such a general form (i.e. to [Pi, 5.15] one can add the C_n Lie types mentioned in 5.1.2 c) and c'); warning: the proof of loc. cit. can be entirely adapted to get this as well). See [Va5] for the general principle behind [Pi, 5.14] which encompasses loc. cit. and extends significantly this paper in the context when the simple, adjoint Mumford–Tate groups have over $\mathbb{R}$ only one simple, non-compact factor; [Va5] deals as well with some cases in which we have at least two such factor.

7) Shimura varieties of A_n (resp. of either B_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{R}}$) type are also called unitary (resp. orthogonal or spin Shimura varieties). 5.1.2 supports the point of view of [Va1, 1.2.6.2] that the study of unitary and spin Shimura varieties is easier than the one of Shimura varieties of C_n or $D_n^{\mathbb{H}}$ type. Based on this point of view, on [Va1, 1.7.1], on 3.2.4 and on 5.3.1 2), we do expect that the mathematical role played by Siegel modular forms in the twentieth century will be substituted in this century by the one played by spin modular forms.

8) For the remaining cases of the Mumford–Tate conjecture, we can assume H_A^{ad} is $\mathbb{Q}$ -simple (and if it is helpful that 3.3.3 1), 2) or 3) holds), cf. 5.1.3-4.

9) For any A_n, B_n, C_{2n+1} ($n \geq 1$) or D_n ($n \geq 5$) Lie type τ , there is a simple, adjoint Shimura pair (H_0, X_0) , with H_0 an absolutely simple group of τ Lie type, such that (with the notations of 4.0) the Mumford–Tate conjecture is true for A , provided $(H_A^{\text{ad}}, X_A^{\text{ad}})$ is simple and $H_{A\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ has a factor isomorphic to $H_{0\mathbb{R}}$. Argument: for instance, we can take H_0 such that H_0 is $SU(1, n)^{\text{ad}}$ or $SO(2, m)^{\text{ad}}$, with $m \in \{2n-2, 2n-1\}$, or a split, adjoint group of C_{2n+1} Lie type; so 5.1.2 a), b) and e) apply. We also have a slightly weaker variant for the D_4 Lie type: we need to require (H_A, X_A) to be of $D_4^{\mathbb{R}}$ type. But this is not yet known to be true for arbitrary C_{2n} Lie types (cf. 6) and 5.1.2.4).

10) From Subfact 2 of 5.1.3 S1, as $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split, we get $k(v_A) = \mathbb{F}_p$ (cf. also [Mi3, 4.6-7]; for v_A see 4.3.7). This conforms as well with 4.4.9 and [Va2, 4.6 P1].

11) Many ideas used in this paper can be used as well for other classes of motives, provided (at least some of) the equivalent results of [De2, 2.3.9-13], 4.2.3, [Sa] and [Zi, 4.4] are known to be true (in some form). Also we would like to mention: in connection to 4.1, the results [De2, 2.3.9-13] and [Zi, 4.4] were neither used nor quoted previously.

12) Many of the ideas of 4.3-4 and of 5.2 can be extended to other contexts (for instance, those in which we have an abelian variety over $W(k)$, with k a perfect field).

5.5. Final complements.

5.5.1. A method of extending the main result of [Wi] to some ramified contexts. We use the notations of 2.4. We do not assume a priori the existence of the cocharacter μ_K . It is desirable to have easily checkable conditions on the family of tensors $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ which guarantee the existence of such a cocharacter. Some time ago we proposed one such condition:

– the family of tensors $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ is moreover $H_{\text{ét}}^1(H_V, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ -representation well positioned for $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

However, it turned out that this extra condition is not needed, as we have:

Lemma. *Under the assumptions on the family $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -étale Tate cycles of 2.4, the cocharacter μ_K exists automatically.*

Proof: Using Tate's classical result [Tat, cor. 2 of p. 180] and standard arguments of algebraic geometry, we deduce that such a cocharacter exists over a finite field extension K_1 of K . Denoting by V_1 the ring of integers of K_1 , as in 2.4 we get a cocharacter μ_{V_1} of G_{V_1} . We can assume that the residue field of V_1 is a Galois extension k_1 of k . As the special fibre of μ_{V_1} lifts to a cocharacter of $G_{W(k_1)}$ (cf. [SGA3, Vol. II, 3.6 of p. 48]), from the very definition [Va2, 2.2.8 1)] we get: the quadruple $(M_0 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), \phi \otimes 1, G_{W(k_1)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is a Shimura σ -crystal over k_1 ; so, with the terminology of [Va2, 2.2.9 1')], $(M_0, \phi, G_{W(k)}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is a quasi Shimura σ -crystal over k .

But the Galois group $\text{Gal}(k_1/k)$ acts on the F^1 -filtrations $F^1(M_0 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1))$ of $M_0 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)$ such that the quadruple $(M_0 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1), F^1(M_0 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)), \phi, G_{W(k_1)})$ is a Shimura filtered σ -crystal over k_1 . As $F^1(M_0 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)) \bmod p$ is defined over k , by induction on n we can assume that $F^1(M_0 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1)) \bmod p^n$ is defined over $W_n(k)$. For instance, the space of such filtrations $\bmod p^2$ is a k_1 -vector subspace of the extension to k_1 of the k -vector space parameterizing lifts of the kernel of $\phi \bmod p$ to a direct summand of $M_0/p^2 M_0$; so [Bo2, 14.2 of p. 30] applies. The induction follows.

So we can assume that $F^1(M_0 \otimes_{W(k)} W(k_1))$ is the extension to $W(k_1)$ of a direct summand F^1 of M . So we can construct a Shimura p -divisible group $(H, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ over R as in 2.4; we assume the Kodaira–Spencer map of H is injective. So, as $(H_{V_1}, (t_\alpha^V)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is obtained from $(H_{W(k_1)}, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ by pull back, $(H_V, (t_\alpha^V)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ is as well obtained from $(H, (t_\alpha^0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}})$ by pull back. From this and from the fact that the canonical split cocharacter of (M_0, F^1, ϕ) factors through $G_{W(k)}$ (as it can be seen by passage from k to k_1), the Lemma follows.

5.5.2. A representation well positioned result for suitable Dedekind rings. 4.2.14 and [Bou2, ch. VIII, §7.3] imply that the condition 4.3.4.2 iv) can be discarded in the context of 4.3: the numbers of 4.3.4.2 iv) for the representation $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow GL(H^1[\frac{1}{p}])$ are all 2 (to be compared with [Va1, 5.7.1]). However, the conditions i) to vi) of 4.3.4.2 are such that they handle any arbitrary situation.

Let D be an integral Dedekind ring of characteristic 0 having an infinite number of maximal ideals. Let $K(D)$ be its field of fractions. We assume that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is only a finite number of prime ideals of D of characteristic smaller than N . Let M_D be a projective D -module of finite rank. Let $G_{K(D)}$ be a reductive subgroup of $GL(M_D \otimes_D K(D))$. We have:

Theorem. *There is a family of tensors $\mathcal{F} = (v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_D}$ of $\mathcal{T}(M_D \otimes_D K(D))$ fixed by $G_{K(D)}$ and a non-zero ideal I_D of D , such that for any maximal ideal m of D not containing I_D and such that the Zariski closure of $G_{K(D)}$ in $GL(M_D \otimes_D D_{(m)})$ is reductive, $\mathcal{F}$ is enveloped by $M_D \otimes_D D_{(m)}$ and is strongly $M_D \otimes_D D_{(m)}$ -representation well positioned for the group $G_{K(D)}$. Moreover, we can take I_D to depend only on the representation of $Z^0(G_{K(D)})$ on $M_D \otimes_D K(D)$ (and not on $G_{K(D)}^{\text{der}}$).*

Proof: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (resp. $n_{M_D} \in \mathbb{N}$) be obtained in the same way as $\max\{d(A), 4\}$ (resp.

as $N_2(A)$ in 4.3.4.2-6 but with $2g_A$ replaced by $\dim_D(M_D)$. Let $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_1 \geq n$, be such that $G_{K(D)}$ is the subgroup of $GL(M_D \otimes_D K(D))$ fixing a family of homogeneous tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M_D \otimes_D K(D))$ of degree at most n_1 . We take $\mathcal{F}$ to be the family of tensors formed by all homogeneous tensors of $\mathcal{T}(M_D)$ fixed by $G_{K(D)}$ and of degree at most n_1 . Let I_D be the intersection of all maximal ideals of D of whose residue fields have characteristic at most n_{M_D} . Let $I_D(G_{K(D)})$ be the largest subset of D such that the Zariski closure of $G_{K(D)}$ in $M_D \otimes_D D_{(\tilde{m})}$ is a reductive group $G_{D_{(\tilde{m})}}$, for any maximal ideal $\tilde{m}$ of D not containing $I_D(G_{K(D)})$.

For the definition of $N_2(A)$ in 4.3.4.2 it was irrelevant that we are dealing with a split group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. On the other hand, the only place of the proof of 4.3.4.8 b) where it was relevant that we are dealing with a split group over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the one in which we appealed to 4.3.4.6 1) and 4). But $G_{D_{(\tilde{m})}}^{\text{sh}}$ is split and so by using $D_{(\tilde{m})}^{\text{sh}}$ -linear combinations of members of $\mathcal{F}$ we can still appeal to 4.3.4.6 1) and 4).

Moreover, in the proof of 3.4.8.4 b), the role of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and V was just one of a faithfully flat monomorphism $O_1 \hookrightarrow O_2$ between two DVR's of mixed characteristic, with $O_2 = O_2^{\text{sh}}$. So, we can appeal to it, in our present context of $D_{(\tilde{m})} \hookrightarrow D_{(\tilde{m})}^{\text{sh}}$, provided $\tilde{m}$ does not contain I_D . So the Theorem follows from 4.3.4.8 b) and 5.4 3).

Erratum to [Va1]

What follows next will be transferred to the final version of [Va2]. It is included here just for the time being (convenience of the reader).

The second paragraph SP of [Va1, Case 2 of 6.6.5.1] is not correct as it stands. To explain this we use the notations of [Va1, 6.5.1 and 6.6.5]. Due to the fact that we took $W_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} = K_{(p)} \otimes_{F_{(p)}} W_{(p)}$, the subgroup $G_{4\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of $GSp(W_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}, \psi)$ fixing all endomorphisms of $W_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ fixed by $\tilde{G}_{3\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$, is reductive and has a derived subgroup which over V_0 is isomorphic to two copies of $G_{3V_0}^{\text{der}}$ in such a way that the embedding of $G_{3V_0}^{\text{der}}$ in $G_{4\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}^{\text{der}}$ is the diagonal embedding. Even if we replace $\tilde{G}_3$ by the smallest subgroup of it through which all homomorphisms $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{3\mathbb{R}}$ defining elements of X_3 factor, in general we can not “get rid” of the second copy of $G_{3V_0}^{\text{der}}$.

There is a very simple way to adjust SP so that we do get (with perhaps different notations) an injective map $\tilde{f}_3 : (\tilde{G}_3, \tilde{X}_3) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W, \psi), S^0)$ such that $\tilde{G}_{3\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ is the subgroup of $GSp(W_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}, \psi)$ fixing all endomorphisms of $W_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ fixed by $\tilde{G}_{3\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$. It goes by: we entirely “skip” the use of $K_{(p)}$ as follows.

We work with $W_{(p)}$ instead of $W_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$. K_S is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of F and so it makes sense to speak about $K_{S(p)}$. The torus $GT := \text{Res}_{K_{S(p)}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{G}_m$ acts naturally in a faithful way on $W_{(p)}$. Let $G_{4\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ be the subgroup of $GL(W_{(p)})$ generated by $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ and GT . Let $\tilde{G}_{4\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ be the subgroup of $GL(W_{(p)})$ generated by $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}^{\text{der}}$, by $Z(GL(W_{(p)}))$ and by the maximal subtorus of GT which over $\mathbb{R}$ is compact.

Let RE be the set of real embeddings of F . For each $e_F \in RE$, let $V(e_F)$ be the maximal $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace of $W_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{R}$ on which the factor of $G_{4\mathbb{R}}^{\text{der}}$ corresponding to

e_F acts non-trivially. So $GT_{\mathbb{R}}$ acts on it via its factor $F(e_F)$ which is a copy of $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m$ and which is defined naturally by e_F . We have a direct sum decomposition

$$W_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{R} = \bigoplus_{e_F \in RE} V(e_F)$$

left invariant by $G_{4\mathbb{R}}$. Let $x \in X_2$. We consider a monomorphism $h_x : \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow GL(W_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{R})$ having the properties:

- 1) if e_F is such that $G^s \times_{\text{Spec}(F)} e_F \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$ is non-compact, then the resulting homomorphism $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow GL(V(e_F))$ is the one obtained by composing the homomorphism $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{2\mathbb{R}}$ defining x with the natural homomorphism $G_{2\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow GL(V(e_F))$;
- 2) if e_F is such that $G^s \times_{\text{Spec}(F)} e_F \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$ is compact, then the resulting homomorphism $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow GL(V(e_F))$ is a monomorphism whose image is naturally identified with the image of $F(e_F)$ in $GL(V(e_F))$.

h_x factors through $G_{4\mathbb{R}}$. The Hodge structure of $W_{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{R}$ it defines has type $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$. So we can define two Shimura pairs (G_4, X_4) and $(\tilde{G}_4, \tilde{X}_4)$ similar to (G_3, X_3) and $(\tilde{G}_3, \tilde{X}_3)$ of [Va1, p. 507]. Moreover, taking perfect forms ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ on $W_{(p)}$ as mentioned in [Va1, v) of 6.5.1.1] and in SP, the subgroup $G_{5\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ of $GSp(W_{(p)}, \psi)$ fixing all endomorphisms of $W_{(p)}$ fixed by $\tilde{G}_{4\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$, is reductive and has $G_{4\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}^{\text{der}} = \tilde{G}_{4\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}^{\text{der}}$ as its derived subgroup. Warning: we do not have to replace $W_{(p)}$ by a direct sum of two copies of itself (as this is implicitly done by [Va1, 6.6.5 d1]). If X_5 is the $G_{5\mathbb{R}}$ -conjugacy class of h_x viewed as a homomorphism of $G_{5\mathbb{R}}$, then the pair (G_5, X_5) is a Shimura pair whose adjoint is (G, X) . Moreover, we get a PEL type embedding

$$f_5 : (G_5, X_5) \hookrightarrow (GSp(W_{(p)}[\frac{1}{p}], \psi), S_0)$$

which has the desired property (i.e. we can take $\tilde{f}_3 := f_5$).

Remark. In [Va1, 6.5.1.1 and Case 1 of 6.6.5.1], as above we can “get rid” of K for the $D_{2l+1}^{\mathbb{R}}$ type. But this is not true in general for the B_l , C_l , $D_{2l}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $D_{l+2}^{\mathbb{H}}$ types. Here $l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. However, we can always choose $W_{(p)}$ such that we can still “get rid” of K , cf. 3.1 I') and 3.2.1.

References

- [An] Y. André, *On the Shafarevich and Tate conjectures for hyperkähler varieties*, Math. Ann. **305** (1996), p. 205–248.
- [BBM] P. Berthelot, L. Breen, and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline II*, LNM **930** (1982), Springer–Verlag.
- [Bl] D. Blasius, *A p -adic property of Hodge cycles on abelian varieties*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **55** (1994), Part 2, p. 293–308.

- [BHC] A. Borel and Harish-Chandra, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic subgroups*, Ann. of Math. (3) **75** (1962), 485–535.
- [BM] P. Berthelot and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline III*, Grothendieck’s Festschrift I, Birkhäuser, Progr. in Math. **86** (1990), p. 173–247.
- [Bog] F. A. Bogomolov, *Sur l’algebricité des représentations l -adiques*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris Ser I Math. **290** (1980), p. 701–703.
- [Bo1] A. Borel, *Properties and linear representations of Chevalley groups*, LNM **131** (1970), p. 1–55, Springer–Verlag.
- [Bo2] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Grad. Texts Math. **126** (1991), Springer–Verlag.
- [Bou1] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chapitre 4–6 (1968), Hermann.
- [Bou2] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chapitre 7–8 (1975), Hermann.
- [Chi] W. Chi, *l -adic and λ -adic representations associated to abelian varieties defined over number fields*, Amer. J. Math. **114** (1992), p. 315–353.
- [De1] P. Deligne, *Travaux de Shimura*, Séminaire Bourbaki 389, LNM **244** (1971), p. 123–163, Springer–Verlag.
- [De2] P. Deligne, *Variétés de Shimura: Interprétation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33** (1979), Part 2, p. 247–290.
- [De3] P. Deligne, *Hodge cycles on abelian varieties*, Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties, LNM **900** (1982), p. 9–100, Springer–Verlag.
- [DM] P. Deligne and J. Milne, *Tannakian categories*, Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties, LNM **900** (1982), p. 101–128, Springer–Verlag.
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck et al., *Etude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schéma*, Publ. Math. IHES **20** (1964), **24** (1965), **28** (1966), **32** (1967).
- [Fa1] G. Faltings, *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Inv. Math. **73** (1983), p. 349–366.
- [Fa2] G. Faltings, *Crystalline cohomology and p -adic Galois representations*, Alg. Analysis, Geometry, and Nr. Theory (1990), p. 25–79, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press.
- [Fa3] G. Faltings, *Integral crystalline cohomology over very ramified valuation rings*, J. of Am. Math. Soc., Vol. **12** (1999), no. 1, p. 117–144.
- [Ha] G. Harder, *Über die Galoiskohomologie halbeinfacher Matrizengruppen II*, Math. Z. **92** (1966), p. 396–415.
- [Hu1] J. E. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*, Grad. Texts Math. **21** (1975), Springer–Verlag.

- [Hu2] J. E. Humphreys, *Conjugacy classes in semisimple algebraic groups*, Math. Surv. and Monog., Vol. **43** (1995), Am. Math. Soc.
- [He] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press (1978).
- [Ja] J.C. Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups*, Academic Press (1987).
- [Ko] R. E. Kottwitz, *Points on some Shimura Varieties over finite fields*, J. of Am. Math. Soc., Vol. **5** (1992), no. 2, p. 373–444.
- [La] S. Lang, *Algebraic number theory*, second edition, Grad. Texts Math. **110** (1994), Springer–Verlag.
- [LP1] M. Larsen and R. Pink, *Determining representations from invariant dimensions*, Inv. Math. **102** (1990), p. 377–398.
- [LP2] M. Larsen and R. Pink, *Abelian varieties, l -adic representations and l -independence*, Math. Ann. **302** (1995), p. 561–579.
- [Me] W. Messing, *The crystals associated to Barsotti–Tate groups with applications to abelian schemes*, LNM **264** (1972), Springer–Verlag.
- [Mi1] J. S. Milne, *The points on a Shimura variety modulo a prime of good reduction*, The Zeta function of Picard modular surfaces, Les Publ. CRM (1992), p. 153–255.
- [Mi2] J. S. Milne, *Motives over finite fields*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **55** (1994), Part 1, p. 401–459.
- [Mi3] J. S. Milne, *Shimura varieties and motives*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **55** (1994), Part 2, p. 447–523.
- [Mi4] J. S. Milne, *On the conjecture of Langlands and Rapoport*, manuscript 9/1995.
- [Mo] B. Moonen, *Special points and linearity properties of Shimura varieties*, thesis (1995), Utrecht University.
- [M-B] L. Moret-Bailly, *Pinceaux de variétés abéliennes*, J. Astérisque **129** (1985).
- [MFK] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, third enlarged edition, A Series of Modern Surv. in Math. **34** (1994), Springer–Verlag
- [Mu1] D. Mumford, *Families of abelian varieties*, Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Am. Math. Soc., Vol. **9** (1966), p. 347–352, Providence, R. I.
- [Mu2] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata Inst. of Fund. Res. (1988), Oxford Press.
- [No] R. Noot, *Abelian varieties, Galois representations and properties of ordinary reduction*, Comp. Math. **97** (1995), p. 161–171.
- [Oo] F. Oort, *Some questions in algebraic geometry*, preprint Utrecht University, 6/95.
- [Pi] R. Pink, *l -adic algebraic monodromy groups, cocharacters, and the Mumford–Tate conjecture*, J. reine angew. Math. **495** (1998), p. 187–237.

- [RR] M. Rapoport and M. Richartz, *On the classification and the specialization of F -crystals with additional structure*, Comp. Math. **103** (1996), p. 153–181.
- [Sa] I. Satake, *Holomorphic imbeddings of symmetric domains into a Siegel space*, Am. J. Math. **87** (1965), p. 425–461.
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, *Propriétés conjecturales des groupes de Galois motiviques et des représentations l -adiques*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. **55** (1994), Part 1, p. 377–400.
- [SGA3] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, et al., *Schemes en groupes*, Vol. I–III, LNM **151–153** (1970), Springer–Verlag.
- [SGA4] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck and J. Verdier, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*, Vol. I–III, LNM **269–70, 305** (1972–3), Springer–Verlag.
- [Sh] G. Shimura, *Moduli of abelian varieties and number theory*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. **9** (1966), Am. Math. Soc., p. 312–322, Providence, R. I.
- [Ta1] S. G. Tankeev, *Cycles on abelian varieties and exceptional numbers*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk. Ser. Mat. **60** (1996), no. 2, p. 391–424.
- [Ta2] S. G. Tankeev, *On the weights of an l -adic representation and the arithmetic of Frobenius eigenvalues*, (Russian) Izv. Russ. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **63** (1999), no. 1, p. 185–224, translation in Izv. Math. **63** (1999), no. 1, p. 181–218.
- [Tat] J. Tate, *p -divisible groups*, Proceedings of a conference on local fields, Driesbergen 1966 (1967), p. 158–183, Springer–Verlag.
- [Ti] J. Tits, *Reductive groups over local fields*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **33** (1979), Part 1, p. 29–69.
- [Va1] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models for Shimura varieties of preabelian type*, Asian J. Math., Vol. **3** (1999), no. 2, p. 401–518.
- [Va2] A. Vasiu, *Points of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type, p -divisible groups, and applications*, first part, submitted for publication in J. Astérisque; up dated version 8/16/01, p. 1–595.
- [Va3] A. Vasiu, *Letter to Prof. H. W. Lenstra, 11/16/98*, www.math.arizona.edu/~adrian (to be submit. for publ. in an enlarged form).
- [Va4] A. Vasiu, *Moduli schemes and the Shafarevich conjecture (the arithmetic case) for pseudo-polarized $K3$ surfaces*, manuscript, 9/99.
- [Va5] A. Vasiu, *Shimura varieties and the Mumford–Tate conjecture, part II*, first announcement, 2/2000, www.math.arizona.edu/~adrian, to be submit. for publ.
- [Va6] A. Vasiu, *Serre’s volumes and finite quotients of the $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$* , to be submit. for publ.
- [Va7] A. Vasiu, *Points of the integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type, p -divisible groups, and applications*, the second and the third parts, to be submit. for publ.

- [Za] Y. G. Zarhin, *Abelian varieties having a reduction of K3 type*, Duke Math. J. **5** (1992), p. 511–527.
- [Zi] T. Zink, *Isogenieklassen von Punkten von Shimuramannigfaltigkeiten mit Werten in einem endlichen Körper*, Math. Nachr. **112** (1983), p. 103–124.
- [Wi] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Un scindage de la filtration de Hodge pour certaines variétés algébriques sur les corps locaux*, Ann. of Math. (2) **119** (1984), p. 511–548.

address:

Adrian Vasiu
 University of Arizona
 Department of Mathematics
 617 N. Santa Rita
 P.O. Box 210089
 Tucson, AZ-85721-0089, U.S.A.
 e-mail: adrian at math.arizona.edu